€ 01-16-07 6:31 AM €
MUNICIPAL CODE AMENDMENTS
2007 GENERAL SESSION
STATE OF UTAH
Chief Sponsor: Dan R. Eastman
House Sponsor:
LONG TITLE
General Description:
This bill modifies Utah Municipal Code provisions relating to municipal annexation
and disconnection.
Highlighted Provisions:
This bill:
 authorizes a municipality to annex an unincorporated area without an annexation
petition if the area is owned by and contiguous to the municipality;
 eliminates a provision requiring a petitioner seeking to disconnect an area from a
municipality to prove that the disconnection will not leave or create an
unincorporated island or peninsula; and
 makes leaving or creating an unincorporated island or peninsula a factor that a court
must consider in determining whether a petitioner seeking to disconnect an area

S.B. 130

20 from a municipality has met the petitioner's burden of proof.

21 Monies Appropriated in this Bill:

22 None

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11 12 13

14 15

16 17 18

19

- 23 Other Special Clauses:
- 24 None
- 25 Utah Code Sections Affected:
- 26 AMENDS:
- 27 **10-2-418**, as last amended by Chapter 227, Laws of Utah 2003

_

ŀ	Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:
-	Section 1. Section 10-2-418 is amended to read:
	10-2-418. Annexation of an island or peninsula without a petition Notice
ł	Hearing.
	(1) (a) Notwithstanding Subsection 10-2-402(2), a municipality may annex an
υ	unincorporated area under this section without an annexation petition if:
	(i) (A) the area to be annexed consists of one or more unincorporated islands within or
υ	unincorporated peninsulas contiguous to the municipality;
	(B) the majority of each island or peninsula consists of residential or commercial
Ċ	levelopment;
	(C) the area proposed for annexation requires the delivery of municipal-type services;
а	and
	(D) the municipality has provided most or all of the municipal-type services to the are
f	for more than one year; [or]
	(ii) (A) the area to be annexed consists of one or more unincorporated islands within
t	he municipality, each of which has fewer than 500 residents; and
	(B) the municipality has provided one or more municipal-type services to the area for
а	at least one year[-]: or
	(iii) the area to be annexed is:
	(A) owned by the municipality; and
	(B) contiguous to the municipality.
	(b) Notwithstanding Subsection 10-2-402(1)(b)(iii), a municipality may annex a
P	portion of an island or peninsula under this section, leaving unincorporated the remainder of
t	he unincorporated island or peninsula, if:
	(i) in adopting the resolution under Subsection (2)(a)(i), the municipal legislative body
Ċ	determines that not annexing the entire unincorporated island or peninsula is in the
r	nunicipality's best interest; and
	(ii) for an annexation of one or more unincorporated islands under Subsection
((1)(a)(ii), the entire island of unincorporated area, of which a portion is being annexed,

01-16-07 6:31 AM

59	complies with the requirement of Subsection (1)(a)(ii)(A) relating to the number of residents.
60	(2) (a) The legislative body of each municipality intending to annex an area under this
61	section shall:
62	(i) adopt a resolution indicating the municipal legislative body's intent to annex the
63	area, describing the area proposed to be annexed;
64	(ii) (A) publish notice at least once a week for three successive weeks in a newspaper
65	of general circulation within the municipality and the area proposed for annexation; or
66	(B) if there is no newspaper of general circulation in the areas described in Subsection
67	(2)(a)(ii)(A), post at least one notice per 1,000 population in places within those areas that are
68	most likely to give notice to the residents of those areas;
69	(iii) send written notice to the board of each special district whose boundaries contain
70	some or all of the area proposed for annexation and to the legislative body of the county in
71	which the area proposed for annexation is located; and
72	(iv) hold a public hearing on the proposed annexation no earlier than 60 days after the
73	adoption of the resolution under Subsection (2)(a)(i).
74	(b) Each notice under Subsections (2)(a)(ii) and (iii) shall:
75	(i) state that the municipal legislative body has adopted a resolution indicating its intent
76	to annex the area proposed for annexation;
77	(ii) state the date, time, and place of the public hearing under Subsection (2)(a)(iv);
78	(iii) describe the area proposed for annexation; and
79	(iv) state in conspicuous and plain terms that the municipal legislative body will annex
80	the area unless, at or before the public hearing under Subsection (2)(a)(iv), written protests to
81	the annexation are filed by the owners of private real property that:
82	(A) is located within the area proposed for annexation;
83	(B) covers a majority of the total private land area within the entire area proposed for
84	annexation; and
85	(C) is equal in value to at least 1/2 the value of all private real property within the
86	entire area proposed for annexation.
87	(c) The first publication of the notice required under Subsection (2)(a)(ii)(A) shall be
88	within 14 days of the municipal legislative body's adoption of a resolution under Subsection
89	(2)(a)(i).

01-16-07 6:31 AM

S.B. 130

90	(3) (a) Upon conclusion of the public hearing under Subsection $(2)(a)(iv)$ and subject
90 91	
	to Subsection (3)(b), the municipal legislative body may adopt an ordinance annexing the area
92	proposed for annexation under this section unless, at or before the hearing, written protests to
93	the annexation have been filed with the city recorder or town clerk, as the case may be, by the
94	owners of private real property that:
95	(i) is located within the area proposed for annexation;
96	(ii) covers:
97	(A) for a proposed annexation under Subsection (1)(a)(i), a majority of the total private
98	land area within the entire area proposed for annexation; or
99	(B) for a proposed annexation under Subsection (1)(a)(ii), 10% of the total private land
100	area within the island of unincorporated area that is proposed for annexation; and
101	(iii) is equal in value to at least:
102	(A) for a proposed annexation under Subsection $(1)(a)(i)$, 1/2 the value of all private
103	real property within the entire area proposed for annexation; or
104	(B) for a proposed annexation under Subsection (1)(a)(ii), 10% of the value of all
105	private real property within the island of unincorporated area that is proposed for annexation.
106	(b) A municipal legislative body may not adopt an ordinance annexing an area
107	proposed for annexation under Subsection (1)(a)(ii) unless the legislative body of the county in
108	which the area proposed for annexation has previously adopted a resolution approving the
109	annexation.
110	(4) (a) If protests are timely filed that comply with Subsection (3), the municipal
111	legislative body may not adopt an ordinance annexing the area proposed for annexation, and
112	the annexation proceedings under this section shall be considered terminated.
113	(b) Subsection (4)(a) may not be construed to prohibit the municipal legislative body
114	from excluding from a proposed annexation under Subsection (1)(a)(ii) the property within an
115	unincorporated island regarding which protests have been filed and proceeding under
116	Subsection (1)(b) to annex some or all of the remaining portion of the unincorporated island.
117	Section 2. Section 10-2-502.7 is amended to read:
118	10-2-502.7. Court action.
119	(1) After the filing of a petition under Section 10-2-502.5 and a response to the
120	petition, the court shall, upon request of a party or upon its own motion, conduct a court

01-16-07 6:31 AM

121	hearing.
122	(2) At the hearing, the court shall hear evidence regarding the viability of the
123	disconnection proposal.
124	(3) The burden of proof is on petitioners who must prove, by a preponderance of the
125	evidence:
126	(a) the viability of the disconnection;
127	(b) that justice and equity require that the territory be disconnected from the
128	municipality;
129	(c) that the proposed disconnection will not:
130	(i) leave the municipality with an area within its boundaries for which the cost,
131	requirements, or other burdens of providing municipal services would materially increase over
132	previous years; <u>or</u>
133	(ii) make it economically or practically unfeasible for the municipality to continue to
134	function as a municipality; [or] and
135	[(iii) leave or create one or more islands or peninsulas of unincorporated territory; and]
136	(d) that the county in which the area proposed for disconnection is located is capable,
137	in a cost-effective manner and without materially increasing the county's costs of providing
138	municipal services, of providing to the area the services that the municipality will no longer
139	provide to the area due to the disconnection.
140	(4) In determining whether petitioners have met their burden of proof with respect to
141	Subsections (3)(c)(i) and (ii), the court shall consider all relevant factors, including:
142	(a) the effect of the proposed disconnection on:
143	[(a)] (i) the municipality or community as a whole;
144	[(b)] (ii) adjoining property owners;
145	[(c)] (iii) existing or projected streets or public ways;
146	[(d)] (iv) water mains and water services;
147	[(e)] (v) sewer mains and sewer services;
148	[(f)] <u>(vi)</u> law enforcement;
149	$\left[\frac{(g)}{(vii)}\right]$ zoning; and
150	[(h)] (viii) other municipal services[-]; and

151 (b) whether the proposed disconnection will leave or create one or more islands or

S.B. 130

- 152 peninsulas of unincorporated territory.
- 153 (5) The court's order either ordering or rejecting disconnection shall be in writing with
- 154 findings and reasons.

Legislative Review Note as of 12-8-06 1:09 PM

Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel

S.B. 130 - Municipal Code Amendments

Fiscal Note

2007 General Session

State of Utah

State Impact

Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations.

Individual, Business and/or Local Impact

Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.

1/22/2007, 11:38:55 AM, Lead Analyst: Wardrop, T.

Office of the Legislative Fiscal Analyst