♠ Approved for Filing: S.C. Halverson ♠

₾ 01-30-09 6:39 AM **₾**

1	PROHIBITION OF WIRELESS							
2	COMMUNICATION DEVICE USE IN A MOTOR							
3	VEHICLE							
4	2009 GENERAL SESSION							
5	STATE OF UTAH							
6	Chief Sponsor: Stephen D. Clark							
7	Senate Sponsor:							
8 9	LONG TITLE							
10	General Description:							
11	This bill modifies the Motor Vehicles Code by amending provisions relating to using a							
12	wireless communication device for text messaging or electronic mail communication							
13	while operating a motor vehicle.							
14	Highlighted Provisions:							
15	This bill:							
16	provides definitions;							
17	 prohibits a person from using a wireless communication device for text messaging 							
18	or electronic mail communication while operating a motor vehicle;							
19	 provides exceptions to the wireless communication device prohibition; 							
20	 provides that it is an infraction for violating the wireless communication device 							
21	prohibition;							
22	provides that a violation of this section is not a reportable violation and points may							
23	not be assessed against a person for the violation; and							
24	makes technical changes.							
25	Monies Appropriated in this Bill:							
26	None							
27	Other Special Clauses:							



H.B. 290 01-30-09 6:39 AM

28	None						
29	Utah Code Sections Affected:						
30	ENACTS:						
31	41-6a-1716 , Utah Code Annotated 1953						
32							
33	Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:						
34	Section 1. Section 41-6a-1716 is enacted to read:						
35	41-6a-1716. Prohibition on using a wireless communication device while operating						
36	a motor vehicle Exceptions Penalty.						
37	(1) As used in this section:						
38	(a) "Text messaging" has the same meaning as defined in Section 76-4-401.						
39	(b) (i) "Wireless communication device" means a device used for the transfer of						
40	information without the use of electrical conductors or wires.						
41	(ii) "Wireless communication device" includes a:						
42	(A) wireless telephone;						
43	(B) personal digital assistant;						
44	(C) pager; or						
45	(D) text messaging device.						
46	(2) Except as provided in Subsection (3), a person may not use a wireless						
47	communication device for text messaging or electronic mail communication while operating a						
48	motor vehicle upon a highway of this state.						
49	(3) Subsection (2) does not prohibit a person from using a wireless communication						
50	device while operating a motor vehicle:						
51	(a) during a medical emergency;						
52	(b) when reporting a safety hazard or requesting assistance relating to a safety hazard;						
53	(c) when reporting criminal activity or requesting assistance relating to a criminal						
54	activity; or						
55	(d) when used by a law enforcement officer or emergency service personnel acting						
56	within the course and scope of their employment.						
57	(4) A person who violates this section is guilty of an infraction.						
58	(5) A violation of this section is not a reportable violation as defined in Section						

59 <u>53-3-102</u> and points may not be assessed a person for the violation.

Legislative Review Note as of 1-29-09 4:43 PM

Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel

Fiscal Note

H.B. 290 - Prohibition of Wireless Communication Device Use in a Motor Vehicle

2009 General Session State of Utah

State Impact

Enactment of this bill will require \$2,000 per year from the General Fund appropriated to the Courts beginning in FY 2010. The bill will also generate \$2,700 per year in new General Fund revenue beginning in FY 2010, for a net General Fund increase of \$700 per year.

	2009 <u>Approp.</u>	2010 <u>Approp.</u>	2011 <u>Approp.</u>	2009 2010 2011		
				Revenue	Revenue	Revenue
General Fund	\$0	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$0		\$2,700
Total	\$0	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$0	\$2,700	\$2,700

Individual, Business and/or Local Impact

Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals or businesses. Local governments through Justice Courts adjudications may benefit.

2/6/2009, 12:02:30 PM, Lead Analyst: Ricks, G.

Office of the Legislative Fiscal Analyst