<b>¢</b>	Approv	ed for Filing	g: S.C. Ha	lverson	¢
	<b>C</b>	02-27-09 8	3:44 AM	<b>©</b>	

TRANSPORTATION AMENDMENTS	
2009 GENERAL SESSION	
STATE OF UTAH	
Chief Sponsor: Wayne A. Harper	
Senate Sponsor: Sheldon L. Killpack	
LONG TITLE	
General Description:	
This bill modifies general obligation bond provisions and the Transportation Code by	
amending provisions relating to transportation funding.	
Highlighted Provisions:	
This bill:	
<ul> <li>provides that a portion of certain general obligation bond proceeds previously</li> </ul>	
authorized that were allocated for certain transportation projects:	
• Ĥ→ [to Cottonwood Heights shall be provided] ←Ĥ to Murray City for	
improvements	
between Cottonwood Street and 4500 South; and	
<ul> <li>for highway bridge construction and reconstruction on 14600 South shall be</li> </ul>	
used for highway, access, and other improvements for the new commuter rail	
station at the south end of Salt Lake County;	
$\hat{H} \rightarrow \underline{\hspace{0.2cm}}$ provides that for the fiscal year 2009-10 only, the executive director shall use a	
portion of the funds in the County of the First Class State Highway Projects Fund to pay for	
<u>certain highway projects</u> ; ←Ĥ	
▶ provides that $\hat{H}$ → for a fiscal year beginning on or after July 1, 2010, ← $\hat{H}$ the	
executive director shall use at least a certain portion of the fund	
monies in the County of the First Class State Highway Projects Fund to pay for:	
<ul> <li>east-west transportation route improvements in a county of the first class; and</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>state highway capacity improvement and congestion mitigation projects in a</li> </ul>	
county of the first class; and	
makes technical changes.	
Monies Appropriated in this Bill:	
None	

- 1 -



H.B. 185 02-27-09 8:44 AM

Other Special Clauses:			
None			
<b>Utah Code Sections Affected:</b>			
AMENDS:			
63B-16-102, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2008, Second Special Session, Chapter 4			
<b>72-2-121</b> , as last amended by Laws of Utah 2008, Chapters 286 and 337			
Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:			
Section 1. Section <b>63B-16-102</b> is amended to read:			
63B-16-102. Highway bonds Maximum amount Projects authorized.			
(1) (a) The total amount of bonds issued under this section may not exceed			
\$100,000,000.			
(b) When the Department of Transportation certifies to the commission the amount of			
bond proceeds that it needs to provide funding for the highway construction project described			
in Subsection (2) for the next fiscal year, the commission may issue and sell general obligation			
bonds in an amount equal to the certified amount plus costs of issuance.			
(2) (a) As used in this Subsection (2), "Mountain View Corridor" means the land area			
of Salt Lake County running from Interstate 80 south between SR-154 and SR-111 to 12600			
South and then south and southeasterly to the northern portion of Utah County west of SR-15.			
(b) Except as provided in Subsection (3), proceeds from the issuance of bonds shall be			
provided to the Department of Transportation to pay for the costs of acquiring rights-of-way			
and constructing a highway construction project within the Mountain View Corridor.			
(c) The Department of Transportation shall use bond proceeds to pay for the portion of			
the highway construction project described in this Subsection (2) that is located in Salt Lake			
County.			
(d) The costs under this Subsection (2) may include the cost of acquiring land, interests			
in land, easements and rights-of-way, improving sites, and making all improvements necessary,			
incidental, or convenient to the facilities, interest estimated to accrue on these bonds during the			
period to be covered by construction of the projects plus a period of six months after the end of			
the construction period, interest estimated to accrue on any bond anticipation notes issued			
under the authority of this title, and all related engineering, architectural, and legal fees.			

59	(3) (a) Thirty million dollars of the bond proceeds issued under this section shall be		
60	provided to the Department of Transportation and funds under Subsection 72-2-121(4)(c) shall		
61	be used by the Department of Transportation to pay for or to provide funds to a municipality or		
62	county to pay for the costs of right-of-way acquisition, construction, reconstruction,		
63	renovations, or improvements to highways described in Subsection (3)(b).		
64	(b) Bond proceeds described under Subsection (3)(a) and funds under Subsection		
65	72-2-121(4)(c) shall be used to pay the amounts described in this Subsection (3)(b) for the		
66	following highways in Salt Lake County:		
67	(i) \$6,500,000 to Taylorsville City for:		
68	(A) 6200 South and Redwood Road intersection, interchange, and highway		
69	improvements; and		
70	(B) an environmental study and preliminary engineering at 5400 South and Interstate		
71	215;		
72	(ii) \$2,000,000 to West Valley City for 7200 West;		
73	(iii) \$4,000,000 to West Jordan City for 7800 South and Airport Road intersection and		
74	highway improvements;		
75	(iv) \$6,250,000 to Sandy City for 1300 East, 9000 South to 11400 South, and State		
76	Street to Interstate 15;		
77	(v) \$1,500,000 to Riverton City for right-of-way acquisition between Mountain View		
78	Corridor and Bangerter Highway north of 13400 South;		
79	(vi) \$3,500,000 for highway [and bridge construction and reconstruction on 14600		
80	South], access, and other improvements for the new commuter rail station in the south end of		
81	Salt Lake County;		
82	(vii) \$11,000,000 to Draper City for the costs of highway improvements in the Salt		
83	Lake County portion of Draper City;		
84	(viii) \$1,000,000 to Murray City for highway improvements <b>Ĥ→ [for]</b> between ← <b>Ĥ</b>		
84a	Cottonwood Street $\hat{\mathbf{H}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{and}} \ 4500 \ \underline{\mathbf{South}} \leftarrow \hat{\mathbf{H}}$ ;		
85	(ix) $$1,000,000$ to $\mathbf{\hat{H}} \rightarrow [f]$ Cottonwood Heights for Fort Union Boulevard between		
85a	1300 East		
86	and Highland Drive [] [Murray City for improvements between Cottonwood Street and 4500		
87	<u>South</u> ] ←Ĥ;		
88	(x) \$1,000,000 to Midvale City for State Street between 7200 South and 9000 South;		
89	and		

H.B. 185 02-27-09 8:44 AM

90	(xi) \$250,000 to Salt Lake County for 2300 East.	
91	(c) Prior to a municipality or county receiving funds described in this Subsection (3),	
92	the municipality or county shall sign and file a written certification with the department	
93	certifying that it will use the funds provided under this Subsection (3) solely for the projects	
94	described in Subsection (3)(b).	
95	(4) The commission or the state treasurer may make any statement of intent relating to	
96	a reimbursement that is necessary or desirable to comply with federal tax law.	
97	(5) The Department of Transportation may enter into agreements related to the project	
98	before the receipt of proceeds of bonds issued under this chapter.	
99	Section 2. Section <b>72-2-121</b> is amended to read:	
100	72-2-121. County of the First Class State Highway Projects Fund.	
101	(1) There is created a special revenue fund entitled the County of the First Class State	
102	Highway Projects Fund.	
103	(2) The fund consists of monies generated from the following revenue sources:	
104	(a) any voluntary contributions received for new construction, major renovations, and	
105	improvements to state highways within a county of the first class;	
106	(b) the portion of the sales and use tax described in Subsection 59-12-502(5)(a)	
107	deposited in or transferred to the fund;	
108	(c) the portion of the sales and use tax described in Subsection 59-12-1703(4)(a)(ii)	
109	and required by Subsection 59-12-1703(7)(b)(ii) to be deposited in or transferred to the fund;	
110	and	
111	(d) a portion of the local option highway construction and transportation corridor	
112	preservation fee imposed in a county of the first class under Section 41-1a-1222 deposited in or	
113	transferred to the fund.	
114	(3) (a) The fund shall earn interest.	
115	(b) All interest earned on fund monies shall be deposited into the fund.	
116	(4) The executive director shall use fund monies only:	
	•	
<ul><li>116</li><li>117</li><li>118</li><li>119</li></ul>	<ul><li>(4) The executive director shall use fund monies only:</li><li>(a) to pay debt service and bond issuance costs for bonds issued under Section 63B-16-102;</li><li>(b) for right-of-way acquisition, new construction, major renovations, and</li></ul>	

improvements to state highways within a county of the first class and to pay any debt service

120

121	and bond issuance costs related to those projects; and
122	(c) for fiscal year 2008-09 only, to pay for or to provide funds to a municipality or
123	county to pay for right-of-way acquisition, construction, reconstruction, renovations, and
124	improvements to highways described in Subsection 63B-16-102(3).
125	(5) $\hat{\mathbf{H}} \rightarrow [\underline{(a)}]$ For fiscal years beginning with fiscal year 2009-10 and ending with fiscal year
126	2011-12] (a)(i) For the fiscal year 2009-10 only, the executive director shall use an amount not
126a	to exceed \$14,000,000 of the fund monies available that are not required to pay principal,
126b	interest, and issuance costs of bonds issued under Section 63B-16-102 to pay for the projects in
126c	the following order of priority:
126d	(A) to pay for interchange improvements at 5400 South and Bangerter Highway;
126e	(B) to pay for interchange improvements at 4700 South and Bangerter Highway; and
126f	(C) to pay for reversible lanes on 5400 South from Bangerter Highway going east,
126g	terminating at either Redwood Road or Interstate 15.
126h	(ii) The executive director shall use the remaining amount described in Subsection
126i	(5)(a)(i) not required for the projects described in Subsections (5)(a)(i)(A), (B), and (C) to pay
126j	for intersection and congestion mitigation projects identified by the department in the
126k	east-west transportation route improvement study.
1261	(b) For fiscal years beginning with fiscal year 2010-11 and ending with fiscal year
126m	2012-13 ← $\hat{\mathbf{H}}$ , the executive director shall use at least 20% of fund monies available that are not
127	required to pay principal, interest, and issuance costs of bonds issued under Section
128	63B-16-102 to pay for:
129	(i) east-west transportation route improvements in a county of the first class; and
130	(ii) state highway capacity improvement and congestion mitigation projects in a county
131	of the first class.
132	$\hat{\mathbf{H}} \rightarrow [\underline{\mathbf{(b)}}] (\underline{\mathbf{c}}) \leftarrow \hat{\mathbf{H}}$ For a fiscal year beginning on or after July 1, $\hat{\mathbf{H}} \rightarrow [\underline{2012}] \underline{2013} \leftarrow \hat{\mathbf{H}}$ , the
132a	executive director shall use
133	at least $\hat{H} \rightarrow [20\%] 25\% \leftarrow \hat{H}$ of fund monies $\hat{H} \rightarrow that$ are not required to pay principal,
133a	interest, and issuance costs of bonds issued under Section 63B-16-102 ←Ĥ to pay for:
134	(i) east-west transportation route improvements in a county of the first class; and
135	(ii) state highway capacity improvement and congestion mitigation projects in a county
136	of the first class.
137	[(5)] (6) The revenues described in Subsections (2)(b), (c), and (d) that are deposited in
138	the fund and bond proceeds from bonds issued under Section 63B-16-102 are considered a
139	local matching contribution for the purposes described under Section 72-2-123.

[(6)] (7) The additional administrative costs of the department to administer this fund shall be paid from the monies in the fund.

Legislative Review Note as of 2-26-09 5:17 PM

Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel

## H.B. 185 - Transportation Amendments

## **Fiscal Note**

2009 General Session State of Utah

## **State Impact**

Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations.

## Individual, Business and/or Local Impact

Enactment of this bill will redirect certain transportation funds which may benefit certain individuals, businesses, or local governments in a county of the first class.

2/27/2009, 5:33:38 PM, Lead Analyst: Amon, R.

Office of the Legislative Fiscal Analyst