1	CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE
2	AMENDMENTS
3	2008 GENERAL SESSION
4	STATE OF UTAH
5	Chief Sponsor: Rebecca D. Lockhart
6	Senate Sponsor: Curtis S. Bramble
7	
8	LONG TITLE
9	General Description:
10	This bill eliminates references to civil commitment in the criminal competency statutes.
11	Highlighted Provisions:
12	This bill:
13	 deletes provisions that reference civil commitment procedures within the criminal
14	competency procedures.
15	Monies Appropriated in this Bill:
16	None
17	Other Special Clauses:
18	None
19	Utah Code Sections Affected:
20	AMENDS:
21	77-15-5, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2003, Chapter 82
22	77-15-6, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2002, Fifth Special Session, Chapter 8
23	77-15-6.5, as enacted by Laws of Utah 2006, Chapter 335
24	
25	Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:
26	Section 1. Section 77-15-5 is amended to read:
27	77-15-5. Order for hearing Stay of other proceedings Examinations of
28	defendant Scope of examination and report.
29	(1) When a petition is filed pursuant to Section 77-15-3 raising the issue of the

Enrolled Copy

30 defendant's competency to stand trial or when the court raises the issue of the defendant's 31 competency pursuant to Section 77-15-4, the court in which proceedings are pending shall stay all proceedings. If the proceedings are in a court other than the district court in which the 32 33 petition is filed, the district court shall notify that court of the filing of the petition. The district 34 court in which the petition is filed shall pass upon the sufficiency of the allegations of 35 incompetency. If a petition is opposed by either party, the court shall, prior to granting or 36 denying the petition, hold a limited hearing solely for the purpose of determining the sufficiency 37 of the petition. If the court finds that the allegations of incompetency raise a bona fide doubt as 38 to the defendant's competency to stand trial, it shall enter an order for a hearing on the mental 39 condition of the person who is the subject of the petition.

40 (2) (a) After the granting of a petition and prior to a full competency hearing, the court
41 may order the Department of Human Services to examine the person and to report to the court
42 concerning the defendant's mental condition.

43 (b) The defendant shall be examined by at least two mental health experts not involved44 in the current treatment of the defendant.

(c) If the issue is sufficiently raised in the petition or if it becomes apparent that the defendant may be incompetent due to mental retardation, at least one expert experienced in mental retardation assessment shall evaluate the defendant. Upon appointment of the experts, the petitioner or other party as directed by the court shall provide information and materials to the examiners relevant to a determination of the defendant's competency and shall provide copies of the charging document, arrest or incident reports pertaining to the charged offense, known criminal history information, and known prior mental health evaluations and treatments.

(d) The prosecuting and defense attorneys shall cooperate in providing the relevant information and materials to the examiners, and the court may make the necessary orders to provide the information listed in Subsection (2)(c) to the examiners. The court may provide in its order for a competency examination of a defendant that custodians of mental health records pertaining to the defendant shall provide those records to the examiners without the need for consent of the defendant or further order of the court.

58	(3) During the examination under Subsection (2), unless the court or the executive
59	director of the department directs otherwise, the defendant shall be retained in the same custody
60	or status he was in at the time the examination was ordered.
61	(4) The experts shall in the conduct of their examination and in their report to the court
62	consider and address, in addition to any other factors determined to be relevant by the experts:
63	(a) the defendant's present capacity to:
64	(i) comprehend and appreciate the charges or allegations against him;
65	(ii) disclose to counsel pertinent facts, events, and states of mind;
66	(iii) comprehend and appreciate the range and nature of possible penalties, if applicable,
67	that may be imposed in the proceedings against him;
68	(iv) engage in reasoned choice of legal strategies and options;
69	(v) understand the adversary nature of the proceedings against him;
70	(vi) manifest appropriate courtroom behavior; and
71	(vii) testify relevantly, if applicable;
72	(b) the impact of the mental disorder, or mental retardation, if any, on the nature and
73	quality of the defendant's relationship with counsel;
74	(c) if psychoactive medication is currently being administered:
75	(i) whether the medication is necessary to maintain the defendant's competency; and
76	(ii) the effect of the medication, if any, on the defendant's demeanor and affect and
77	ability to participate in the proceedings.
78	(5) If the expert's opinion is that the defendant is incompetent to proceed, the expert
79	shall indicate in the report:
80	(a) which of the above factors contributes to the defendant's incompetency;
81	(b) the nature of the defendant's mental disorder or mental retardation and its
82	relationship to the factors contributing to the defendant's incompetency;
83	(c) the treatment or treatments appropriate and available; and
84	(d) the defendant's capacity to give informed consent to treatment to restore
85	competency.

Enrolled Copy

86 (6) The experts examining the defendant shall provide an initial report to the court and 87 the prosecuting and defense attorneys within 30 days of the receipt of the court's order. The report shall inform the court of the examiner's opinion concerning the competency of the 88 89 defendant to stand trial, or, in the alternative, the examiner may inform the court in writing that 90 additional time is needed to complete the report. If the examiner informs the court that 91 additional time is needed, the examiner shall have up to an additional 30 days to provide the 92 report to the court and counsel. The examiner must provide the report within 60 days from the 93 receipt of the court's order unless, for good cause shown, the court authorizes an additional 94 period of time to complete the examination and provide the report.

95

(7) Any written report submitted by the experts shall:

96

(a) identify the specific matters referred for evaluation;

97 (b) describe the procedures, techniques, and tests used in the examination and the98 purpose or purposes for each;

(c) state the expert's clinical observations, findings, and opinions on each issue referred
for examination by the court, and indicate specifically those issues, if any, on which the expert
could not give an opinion; and

(d) identify the sources of information used by the expert and present the basis for theexpert's clinical findings and opinions.

(8) (a) Any statement made by the defendant in the course of any competency
examination, whether the examination is with or without the consent of the defendant, any
testimony by the expert based upon such statement, and any other fruits of the statement may
not be admitted in evidence against the defendant in any criminal proceeding except on an issue
respecting mental condition on which the defendant has introduced evidence. The evidence may
be admitted, however, where relevant to a determination of the defendant's competency.

(b) Prior to examining the defendant, examiners should specifically advise the defendantof the limits of confidentiality as provided under Subsection (8)(a).

(9) When the report is received the court shall set a date for a mental hearing whichshall be held in not less than five and not more than 15 days, unless the court enlarges the time

for good cause. [The hearing shall be conducted according to the procedures outlined in Subsections 62A-15-631(9)(b) through (9)(f).] Any person or organization directed by the department to conduct the examination may be subpoenaed to testify at the hearing. If the experts are in conflict as to the competency of the defendant, all experts should be called to testify at the hearing if reasonably available. The court may call any examiner to testify at the hearing who is not called by the parties. If the court calls an examiner, counsel for the parties may cross-examine the expert.

(10) A person shall be presumed competent unless the court, by a preponderance of the evidence, finds the person incompetent to proceed. The burden of proof is upon the proponent of incompetency at the hearing. An adjudication of incompetency to proceed shall not operate as an adjudication of incompetency to give informed consent for medical treatment or for any other purpose, unless specifically set forth in the court order.

126 (11) (a) If the court finds the defendant incompetent to stand trial, its order shall 127 contain findings addressing each of the factors in Subsections (4)(a) and (b) of this section. The 128 order issued pursuant to Subsection 77-15-6(1) which the court sends to the facility where the 129 defendant is committed or to the person who is responsible for assessing his progress toward 130 competency shall be provided contemporaneously with the transportation and commitment 131 order of the defendant, unless exigent circumstances require earlier commitment in which case 132 the court shall forward the order within five working days of the order of transportation and 133 commitment of the defendant.

134

(b) The order finding the defendant incompetent to stand trial shall be accompanied by:

(i) copies of the reports of the experts filed with the court pursuant to the order ofexamination if not provided previously;

(ii) copies of any of the psychiatric, psychological, or social work reports submitted tothe court relative to the mental condition of the defendant; and

(iii) any other documents made available to the court by either the defense or theprosecution, pertaining to the defendant's current or past mental condition.

141

(12) If the court finds it necessary to order the defendant transported prior to the

142 completion of findings and compilation of documents required under Subsection (11), the 143 transportation and commitment order delivering the defendant to the Utah State Hospital, or 144 other mental health facility as directed by the executive director of the Department of Human 145 Services or his designee, shall indicate that the defendant's commitment is based upon a finding 146 of incompetency, and the mental health facility's copy of the order shall be accompanied by the 147 reports of any experts filed with the court pursuant to the order of examination. The executive 148 director of the Department of Human Services or his designee may refuse to accept a defendant 149 as a patient unless he is accompanied by a transportation and commitment order which is 150 accompanied by the reports.

(13) Upon a finding of incompetency to stand trial by the court, the prosecuting and defense attorneys shall provide information and materials relevant to the defendant's competency to the facility where the defendant is committed or to the person responsible for assessing his progress towards competency. In addition to any other materials, the prosecuting attorney shall provide:

(a) copies of the charging document and supporting affidavits or other documents usedin the determination of probable cause;

(b) arrest or incident reports prepared by a law enforcement agency pertaining to thecharged offense; and

160 (c) information concerning the defendant's known criminal history.

161 (14) The court may make any reasonable order to insure compliance with this section.

162 (15) Failure to comply with this section shall not result in the dismissal of criminal163 charges.

164 Section 2. Section **77-15-6** is amended to read:

165 77-15-6. Commitment on finding of incompetency to stand trial -- Subsequent
 166 hearings -- Notice to prosecuting attorneys.

167 (1) Except as provided in Subsection (5), if after hearing, the person is found to be
168 incompetent to stand trial, the court shall order the defendant committed to the custody of the
169 executive director of the Department of Human Services or his designee for the purpose of

treatment intended to restore the defendant to competency. The court may recommend but not order placement of the defendant. The court may, however, order that the defendant be placed in a secure setting rather than a nonsecure setting. The director or his designee shall designate the specific placement of the defendant during the period of evaluation and treatment to restore competency.

175 (2) The examiner or examiners designated by the executive director to assess the 176 defendant's progress toward competency may not be involved in the routine treatment of the 177 defendant. The examiner or examiners shall provide a full report to the court and prosecuting 178 and defense attorneys within 90 days of [receipt] arrival of the [court's order] defendant at the 179 treating facility. If any examiner is unable to complete the assessment within 90 days, that 180 examiner shall provide to the court and counsel a summary progress report which informs the 181 court that additional time is necessary to complete the assessment, in which case the examiner 182 shall have up to an additional 90 days to provide the full report. The full report shall assess:

(a) the facility's or program's capacity to provide appropriate treatment for thedefendant;

185 (b) the nature of treatments provided to the defendant;

(c) what progress toward competency restoration has been made with respect to thefactors identified by the court in its initial order;

(d) the defendant's current level of mental disorder or mental retardation and need fortreatment, if any; and

(e) the likelihood of restoration of competency and the amount of time estimated toachieve it.

(3) The court on its own motion or upon motion by either party or by the executive
director may appoint additional mental health examiners to examine the defendant and advise
the court on his current mental status and progress toward competency restoration.

(4) Upon receipt of the full report, the court shall hold a hearing to determine the
defendant's current status. At the hearing, the burden of proving that the defendant is
competent is on the proponent of competency. Following the hearing, the court shall determine

- 7 -

Enrolled Copy

198 by a preponderance of evidence whether the defendant is:

(a) competent to stand trial;

(b) incompetent to stand trial with a substantial probability that the defendant maybecome competent in the foreseeable future; or

(c) incompetent to stand trial without a substantial probability that the defendant maybecome competent in the foreseeable future.

(5) (a) If the court enters a finding pursuant to Subsection (4)(a), the court shall
proceed with the trial or such other procedures as may be necessary to adjudicate the charges.

(b) If the court enters a finding pursuant to Subsection (4)(b), the court may order that
the defendant remain committed to the custody of the executive director of the Department of
Human Services or his designee for the purpose of treatment intended to restore the defendant
to competency.

210 (c) If the court enters a finding pursuant to Subsection (4)(c), the court shall order the defendant released from the custody of the director unless the prosecutor informs the court that 211 212 commitment proceedings pursuant to Title 62A, Chapter 5, Services to People with Disabilities, 213 or Title 62A, Chapter 15, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Act, will be initiated. These 214 commitment proceedings must be initiated within seven days after the court's order entering the 215 finding in Subsection (4)(c), unless the court enlarges the time for good cause shown. The 216 defendant may be ordered to remain in the custody of the director until commitment 217 proceedings have been concluded. If the defendant is committed, the court which entered the 218 order pursuant to Subsection (4)(c), shall be notified by the director at least ten days prior to 219 any release of the committed person.

(6) If the defendant is recommitted to the department pursuant to Subsection (5)(b), thecourt shall hold a hearing one year following the recommitment.

(7) At the hearing held pursuant to Subsection (6), except for defendants charged with
the crimes listed in Subsection (8), a defendant who has not been restored to competency shall
be ordered released or temporarily detained pending civil commitment proceedings under the
same terms as provided in Subsection (5)(c).

(8) If the defendant has been charged with aggravated murder, murder, attempted murder, manslaughter, or a first degree felony and the court determines that the defendant is making reasonable progress towards restoration of competency at the time of the hearing held pursuant to Subsection (6), the court may order the defendant recommitted for a period not to exceed 18 months for the purpose of treatment to restore the defendant to competency with a mandatory review hearing at the end of the 18-month period.

(9) Except for defendants charged with aggravated murder or murder, a defendant who
has not been restored to competency at the time of the hearing held pursuant to Subsection (8)
shall be ordered released or temporarily detained pending civil commitment proceedings under
the same terms as provided in Subsection (5)(c).

(10) If the defendant has been charged with aggravated murder or murder and the court determines that he is making reasonable progress towards restoration of competency at the time of the mandatory review hearing held pursuant to Subsection (8), the court may order the defendant recommitted for a period not to exceed 36 months for the purpose of treatment to restore him to competency.

(11) If the defendant is recommitted to the department pursuant to Subsection (10), the
court shall hold a hearing no later than at 18-month intervals following the recommitment for
the purpose of determining the defendant's competency status.

(12) A defendant who has not been restored to competency at the expiration of the
additional 36-month commitment period ordered pursuant to Subsection (10) shall be ordered
released or temporarily detained pending civil commitment proceedings under the same terms as
provided in Subsection (5)(c).

(13) In no event may the maximum period of detention under this section exceed the maximum period of incarceration which the defendant could receive if he were convicted of the charged offense. This Subsection (13) does not preclude pursuing involuntary civil commitment nor does it place any time limit on civil commitments.

(14) Neither release from a pretrial incompetency commitment under the provisions ofthis section nor civil commitment requires dismissal of criminal charges. The court may retain

- 9 -

jurisdiction over the criminal case and may order periodic reviews to assess the defendant'scompetency to stand trial.

(15) A defendant who is civilly committed pursuant to Title 62A, Chapter 5, Services to
People with Disabilities, or Title 62A, Chapter 15, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Act,
may still be adjudicated competent to stand trial under this chapter.

(16) (a) The remedy for a violation of the time periods specified in this section, other
than those specified in Subsection (5)(c), (7), (9), (12), or (13), shall be a motion to compel the
hearing, or mandamus, but not release from detention or dismissal of the criminal charges.

(b) The remedy for a violation of the time periods specified in Subsection (5)(c), (7),
(9), (12), or (13) shall not be dismissal of the criminal charges.

(17) In cases in which the treatment of the defendant is precluded by court order for a
 period of time, that time period may not be considered in computing time limitations under this
 section.

(18) At any time that the defendant becomes competent to stand trial, the clinical
director of the hospital or other facility or the executive director of the Department of Human
Services shall certify that fact to the court. The court shall conduct a hearing within 15 working
days of the receipt of the clinical director's or executive director's report, unless the court
enlarges the time for good cause.

(19) The court may order a hearing or rehearing at any time on its own motion or upon
recommendations of the clinical director of the hospital or other facility or the executive
director of the Department of Human Services.

(20) Notice of a hearing on competency to stand trial shall be given to the prosecuting
attorney. If the hearing is held in the county where the defendant is confined, notice shall also
be given to the prosecuting attorney for that county.

- 278 Section 3. Section 77-15-6.5 is amended to read:
- 279

77-15-6.5. Petition for involuntary medication of incompetent defendant.

- 280 (1) As used in this section:
- 281 (a) "Executive director" means the executive director of the Department of Human

282 Services or the executive director's designee.

- (b) "Final order" means a court order that determines the rights of the parties andconcerning which appellate remedies have been exhausted or the time for appeal has expired.
- (2) (a) At any time after a defendant has been found incompetent to proceed and has
 been committed to the Department of Human Services under Section 77-15-6 for treatment to
 restore competency, the executive director shall notify the court, prosecuting attorney, and
 attorney for the defendant if the executive director has determined that the defendant is not
 responding to treatment and is unlikely to be restored to competency without the involuntary
 administration of antipsychotic medication.
- (b) The executive director shall provide the notification under Subsection (2)(a) only if
 there is no basis for involuntarily medicating the defendant for reasons other than to restore the
 defendant's competency.
- (3) In the notice under Subsection (2)(a), the executive director shall state whether the
 executive director believes:
- 296

(a) medication is necessary to render the defendant competent;

- (b) medication is substantially likely to render the defendant competent;
- (c) medication is substantially unlikely to produce side effects which would significantly
 interfere with the defendant's ability to assist in his defense;
- 300 (d) no less intrusive means are available, and whether any of those means have been301 attempted to render the defendant competent; and
- 302 (e) medication is medically appropriate and is in the defendant's best medical interest in303 light of his medical condition.
- 304 (4) (a) Upon receipt of the notice under Subsection (2)(a), the court shall conduct a
 305 hearing within 30 days, unless the court extends the time for good cause, to determine whether
 306 the court should convene a hearing regarding the involuntary medication of the defendant.
- 307 [(b) The court shall conduct an involuntary medication hearing according to the
 308 procedures outlined in Subsections 62A-15-631(9)(b) through (9)(f).]
- 309 [(c)] (b) The prosecuting attorney shall represent the state at any hearing under this

Enrolled Copy

310	section.
311	$\left[\frac{(d)}{(c)}\right]$ The court shall consider whether the following factors apply in determining
312	whether the defendant should be involuntarily medicated:
313	(i) important state interests are at stake in restoring the defendant's competency;
314	(ii) involuntary medication will significantly further the important state interests, in that
315	the medication proposed:
316	(A) is substantially likely to render the defendant competent to stand trial; and
317	(B) is substantially unlikely to produce side effects which would significantly interfere
318	with the defendant's ability to assist the defense counsel in conducting his defense;
319	(iii) involuntary medication is necessary to further important state interests, because any
320	alternate less intrusive treatments are unlikely to achieve substantially the same results; and
321	(iv) the administration of the proposed medication is medically appropriate, as it is in
322	the defendant's best medical interest in light of his medical condition.
323	(5) In determining whether the proposed treatment is medically appropriate and is in the
324	defendant's best medical interest, the potential penalty the defendant may be subject to, if the
325	defendant is convicted of any charged offense, is not a relevant consideration.
326	(6) (a) If the court finds by clear and convincing evidence that the involuntary
327	administration of antipsychotic medication is appropriate, it shall make findings addressing each
328	of the factors in Subsection $(4)[(d)](c)$ and shall issue an order authorizing the Department of
329	Human Services to involuntarily administer antipsychotic medication to the defendant in order
330	to restore his competency, subject to the periodic reviews and other procedures provided in
331	Section 77-15-6.
332	(b) When issuing an order under Subsection (6)(a), the court shall consider ordering
333	less intrusive means for administering the drugs, such as a court order to the defendant
334	enforceable by the contempt power, before ordering more intrusive methods of involuntary
335	medication.
336	(7) The provisions in Section 77-15-6 establishing time limitations for treatment of
337	incompetent defendants before they must be either released or civilly committed are tolled from

- 12 -

the time the executive director gives notice to the court and the parties under Subsection (2)
until:
(a) the court has issued a final order for the involuntary medication of the defendant,

341 and the defendant has been medicated under that order; or

- (b) the court has issued a final order that the defendant will not be involuntarilymedicated.
- 344 (8) This section applies only when the prosecution seeks an order of involuntary
- 345 medication solely for the purpose of rendering a defendant competent to proceed.