MINUTES OF THE HOUSE REVENUE AND TAXATION STANDING COMMITTEE Room W135 - West Office Building, State Capitol Complex

February 1, 2008

MEMBERS PRESENT:	Rep. John Dougall, Chair Rep. Craig A. Frank, Vice Chair Rep. Roger Barrus Rep. Tim M. Cosgrove Rep. Wayne A. Harper Rep. Gregory H. Hughes Rep. Christine Johnson Rep. Bradley G. Last Rep. Roz McGee Rep. Merlynn T. Newbold Rep. Aaron Tilton Rep. Carl Wimmer
MEMBERS ABSENT:	Rep. Carol Spackman Moss Rep. Gordon E. Snow
STAFF:	Bryant Howe, Assistant Director Rosemary Young, Secretary

Note: A list of visitors and a copy of handouts are filed with the committee minutes.

Rep. Dougall called the meeting to order at 9:06 a.m.

MOTION: Rep. Frank moved to approve the minutes of the January 29, 2008 meeting. The motion passed unanimously with Rep. Hughes, Rep. Last, Rep. Newbold, and Rep. Tilton absent for the vote.

S.B. 128 Amendments to the Veteran's Property Tax Exemption (Sen. B. Goodfellow) (Rep. N. Hendrickson)

Sen. Goodfellow introduced the bill and explained it to the committee.

MOTION: Rep. Johnson moved to transmit S.B. 128 with a favorable recommendation. The motion passed unanimously with Rep. Hughes, Rep. Last, Rep. Newbold, and Rep. Tilton absent for the vote.

H.B. 279 Tax Incentives for Military Members (*Rep. W. Harper*)

House Revenue and Taxation Standing Committee February 1, 2008 Page 2

Rep. Harper introduced H.B. 279 and explained it to the committee.

MOTION: Rep. Cosgrove moved to transmit H.B. 279 with a favorable recommendation. The motion passed unanimously with Rep. Hughes, Rep. Last, Rep. Newbold, and Rep. Tilton absent for the vote.

H.B. 54 Property Tax Assessment Revisions (*Rep. W. Harper*)

MOTION: Rep. Harper moved to amend H.B. 54 as follows:

- 1. Page 1, Lines 16 through 25:
 - requires a county assessor of a first, second, or third class county to use a computer
 - 17 assisted mass appraisal system to conduct its annual update of property values;
 - 18 requires a county assessor to maintain a record of the last

{-appraisal } <u>property review</u> date for each

- 19 parcel of real property located within the county assessor's county on the county's
- 20 computer system;
- 21 ► requires a county assessor to prepare a five-year plan to comply with the statutory
- 22 appraisal requirements;
- 23 ► requires a county assessor to include the last { appraisal } property review date for a parcel of property
- 24 on the property owner's tax notice; and
- 25 ► makes technical changes.
- 2. Page 2, Lines 28 through 30:
 - 28 Other Special Clauses:

<u>This bill coordinates with H.B. 186, Property Tax -- Assessment and Collection</u> <u>Amendments, by changing technical cross references.</u>

- 29 This bill takes effect on January 1, 2009.
- 30 Utah Code Sections Affected:
- 3. Page 11, Line 332 through Page 12, Line 364:
 - 332 (1) For purposes of this section:
 - 333 (a) " {<u>Appraisal</u>} <u>Property review</u> date" means the date a county assessor completes a detailed review of
 - 334 the property characteristics of a parcel of real property in accordance with Subsection

House Revenue and Taxation Standing Committee February 1, 2008 Page 3

	<u>(3)(a).</u>		
335	(b) "Corrective action" includes:		
336	(i) factoring pursuant to Section 59-2-704;		
337	(ii) notifying the state auditor that the county failed to comply with the requirements		
	<u>of</u>		
338	this section; or		
339	(iii) filing a petition for a court order requiring a county to take action.		
340	(c) "Mass appraisal system" means a computer assisted mass appraisal system that		
	<u>(i)</u> <u>a</u>		
341	county assessor uses to value real property $\{ \overline{\cdot} \}$ <u>; and</u>		
	(ii) includes at least the following system features:		
	(A) has the ability to update all parcels of real property located within the		
	<u>county each year;</u>		
	(B) can be programed with specialized criteria;		
	(C) provides uniform and equal treatment of parcels of real property		
	throughout the county; and		
	(D) annually updates all parcels of real property within the county.		
342	[(1) Beginning January 1, 1994, each] (2) (a) The county assessor shall annually		
343	update property values of property as provided in Section 59-2-301 based on a systematic		
344	review of current market data. [In addition,]		
345	(b) The county assessor of a county of the first, second, or third class shall conduct		
	the		
346	annual update described in Subsection (2)(a) by using a mass appraisal system on or		
	before the		
347	following:		
348	(i) for a county of the first class, January 1, 2009;		
349	(ii) for a county of the second class, January 1, 2010; and		
350	(iii) for a county of the third class, January 1, 2011.		
	(c) The county assessor and the commission shall jointly certify that the		
	county's mass appraisal system meets the requirements:		
	(i) described in Subsection (1)(c); and		
	(ii) of the commission.		
351	(3) (a) In addition to the requirements in Subsection (2), the county assessor shall		
352	complete a detailed review of property characteristics for each property at least once every		
	five		
252			

353 years.

House Revenue and Taxation Standing Committee February 1, 2008

Page 4

4.

354	(b) The county assessor shall maintain on the county's computer system, a record of		
	the		
355	last { appraisal } property review date for each parcel of real property located		
	within the county assessor's county.		
356	[(a)] (4) (a) The commission shall take corrective action if the commission		
	determines		
357	that:		
358	$[\frac{(i)}{(i)}] \left\{ \frac{(i)}{(i)} \right\}$ a county assessor has not satisfactorily followed the current		
	mass appraisal		
359	standards, as provided by law;		
360	$[(ii)]$ $\{(b)\}$ (ii) the sales-assessment ratio, coefficients of dispersion, or other		
	statistical		
361	measures of appraisal performance related to the studies required by Section 59-2-704 are		
	not		
362	within the standards provided by law; or		
363	$[(iii)]$ $\{-(c)\}$ (iii) the county assessor has failed to comply with the		
	requirements of [Subsection		
364	(1)] this section.		
	(b) If a county assessor fails to comply with the requirements of this section for		
	one year, the commission shall assist the county assessor in fulfilling the requirements		
	of Subsections (2) and (3).		
	(c) If a county assessor fails to comply with the requirements of this section for		
two consecutive years, the county will lose the county's allocation of the revenue			
generated statewide from the imposition of the multicounty assessing and collecting			
levy authorized in Sections 59-2-906.1 and 59-2-906.2,			
5			
Page 27, Lines 822 through 825:			
822			
823	(B) an authorized officer of the state for a tax imposed by the state.		

Section 10. Coordinating H.B. 54 with H.B. 186 -- Technical amendments. If this H.B. 54 and H.B. 186, Property Tax -- County Assessment and Collection Amendments, both pass, it is the intent of the Legislature that the Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel, in preparing the Utah Code database for publication, replace the references in Subsection 59-2-303.1(4)(c) to:

(1) "Section 59-2-906.1" with "Section 59-2-1602"; and

(2) "Section 59-2-906.2" with "Section 59-2-1603".

House Revenue and Taxation Standing Committee February 1, 2008 Page 5

824 Section {10 } <u>11</u>. Effective date.
825 <u>This bill takes effect on January 1, 2009.</u>

The motion passed unanimously with Rep. Hughes, Rep. Last, Rep. Newbold, and Rep. Tilton absent for the vote.

Rep. Harper introduced the amended bill and explained it to the committee. James Gander, Professor of Economics at the University of Utah, but speaking as a private citizen distributed a handout and spoke to the bill. Bert Hulet, citizen from Bountiful, spoke to the bill. The following individuals spoke in favor of the bill:

Royce Van Tassell	Utah Taxpayers Association
Ron Mortensen	Citizens Coalition for Tax Fairness
Lee Gardner	Salt Lake County Assessor
Kris Poulson	Utah County Assessor

MOTION: Rep. Frank moved to transmit H.B. 54 as amended with a favorable recommendation. The motion passed unanimously.

H.B. 58 Severance Tax Amendments (*Rep. J. Dougall*)

Rep. Dougall expressed the need for more time than was available to discuss the bill, so it was held to a future meeting.

MOTION: Rep. Newbold moved to adjourn. The motion passed unanimously.

Rep. Dougall adjourned the meeting at 9:46 a.m.

Rep. John Dougall, Chair