	Representative Bradley M. Daw proposes the following substitute bill:
1	SUBPOENAS FOR RECORDS IN CERTAIN
2	CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS
3	2009 GENERAL SESSION
4	STATE OF UTAH
5	<b>Chief Sponsor: Bradley M. Daw</b>
6	Senate Sponsor: John L. Valentine
7 8	LONG TITLE
9	General Description:
0	This bill modifies the Criminal Code to allow investigative subpoenas of Internet
1	service providers in the investigation of sexual offenses against minors.
2	Highlighted Provisions:
3	This bill:
1	<ul> <li>allows prosecutors to issue subpoenas regarding Internet information related to the</li> </ul>
5	investigation of a sexual offense against a minor;
5	<ul> <li>specifies the information that can be subpoenaed;</li> </ul>
7	<ul> <li>allows the provider to charge a reasonable fee for providing the information and</li> </ul>
3	requires that the investigating law enforcement agency pay the fee;
)	<ul> <li>requires that the provider that receives the subpoena may not notify the account</li> </ul>
)	holder who is the subject of the subpoena; and
	<ul> <li>provides definitions.</li> </ul>
	Monies Appropriated in this Bill:
;	None
ļ	Other Special Clauses:
5	This bill provides an immediate effective date.

# 

2nd Sub. H.B. 59

# 2nd Sub. (Gray) H.B. 59

Utah Code Sections Affected:	
	ENACTS:
	77-22-2.5, Utah Code Annotated 1953
	Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:
	Section 1. Section 77-22-2.5 is enacted to read:
	77-22-2.5. Subpoenas for investigation of Internet sexual offenses against minors
	Content of the subpoena Fee for providing information.
	(1) As used in this section:
	(a) "Internet service provider" has the same definition as in Section 76-10-1230.
	(b) "Prosecutor" has the same definition as in Section 77-22-2.
	(c) "Sexual offense against a minor" means:
	(i) sexual exploitation of a minor as defined in Section 76-5a-3 or attempted sexual
	exploitation of a minor;
	(ii) a sexual offense or attempted sexual offense committed against a minor in violation
	of Title 76, Chapter 5, Part 4, Sexual Offenses; or
	(iii) dealing in or attempting to deal in material harmful to a minor in violation of
	<u>Section 76-10-1206.</u>
	(2) When a law enforcement agency is investigating a sexual offense against a minor
	and has reasonable suspicion that an Internet protocol address has been used in the commission
	of the offense, the prosecutor may issue an administrative subpoena, consistent with 18 U.S.C.
	2703, to the Internet service provider that owns or controls the Internet protocol address,
	requiring the production of the following information, if available, upon providing in the
	subpoena the Internet protocol address and the dates and times the address was suspected of
	being used in the commission of the offense:
	<u>(a)</u> Ŝ→ [ <u>name</u> ] <u>names</u> ←Ŝ <u>;</u>
	(b) $\hat{S}$ → [addresses] addresses ← $\hat{S}$ ;
	$\hat{S} \rightarrow \underline{(c)}$ local and long distance telephone connections;
	$[\underline{(c)}] (\underline{d}) \leftarrow \hat{S}$ records of session times and durations;
	$\hat{S} \rightarrow [(d)]$ (e) $\leftarrow \hat{S}$ length of service, including the start date and types of service utilized;
	$\hat{S} \rightarrow [\underline{(e)}] (\underline{f}) \leftarrow \hat{S}$ telephone or $\hat{S} \rightarrow \underline{other} \leftarrow \hat{S}$ instrument $\hat{S} \rightarrow [\underline{number}]$ subscriber
	<b>numbers</b> $\leftarrow \hat{S}$ or other subscriber $\hat{S} \rightarrow [$ <u>number or identity</u> $]$ <u>identifiers</u> $\leftarrow \hat{S}$ , including
	any temporarily assigned network address; and

02-05-09 3:18 PM

57	$\hat{S} \rightarrow [\underline{(f)}] (g) \leftarrow \hat{S}$ means and sources of payment for the service $\hat{S} \rightarrow [\underline{described under this}]$
57a	<u>Subsection (2)</u> ] ←Ŝ
58	including any credit card or bank account numbers $\hat{S} \rightarrow [of a subscriber to or a customer of the$
59	<u>service</u> ] ←Ŝ <u>.</u>
60	(3) A subpoena issued under this section shall state that the Internet service provider
61	shall produce any records under Subsection (2) that are reasonably relevant to the investigation
62	of $\hat{S} \rightarrow [a]$ the suspected $\leftarrow \hat{S}$ sexual offense against a minor $\hat{S} \rightarrow \underline{as}$ described in the subpoend $\leftarrow \hat{S}$ .
63	(4) (a) An Internet service provider that provides information in response to a subpoena
64	issued under this section may charge a fee, not to exceed the actual cost, for providing the
65	information.
66	(b) The law enforcement agency conducting the investigation shall pay the fee.
67	(5) The Internet service provider served with or responding to the subpoena may not
68	disclose the subpoena to the account holder identified pursuant to the subpoena.
69	(6) If the Internet service provider served with the subpoena does not own or control
70	the Internet protocol address that is the subject of the subpoena, the provider shall:
71	(a) notify the investigating law enforcement agency that it does not have the
72	information; and
73	(b) provide to the investigating law enforcement agency any information the provider
74	<u>may have regarding</u> $\hat{S} \rightarrow \underline{how \ to \ locate} \leftarrow \hat{S}$ the Internet service provider that does own or control
74a	the Internet protocol
75	address.
76	(7) (a) Every prosecutorial agency that has issued any subpoenas under this section
77	shall prepare a written report each year on or before June 30th listing the number of
78	administrative subpoenas issued.
79	(b) The report shall be submitted to the Utah Commission on Criminal and Juvenile
80	Justice on or before August 31st of the same year.
81	Section 2. Effective date.
82	If approved by two-thirds of all the members elected to each house, this bill takes effect
83	upon approval by the governor, or the day following the constitutional time limit of Utah
84	Constitution Article VII, Section 8, without the governor's signature, or in the case of a veto,
85	the date of veto override.

#### H.B. 59 2nd Sub. (Gray) - Subpoenas for Records in Certain Criminal Investigations

**Fiscal Note** 

2009 General Session

State of Utah

## **State Impact**

Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations.

## Individual, Business and/or Local Impact

Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals. Businesses and local governments may be impacted by reporting requirements and associated fees included in the bill.

2/9/2009, 10:11:58 AM, Lead Analyst: Ricks, G.

Office of the Legislative Fiscal Analyst