

REPEAL OF UTAH TECHNOLOGY COMMISSION

2007 GENERAL SESSION

STATE OF UTAH

Chief Sponsor: John Dougall

Senate Sponsor: Howard A. Stephenson

LONG TITLE

General Description:

This bill repeals the Utah Technology Commission.

Highlighted Provisions:

This bill:

- ▶ repeals the Utah Technology Commission;
- ▶ requires certain functions of the Utah Technology Commission to be fulfilled by the Public Utilities and Technology Interim Committee; and
- ▶ makes technical changes.

Monies Appropriated in this Bill:

None

Other Special Clauses:

None

Utah Code Sections Affected:

AMENDS:

63F-1-201, as enacted by Chapter 169, Laws of Utah 2005

63F-1-207, as enacted by Chapter 169, Laws of Utah 2005

REPEALS:

63D-1a-101, as enacted by Chapter 209, Laws of Utah 2003

63D-1a-102, as last amended by Chapter 169, Laws of Utah 2005

63D-1a-201, as enacted by Chapter 209, Laws of Utah 2003



- 28 **63D-1a-202**, as last amended by Chapter 267, Laws of Utah 2006
- 29 **63D-1a-203**, as last amended by Chapters 14 and 267, Laws of Utah 2006
- 30 **63D-1a-401**, as enacted by Chapter 209, Laws of Utah 2003
- 31 **63D-1a-402**, as enacted by Chapter 209, Laws of Utah 2003
- 32 **63D-1a-403**, as enacted by Chapter 209, Laws of Utah 2003

34 *Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:*

35 Section 1. Section **63F-1-201** is amended to read:

36 **63F-1-201. Chief information officer -- Appointment -- Powers -- Reporting.**

37 (1) The director of the department shall serve as the state's chief information officer.

38 (2) The chief information officer shall:

- 39 (a) advise the governor on information technology policy; and
- 40 (b) perform those duties given the chief information officer by statute.

41 (3) (a) The chief information officer shall report annually to:

- 42 (i) the governor;
- 43 (ii) the commission; and
- 44 (iii) the Public Utilities and Technology Interim Committee.

45 (b) The report required under Subsection (3)(a) shall:

- 46 (i) summarize the state's current and projected use of information technology;
- 47 (ii) summarize the executive branch strategic plan including a description of major
- 48 changes in the executive branch strategic plan; and
- 49 (iii) provide a brief description of each state agency's information technology plan.

50 (4) (a) In accordance with this section, the chief information officer shall prepare an
 51 interbranch information technology coordination plan that provides for the coordination where
 52 possible of the development, acquisition, and maintenance of information technology and
 53 information systems of:

- 54 (i) the executive branch;
- 55 (ii) the judicial branch;
- 56 (iii) the legislative branch;
- 57 (iv) the Board of Regents; and
- 58 (v) the State Board of Education.

59 (b) In the development of the interbranch coordination plan, the chief information
60 officer shall consult with the entities described in Subsection (4)(a).

61 (c) The interbranch coordination plan:

62 (i) is an advisory document; and

63 (ii) does not bind any entity described in Subsection (4)(a).

64 (d) (i) The chief information officer shall submit the interbranch coordination plan to
65 the Public Utilities and Technology Interim Committee for comment.

66 (ii) The chief information officer may modify the interbranch coordination plan:

67 (A) at the request of the Public Utilities and Technology Interim Committee; or

68 (B) to improve the coordination between the entities described in Subsection (4)(a).

69 (iii) Any amendment to the interbranch coordination plan is subject to this Subsection
70 (4) in the same manner as the interbranch coordination plan is subject to this Subsection (4).

71 (5) In a manner consistent with the interbranch coordination plan created in accordance
72 with Subsection (4), the chief information officer shall maintain liaisons with:

73 (a) the judicial branch;

74 (b) the legislative branch;

75 (c) the Board of Regents;

76 (d) the State Board of Education;

77 (e) local government;

78 (f) the federal government;

79 (g) business and industry; and

80 (h) those members of the public who use information technology or systems of the
81 state.

82 Section 2. Section **63F-1-207** is amended to read:

83 **63F-1-207. Coordination within the executive branch -- Cooperation with other**
84 **branches.**

85 (1) In accordance with the executive branch strategic plan and the requirements of this
86 title, the chief information officer shall coordinate the development of information technology
87 systems between two or more executive branch agencies subject to:

88 (a) the budget approved by the Legislature; and

89 (b) Title 63, Chapter 38, Budgetary Procedures Act.

90 (2) In addition to the coordination described in Subsection (1), the chief information
91 officer shall promote cooperation regarding information technology in a manner consistent
92 with the interbranch coordination plan created in accordance with [~~Title 63D, Chapter 1a, Part~~
93 ~~4, Interbranch Coordination~~] Section 63F-1-201.

94 Section 3. **Repealer.**

95 This bill repeals:

96 Section **63D-1a-101, Title.**

97 Section **63D-1a-102, Definitions.**

98 Section **63D-1a-201, Creation -- Membership -- Appointment -- Staff.**

99 Section **63D-1a-202, Powers and duties of the commission -- Reporting.**

100 Section **63D-1a-203, Utah Technology Industry Council.**

101 Section **63D-1a-401, Interbranch information technology coordination plan.**

102 Section **63D-1a-402, Reporting on information technology objectives.**

103 Section **63D-1a-403, Liaisons with the chief information officer.**

Legislative Review Note
as of 1-9-07 9:27 AM

Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel

H.B. 244 - Repeal of Utah Technology Commission

Fiscal Note

2007 General Session

State of Utah

State Impact

This bill would eliminate a legislative commission that meets on days other than the scheduled monthly interim day. The commission's elimination would reduce amounts needed for per diem of eight legislators for eight meetings per year. It would also free-up staff resources potentially resulting in savings. H.B. 68, 1994 General Session, which created this commission, appropriated \$50,000 for its implementation. The appropriation presumably would no longer be needed if the commission is eliminated.

	<u>FY 2007</u> <u>Approp.</u>	<u>FY 2008</u> <u>Approp.</u>	<u>FY 2009</u> <u>Approp.</u>	<u>FY 2007</u> <u>Revenue</u>	<u>FY 2008</u> <u>Revenue</u>	<u>FY 2009</u> <u>Revenue</u>
General Fund	\$0	(\$50,000)	(\$50,000)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	\$0	(\$50,000)	(\$50,000)	\$0	\$0	\$0

Individual, Business and/or Local Impact

Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.
