1	AMENDMENTS TO LAND USE DEVELOPMENT		
2	AND MANAGEMENT ACT		
3	2007 GENERAL SESSION		
4	STATE OF UTAH		
5	Chief Sponsor: Gregory S. Bell		
6 7	House Sponsor: Michael T. Morley		
8	LONG TITLE		
9	General Description:		
10	This bill modifies county and municipal land use, development, and management		
11	provisions.		
12	Highlighted Provisions:		
13	This bill:		
14	 modifies language relating to the purposes of the county and municipal land use, 		
15	development, and management provisions;		
16	 enacts provisions relating to a county or municipality's processing of a land use 		
17	application; and		
18	modifies the standard that applies in determining the validity of a county or		
19	municipal decision, ordinance, or regulation.		
20	Monies Appropriated in this Bill:		
21	None		
22	Other Special Clauses:		
23	None		
24	Utah Code Sections Affected:		
25	AMENDS:		
26	10-9a-102, as renumbered and amended by Chapter 254, Laws of Utah 2005		
27	10-9a-509, as last amended by Chapters 257 and 289, Laws of Utah 2006		



28	10-9a-801, as renumbered and amended by Chapter 254, Laws of Utah 2005		
29	17-27a-102, as renumbered and amended by Chapter 254, Laws of Utah 2005		
30	17-27a-508, as last amended by Chapters 257 and 289, Laws of Utah 2006		
31	17-27a-801, as renumbered and amended by Chapter 254, Laws of Utah 2005		
32	ENACTS:		
33	10-9a-509.5 , Utah Code Annotated 1953		
34	17-27a-509.5, Utah Code Annotated 1953		
35			
36	Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:		
37	Section 1. Section 10-9a-102 is amended to read:		
38	10-9a-102. Purposes General land use authority.		
39	(1) The purposes of this chapter are to provide for the health, safety, and welfare, and		
40	promote the prosperity, improve the morals, peace and good order, comfort, convenience, and		
41	aesthetics of each municipality and its present and future inhabitants and businesses, to protect		
42	the tax base, to secure economy in governmental expenditures, to foster the state's agricultural		
43	and other industries, to protect both urban and nonurban development, to protect and ensure		
44	access to sunlight for solar energy devices, to provide fundamental fairness in land use		
45	regulation, and to protect property values.		
46	(2) To accomplish the purposes of this chapter, municipalities may enact all		
47	ordinances, resolutions, and rules and may enter into other forms of land use controls and		
48	development agreements that they consider necessary or appropriate for the use and		
49	development of land within the municipality, including ordinances, resolutions, rules,		
50	restrictive covenants, easements, and development agreements governing uses, density, open		
51	spaces, structures, buildings, energy efficiency, light and air, air quality, transportation and		
52	public or alternative transportation, infrastructure, street and building orientation and width		
53	requirements, public facilities, [and] fundamental fairness in land use regulation,		
54	considerations of surrounding land uses and the balance of the foregoing purposes with a		
55	landowner's private property interests, height and location of vegetation, trees, and landscaping,		
56	unless expressly prohibited by law.		
57	Section 2. Section 10-9a-509 is amended to read:		
58	10-9a-509. When a land use applicant is entitled to approval Exception		

Municipality may not impose unexpressed requirements -- Municipality required to comply with land use ordinances.

- (1) (a) An applicant is entitled to approval of a land use application if the application conforms to the requirements of the municipality's land use maps, zoning map, and applicable land use ordinance in effect when a complete application is submitted and all fees have been paid, unless:
- (i) the land use authority, on the record, finds that a compelling, countervailing public interest would be jeopardized by approving the application; or
- (ii) in the manner provided by local ordinance and before the application is submitted, the municipality has formally initiated proceedings to amend its ordinances in a manner that would prohibit approval of the application as submitted.
- (b) The municipality shall process an application without regard to proceedings initiated to amend the municipality's ordinances if:
 - (i) 180 days have passed since the proceedings were initiated; and
- (ii) the proceedings have not resulted in an enactment that prohibits approval of the application as submitted.
- (c) An application for a land use approval is considered submitted and complete when the application is provided in a form that complies with the requirements of applicable ordinances and all applicable fees have been paid.
- (d) The continuing validity of an approval of a land use application is conditioned upon the applicant proceeding after approval to implement the approval with reasonable diligence.
- (e) A municipality may not impose on a holder of an issued land use permit a requirement that is not expressed:
 - (i) in the land use permit or in documents on which the land use permit is based; or
 - (ii) in this chapter or the municipality's ordinances.
- (f) A municipality may not withhold issuance of a certificate of occupancy because of an applicant's failure to comply with a requirement that is not expressed:
 - (i) in the building permit or in documents on which the building permit is based; or
 - (ii) in this chapter or the municipality's ordinances.
- (2) A municipality is bound by the terms and standards of applicable land use ordinances and shall comply with mandatory provisions of those ordinances.

90	[(3) Each municipality shall process and render a decision on each land use application			
91	with reasonable diligence.]			
92	Section 3. Section 10-9a-509.5 is enacted to read:			
93	10-9a-509.5. Reasonable diligence Application sufficiency and process.			
94	(1) (a) Each municipality shall, in a timely manner, determine whether an application is			
95	complete for the purposes of subsequent, substantive land use authority review.			
96	(b) After a reasonable period of time to allow the municipality diligently to evaluate			
97	whether all objective ordinance-based application criteria have been met, if application fees			
98	have been paid, the applicant may in writing request that the municipality provide a written			
99	determination either that the application is:			
100	(i) complete for the purposes of allowing subsequent, substantive land use authority			
101	review; or			
102	(ii) deficient with respect to a specific, objective, ordinance-based application			
103	requirement.			
104	(c) Within 30 days of receipt of an applicant's request under this section, the			
105	municipality shall either:			
106	(i) mail a written notice to the applicant advising that the application is deficient with			
107	respect to a specified, objective, ordinance-based criteria, and stating that the application must			
108	be supplemented by specific additional information identified in the notice; or			
109	(ii) accept the application as complete for the purposes of further substantive			
110	processing by the land use authority.			
111	(d) If the notice required by Subsection (1)(c)(i) is not timely mailed, the appeal shall			
112	be considered complete, for purposes of further substantive land use authority review.			
113	(e) (i) The applicant may raise and resolve in a single appeal any determination made			
114	under this Subsection (1) to the appeal authority, including an allegation that a reasonable			
115	period of time has elapsed under Subsection (1)(a).			
116	(ii) The appeal authority shall issue a written decision for any appeal requested under			
117	this Subsection (1)(e).			
118	(f) (i) The applicant may appeal to district court the decision of the appeal authority			
119	made under Subsection (1)(e).			
120	(ii) Each appeal under Subsection (1)(f)(i) shall be made within 30 days of the date of			

121	the written decision.			
122	(2) (a) Each land use authority shall substantively review a complete application and an			
123	application considered complete under Subsection (1)(d), and shall approve or deny each			
124	application with reasonable diligence.			
125	(b) After a reasonable period of time to allow the land use authority to consider an			
126	application, the applicant may in writing request that the land use authority take final action			
127	within 45 days from date of service of the written request.			
128	(c) The land use authority shall take final action, approving or denying the application			
129	within 45 days of the written request.			
130	(d) If the land use authority denies an application processed under the mandates of			
131	Subsection (2)(b), or if the applicant has requested a written decision in the application, the			
132	land use authority shall include its reasons for denial in writing, on the record, which may			
133	include the official minutes of the meeting in which the decision was rendered.			
134	(e) If the land use authority fails to comply with Subsection (2)(c), the applicant may			
135	appeal this failure to district court within 30 days of the date on which the land use authority			
136	should have taken final action under Subsection (2)(c).			
137	(3) Subject to Section 10-9a-509, nothing in this section and no action or inaction of			
138	the land use authority relieves an applicant's duty to comply with all applicable substantive			
139	ordinances and regulations.			
140	(4) There shall be no money damages remedy arising from a claim under this section.			
141	Section 4. Section 10-9a-801 is amended to read:			
142	10-9a-801. No district court review until administrative remedies exhausted			
143	Time for filing Tolling of time Standards governing court review Record on review			
144	Staying of decision.			
145	(1) No person may challenge in district court a municipality's land use decision made			
146	under this chapter, or under a regulation made under authority of this chapter, until that person			
147	has exhausted the person's administrative remedies as provided in Part 7, Appeal Authority and			
148	Variances, if applicable.			
149	(2) (a) Any person adversely affected by a final decision made in the exercise of or in			
150	violation of the provisions of this chapter may file a petition for review of the decision with the			
151	district court within 30 days after the local land use decision is final.			

(b) (i) The time under Subsection (2)(a) to file a petition is tolled from the date a property owner files a request for arbitration of a constitutional taking issue with the property rights ombudsman under Section 63-34-13 until 30 days after:

- (A) the arbitrator issues a final award; or
- (B) the property rights ombudsman issues a written statement under Subsection 63-34-13(4)(b) declining to arbitrate or to appoint an arbitrator.
- (ii) A tolling under Subsection (2)(b)(i) operates only as to the specific constitutional taking issue that is the subject of the request for arbitration filed with the property rights ombudsman by a property owner.
- (iii) A request for arbitration filed with the property rights ombudsman after the time under Subsection (2)(a) to file a petition has expired does not affect the time to file a petition.
 - (3) (a) The courts shall:

- (i) presume that a decision, ordinance, or regulation made under the authority of this chapter is valid; and
 - (ii) determine only whether or not the decision, ordinance, or regulation is arbitrary, capricious, or illegal.
 - (b) A decision, ordinance, or regulation involving the exercise of legislative discretion is valid if [the decision, ordinance, or regulation] it is reasonably debatable that the decision, ordinance, or regulation promotes the purposes of this chapter and is not otherwise illegal.
 - (c) A final decision of a land use authority or an appeal authority is valid if the decision is supported by substantial evidence in the record and is not arbitrary, capricious, or illegal.
 - (d) A determination of illegality requires a determination that the decision, ordinance, or regulation violates a law, statute, or ordinance in effect at the time the decision was made or the ordinance or regulation adopted.
 - (4) The provisions of Subsection (2)(a) apply from the date on which the municipality takes final action on a land use application for any adversely affected third party, if the municipality conformed with the notice provisions of Part 2, Notice, or for any person who had actual notice of the pending decision.
 - (5) If the municipality has complied with Section 10-9a-205, a challenge to the enactment of a land use ordinance or general plan may not be filed with the district court more than 30 days after the enactment.

(6) The petition is barred unless it is filed within 30 days after the appeal authority's decision is final.

- (7) (a) The land use authority or appeal authority, as the case may be, shall transmit to the reviewing court the record of its proceedings, including its minutes, findings, orders, and, if available, a true and correct transcript of its proceedings.
- (b) If the proceeding was tape recorded, a transcript of that tape recording is a true and correct transcript for purposes of this Subsection (7).
- (8) (a) (i) If there is a record, the district court's review is limited to the record provided by the land use authority or appeal authority, as the case may be.
- (ii) The court may not accept or consider any evidence outside the record of the land use authority or appeal authority, as the case may be, unless that evidence was offered to the land use authority or appeal authority, respectively, and the court determines that it was improperly excluded.
 - (b) If there is no record, the court may call witnesses and take evidence.
- (9) (a) The filing of a petition does not stay the decision of the land use authority or authority appeal authority, as the case may be.
- (b) (i) Before filing a petition under this section or a request for mediation or arbitration of a constitutional taking issue under Section 63-34-13, the aggrieved party may petition the appeal authority to stay its decision.
- (ii) Upon receipt of a petition to stay, the appeal authority may order its decision stayed pending district court review if the appeal authority finds it to be in the best interest of the municipality.
- (iii) After a petition is filed under this section or a request for mediation or arbitration of a constitutional taking issue is filed under Section 63-34-13, the petitioner may seek an injunction staying the appeal authority's decision.
 - Section 5. Section 17-27a-102 is amended to read:

17-27a-102. Purposes -- General land use authority.

(1) (a) The purposes of this chapter are to provide for the health, safety, and welfare, and promote the prosperity, improve the morals, peace and good order, comfort, convenience, and aesthetics of each county and its present and future inhabitants and businesses, to protect the tax base, to secure economy in governmental expenditures, to foster the state's agricultural

and other industries, to protect both urban and nonurban development, to protect and ensure access to sunlight for solar energy devices, to provide fundamental fairness in land use regulation, and to protect property values.

- (b) To accomplish the purposes of this chapter, counties may enact all ordinances, resolutions, and rules and may enter into other forms of land use controls and development agreements that they consider necessary or appropriate for the use and development of land within the unincorporated area of the county, including ordinances, resolutions, rules, restrictive covenants, easements, and development agreements governing uses, density, open spaces, structures, buildings, energy-efficiency, light and air, air quality, transportation and public or alternative transportation, infrastructure, street and building orientation and width requirements, public facilities, [and] fundamental fairness in land use regulation, considerations of surrounding land uses and the balance of the foregoing purposes with a landowner's private property interests, height and location of vegetation, trees, and landscaping, unless expressly prohibited by law.
- (2) Each county shall comply with the mandatory provisions of this part before any agreement or contract to provide goods, services, or municipal-type services to any storage facility or transfer facility for high-level nuclear waste, or greater than class C radioactive waste, may be executed or implemented.
 - Section 6. Section 17-27a-508 is amended to read:
- 17-27a-508. When a land use applicant is entitled to approval -- Exception -- County may not impose unexpressed requirements -- County required to comply with land use ordinances.
- (1) (a) An applicant is entitled to approval of a land use application if the application conforms to the requirements of the county's land use maps, zoning map, and applicable land use ordinance in effect when a complete application is submitted and all fees have been paid, unless:
- (i) the land use authority, on the record, finds that a compelling, countervailing public interest would be jeopardized by approving the application; or
- (ii) in the manner provided by local ordinance and before the application is submitted, the county has formally initiated proceedings to amend its ordinances in a manner that would prohibit approval of the application as submitted.

245	(b) The county shall process an application without regard to proceedings initiated to			
246	amend the county's ordinances if:			
247	(i) 180 days have passed since the proceedings were initiated; and			
248	(ii) the proceedings have not resulted in an enactment that prohibits approval of the			
249	application as submitted.			
250	(c) An application for a land use approval is considered submitted and complete when			
251	the application is provided in a form that complies with the requirements of applicable			
252	ordinances and all applicable fees have been paid.			
253	(d) The continuing validity of an approval of a land use application is conditioned upon			
254	the applicant proceeding after approval to implement the approval with reasonable diligence.			
255	(e) A county may not impose on a holder of an issued land use permit a requirement			
256	that is not expressed:			
257	(i) in the land use permit or in documents on which the land use permit is based; or			
258	(ii) in this chapter or the county's ordinances.			
259	(f) A county may not withhold issuance of a certificate of occupancy because of an			
260	applicant's failure to comply with a requirement that is not expressed:			
261	(i) in the building permit or in documents on which the building permit is based; or			
262	(ii) in this chapter or the county's ordinances.			
263	(2) A county is bound by the terms and standards of applicable land use ordinances and			
264	shall comply with mandatory provisions of those ordinances.			
265	[(3) Each county shall process and render a decision on each land use application with			
266	reasonable diligence.]			
267	Section 7. Section 17-27a-509.5 is enacted to read:			
268	17-27a-509.5. Reasonable diligence Application sufficiency and process.			
269	(1) (a) Each county shall, in a timely manner, determine whether an application is			
270	complete for the purposes of subsequent, substantive land use authority review.			
271	(b) After a reasonable period of time to allow the county diligently to evaluate whether			
272	all objective ordinance-based application criteria have been met, if application fees have been			
273	paid, the applicant may in writing request that the county provide a written determination either			
274	that the application is:			
275	(i) complete for the purposes of allowing subsequent, substantive land use authority			

276	review; or		
277	(ii) deficient with respect to a specific, objective, ordinance-based application		
278	requirement.		
279	(c) Within 30 days of receipt of an applicant's request under this section, the county		
280	shall either:		
281	(i) mail a written notice to the applicant advising that the application is deficient with		
282	respect to a specified, objective, ordinance-based criteria, and stating that the application must		
283	be supplemented by specific additional information identified in the notice; or		
284	(ii) accept the application as complete for the purposes of further substantive		
285	processing by the land use authority.		
286	(d) If the notice required by Subsection (1)(c)(i) is not timely mailed, the appeal shall		
287	be considered complete, for purposes of further substantive land use authority review.		
288	(e) (i) The applicant may raise and resolve in a single appeal any determination made		
289	under this Subsection (1) to the appeal authority, including an allegation that a reasonable		
290	period of time has elapsed under Subsection (1)(a).		
291	(ii) The appeal authority shall issue a written decision for any appeal requested under		
292	this Subsection (1)(e).		
293	(f) (i) The applicant may appeal to district court the decision of the appeal authority		
294	made under Subsection (1)(e).		
295	(ii) Each appeal under Subsection (1)(f)(i) shall be made within 30 days of the date of		
296	the written decision.		
297	(2) (a) Each land use authority shall substantively review a complete application and an		
298	application considered complete under Subsection (1)(d), and shall approve or deny each		
299	application with reasonable diligence.		
300	(b) After a reasonable period of time to allow the land use authority to consider an		
301	application, the applicant may in writing request that the land use authority take final action		
302	within 45 days from date of service of the written request.		
303	(c) The land use authority shall take final action, approving or denying the application		
304	within 45 days of the written request.		
305	(d) If the land use authority denies an application processed under the mandates of		
306	Subsection (2)(b), or if the applicant has requested a written decision in his application, the		

307	land use authority shall include its reasons for denial in writing, on the record, which may		
308	include the official minutes of the meeting in which the decision was rendered.		
309	(e) If the land use authority fails to comply with Subsection (2)(c), the applicant may		
310	appeal this failure to district court within 30 days of the date on which the land use authority		
311	should have taken final action under Subsection (2)(c).		
312	(3) Subject to Section 10-9a-509, nothing in this section and no action or inaction of		
313	the land use authority relieves an applicant's duty to comply with all applicable substantive		
314	ordinances and regulations.		
315	(4) There shall be no money damages remedy arising from a claim under this section.		
316	Section 8. Section 17-27a-801 is amended to read:		
317	17-27a-801. No district court review until administrative remedies exhausted		
318	Time for filing Tolling of time Standards governing court review Record on review		
319	Staying of decision.		
320	(1) No person may challenge in district court a county's land use decision made under		
321	this chapter, or under a regulation made under authority of this chapter, until that person has		
322	exhausted the person's administrative remedies as provided in Part 7, Appeal Authority and		
323	Variances, if applicable.		
324	(2) (a) Any person adversely affected by a final decision made in the exercise of or in		
325	violation of the provisions of this chapter may file a petition for review of the decision with the		
326	district court within 30 days after the local land use decision is final.		
327	(b) (i) The time under Subsection (2)(a) to file a petition is tolled from the date a		
328	property owner files a request for arbitration of a constitutional taking issue with the property		
329	rights ombudsman under Section 63-34-13 until 30 days after:		
330	(A) the arbitrator issues a final award; or		
331	(B) the property rights ombudsman issues a written statement under Subsection		
332	63-34-13(4)(b) declining to arbitrate or to appoint an arbitrator.		
333	(ii) A tolling under Subsection (2)(b)(i) operates only as to the specific constitutional		
334	taking issue that is the subject of the request for arbitration filed with the property rights		
335	ombudsman by a property owner.		
336	(iii) A request for arbitration filed with the property rights ombudsman after the time		
337	under Subsection (2)(a) to file a petition has expired does not affect the time to file a petition.		

220	(2) (-)	The courts shall:
338	(3)(8)	i – i ne collitis snati

- (i) presume that a decision, ordinance, or regulation made under the authority of this chapter is valid; and
- (ii) determine only whether or not the decision, ordinance, or regulation is arbitrary, capricious, or illegal.
- (b) A decision, ordinance, or regulation involving the exercise of legislative discretion is valid if [the decision, ordinance, or regulation] it is reasonably debatable that the decision, ordinance, or regulation promotes the purposes of this chapter and is not otherwise illegal.
- (c) A final decision of a land use authority or an appeal authority is valid if the decision is supported by substantial evidence in the record and is not arbitrary, capricious, or illegal.
- (d) A determination of illegality requires a determination that the decision, ordinance, or regulation violates a law, statute, or ordinance in effect at the time the decision was made or the ordinance or regulation adopted.
- (4) The provisions of Subsection (2)(a) apply from the date on which the county takes final action on a land use application for any adversely affected third party, if the county conformed with the notice provisions of Part 2, Notice, or for any person who had actual notice of the pending decision.
- (5) If the county has complied with Section 17-27a-205, a challenge to the enactment of a land use ordinance or general plan may not be filed with the district court more than 30 days after the enactment.
- (6) The petition is barred unless it is filed within 30 days after land use authority or the appeal authority's decision is final.
- (7) (a) The land use authority or appeal authority, as the case may be, shall transmit to the reviewing court the record of its proceedings, including its minutes, findings, orders and, if available, a true and correct transcript of its proceedings.
- (b) If the proceeding was tape recorded, a transcript of that tape recording is a true and correct transcript for purposes of this Subsection (7).
- (8) (a) (i) If there is a record, the district court's review is limited to the record provided by the land use authority or appeal authority, as the case may be.
- (ii) The court may not accept or consider any evidence outside the record of the land use authority or appeal authority, as the case may be, unless that evidence was offered to the

land use authority or appeal authority, respectively, and the court determines that it was improperly excluded.

- (b) If there is no record, the court may call witnesses and take evidence.
- (9) (a) The filing of a petition does not stay the decision of the land use authority or appeal authority, as the case may be.
- (b) (i) Before filing a petition under this section or a request for mediation or arbitration of a constitutional taking issue under Section 63-34-13, the aggrieved party may petition the appeal authority to stay its decision.
- (ii) Upon receipt of a petition to stay, the appeal authority may order its decision stayed pending district court review if the appeal authority finds it to be in the best interest of the county.
- (iii) After a petition is filed under this section or a request for mediation or arbitration of a constitutional taking issue is filed under Section 63-34-13, the petitioner may seek an injunction staying the appeal authority's decision.

Legislative Review Note as of 1-26-07 11:43 AM

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377378

379

380

381

382

Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel

S.B. 215 - Amendments to Land Use Development and Management Act

Fiscal Note

2007 General Session State of Utah

State Impact

Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations.

Individual, Business and/or Local Impact

Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.

2/6/2007, 10:11:42 AM, Lead Analyst: Wardrop, T.

Office of the Legislative Fiscal Analyst