1st Sub. S.B. 68 MINING PROTECTION AMENDMENTS

HOUSE FLOOR AMENDMENTS

AMENDMENT 3

MARCH 11, 2009 12:38 PM

Representative **Christine F. Watkins** proposes the following amendments:

- 1. Page 5, Lines 147 through 148:
 - industrial protection area, respectively.

(20) "Residential subdivision" means a lawful division of land into separate fee simple interests:

(a) by:

(i) a subdivision plat that is:

(A) authorized by a county, city, or town; and

(B) recorded; or

(ii) a lawful conveyance that creates a division of land into ten or more lots with an average size of ten acres or less; and

(b) if the authorized use of the subdivided parcels under applicable zoning and subdivision ordinances includes the development of dwellings for residential occupancy.

- 148 $\left[\frac{(11)}{(20)}\right]$ (21) "State agency" means each department, commission, board, council,
- 2. Page 6, Lines 151 through 153:
 - 151 $[\frac{(12)}{21}]$ $\frac{(22)}{21}$ "Unincorporated" means not within a city or town.
 - 152 {<u>(22)</u>} <u>(23)</u> <u>"Vested mining use" means a mining use:</u>
 - (a) by a mine operator; {-and-}
- 3. Page 6, Line 155:
 - prohibits, restricts, or otherwise limits a mining use $\{-\}$; and
 - (c) that is at least one mile away from a residential subdivision.
- 4. Page 9, Line 250:
 - 250 <u>mining use to comply with</u> { the generally applicable, } reasonable health and safety regulations setback ordinances, residential zoning ordinances,
- 5. Page 9, Line 269:
 - 269 <u>law and regulations</u> <u>and all applicable ordinances and regulations enacted by a political subdivision</u> is presumed to be operating within sound mining practices.
- 6. Page 10, Line 275:

- 275 <u>land within the vicinity of the vested mining use</u> , if the vested mining use does not result in off-site contamination or an off-site release of pollutants .
- 7. Page 11, Line 310
 Senate 2nd Reading Amendments
 3-9-2009:
 - - (ii) before a political subdivision prohibits,
- 8. Page 11, Line 313
 Senate 2nd Reading Amendments
 3-9-2009:
 - 313 of proof to show by { clear and convincing } a preponderance of the use has not been evidence that the vested mining
- 9. Page 12, Line 356
 Senate 2nd Reading Amendments
 3-9-2009:
 - yested mining use to new land , if the expansion conforms with all applicable:
 - (i) federal or state laws and regulations; and
 - (ii) ordinances enacted by a political subdivision .