LEGISLATIVE GENERAL COUNSEL Approved for Filing: E. Chelsea-McCarty £ 02-12-10 12:17 PM £

H.B. 284 1st Sub. (Buff)

Representative Lorie D. Fowlke proposes the following substitute bill:

1	UNIFORM COLLABORATIVE LAW ACT
2	2010 GENERAL SESSION
3	STATE OF UTAH
4	Chief Sponsor: Lorie D. Fowlke
5	Senate Sponsor: Lyle W. Hillyard
6 7	LONG TITLE
8	General Description:
9	This bill creates the Utah Uniform Collaborative Law Act.
10	Highlighted Provisions:
11	This bill:
12	 establishes minimum requirements for collaborative law participation agreements,
13	including written agreements, description of the matter submitted to a collaborative
14	law process, and designation of collaborative lawyers;
15	requires that the collaborative law process be voluntary;
16	specifies when and how a collaborative law process begins and is terminated;
17	 creates a stay of proceedings when parties sign a participation agreement to attempt
18	to resolve a matter related to a proceeding pending before a tribunal while allowing
19	the tribunal to ask for periodic status reports;
20	 creates an exception to the stay of proceedings for a collaborative law process for
21	emergency orders to protect health, safety, welfare, or interests of a party, a family
22	member, or a dependent;
23	► authorizes \$→ [tribunals] courts ←\$ to approve settlements arising out of a
23a	collaborative law
24	process;
25	► codifies the disqualification requirement of collaborative lawyers if a collaborative



56

26	law process terminates;
27	• defines the scope of the disqualification requirement to both the matter specified in
28	the collaborative law participation agreement and to matters related to the
29	collaborative matter;
30	 extends the disqualification requirement to lawyers in a law firm with which the
31	collaborative lawyer is associated;
32	 requires parties to a collaborative law participation agreement to voluntarily
33	disclose relevant information during the collaborative law process without formal
34	discovery requests and update information previously disclosed that has materially
35	changed;
36	 acknowledges that standards of professional responsibility and child abuse reporting
37	for lawyers and other professionals are not changed by their participation in a
38	collaborative law process;
39	 requires that lawyers disclose and discuss the material risks and benefits of a
40	collaborative law process to help insure parties enter into collaborative law
41	participation agreements with informed consent;
42	 creates an obligation on collaborative lawyers to screen clients for domestic
43	violence and, if present, to participate in a collaborative law process only if the
44	victim consents and the lawyer is reasonably confident that the victim will be safe;
45	and
46	 authorizes parties to reach an agreement on the scope of confidentiality of their
47	collaborative law communications.
48	Monies Appropriated in this Bill:
49	None
50	Other Special Clauses:
51	None
52	Utah Code Sections Affected:
53	ENACTS:
54	78B-19-101 , Utah Code Annotated 1953
55	78B-19-102 , Utah Code Annotated 1953

78B-19-103, Utah Code Annotated 1953

02-12-10 12:17 PM

1st Sub. (Buff) H.B. 284

7	78B-19-104 , Utah Code Annotated 1953
}	78B-19-105 , Utah Code Annotated 1953
	78B-19-106 , Utah Code Annotated 1953
	78B-19-107 , Utah Code Annotated 1953
	78B-19-108 , Utah Code Annotated 1953
	78B-19-109 , Utah Code Annotated 1953
	78B-19-110 , Utah Code Annotated 1953
	78B-19-111 , Utah Code Annotated 1953
	78B-19-112 , Utah Code Annotated 1953
	78B-19-113 , Utah Code Annotated 1953
	78B-19-114 , Utah Code Annotated 1953
	78B-19-115 , Utah Code Annotated 1953
	78B-19-116 , Utah Code Annotated 1953
	Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:
	Section 1. Section 78B-19-101 is enacted to read:
	CHAPTER 19. UTAH UNIFORM COLLABORATIVE LAW ACT
	<u>78B-19-101.</u> Title.
	This chapter may be cited as the "Utah Uniform Collaborative Law Act."
	Section 2. Section 78B-19-102 is enacted to read:
	<u>78B-19-102.</u> Definitions.
	In this chapter:
	(1) "Collaborative law communication" means a statement, whether oral or in a record,
	or verbal or nonverbal, that:
	or verbal or nonverbal, that: (a) is made to conduct, participate in, continue, or reconvene a collaborative law
	(a) is made to conduct, participate in, continue, or reconvene a collaborative law
	(a) is made to conduct, participate in, continue, or reconvene a collaborative law process; and
	(a) is made to conduct, participate in, continue, or reconvene a collaborative law process; and (b) occurs after the parties sign a collaborative law participation agreement and before
	(a) is made to conduct, participate in, continue, or reconvene a collaborative law process; and (b) occurs after the parties sign a collaborative law participation agreement and before the collaborative law process is concluded.
	(a) is made to conduct, participate in, continue, or reconvene a collaborative law process; and (b) occurs after the parties sign a collaborative law participation agreement and before the collaborative law process is concluded. (2) "Collaborative law participation agreement" means an agreement by persons to

88	matter without intervention by a tribunal in which persons:
89	(a) sign a collaborative law participation agreement; and
90	(b) are represented by collaborative lawyers.
91	(4) "Collaborative lawyer" means a lawyer who represents a party in a collaborative
92	law process.
93	(5) "Collaborative matter" means a dispute, transaction, claim, problem, or issue for
94	resolution described in a collaborative law participation agreement.
95	(6) "Law firm" means:
96	(a) lawyers who practice law together in a partnership, professional corporation, sole
97	proprietorship, limited liability company, or association;
98	(b) lawyers employed in a legal services organization;
99	(c) the legal department of a corporation or other organization; or
100	(d) the legal department of a government or governmental subdivision, agency, or
101	instrumentality.
102	(7) "Nonparty participant" means a person, other than a party and the party's
103	collaborative lawyer, that participates in a collaborative law process.
104	(8) "Party" means a person that signs a collaborative law participation agreement and
105	whose consent is necessary to resolve a collaborative matter.
106	(9) "Person" means an individual, corporation, business trust, estate, trust, partnership,
107	limited liability company, association, joint venture, public corporation, government or
108	governmental subdivision, agency, or instrumentality, or any other legal or commercial entity.
109	(10) "Proceeding" means:
110	(a) a judicial, administrative, arbitral, or other adjudicative process before a tribunal,
111	including related pre-hearing and post-hearing motions, conferences, and discovery; or
112	(b) a legislative hearing or similar process.
113	(11) "Prospective party" means a person that discusses with a prospective collaborative
114	lawyer the possibility of signing a collaborative law participation agreement.
115	(12) "Record" means information that is inscribed on a tangible medium or that is
116	stored in an electronic or other medium and is retrievable in perceivable form.
117	(13) "Related to a collaborative matter" means involving the same parties, transaction
118	or occurrence, nucleus of operative fact, dispute, claim, or issue as the collaborative matter.

119	(14) "Sign" means, with present intent to authenticate or adopt a record:
120	(a) to execute or adopt a tangible symbol; or
121	(b) to attach to or logically associate with the record an electronic symbol, sound, or
122	process.
123	(15) "Tribunal" means:
124	(a) a court, arbitrator, administrative agency, or other body acting in an adjudicative
125	capacity which, after presentation of evidence or legal argument, has jurisdiction to render a
126	decision affecting a party's interests in a matter; or
127	(b) a legislative body conducting a hearing or similar process.
128	Section 3. Section 78B-19-103 is enacted to read:
129	<u>78B-19-103.</u> Applicability.
130	This chapter applies to a collaborative law participation agreement that meets the
131	requirements of Section 78B-19-104 signed on or after May 11, 2010.
132	Section 4. Section 78B-19-104 is enacted to read:
133	78B-19-104. Collaborative law participation agreement Requirements.
134	(1) A collaborative law participation agreement must:
135	(a) be in a record;
136	(b) be signed by the parties;
137	(c) state the parties' intention to resolve a collaborative matter through a collaborative
138	law process under this chapter;
139	(d) describe the nature and scope of the matter;
140	(e) identify the collaborative lawyer who represents each party in the process; and
141	(f) contain a statement by each collaborative lawyer confirming the lawyer's
142	representation of a party in the collaborative law process.
143	(2) Parties may agree to include in a collaborative law participation agreement
144	additional provisions not inconsistent with this chapter.
145	Section 5. Section 78B-19-105 is enacted to read:
146	78B-19-105. Beginning and concluding a collaborative law process.
147	(1) A collaborative law process begins when the parties sign a collaborative law
148	participation agreement.
149	(2) A tribunal may not order a party to participate in a collaborative law process over

150	that party's objection.
151	(3) A collaborative law process is concluded by a:
152	(a) resolution of a collaborative matter as evidenced by a signed record;
153	(b) resolution of a part of the collaborative matter, evidenced by a signed record, in
154	which the parties agree that the remaining parts of the matter will not be resolved in the
155	process; or
156	(c) termination of the process.
157	(4) A collaborative law process terminates:
158	(a) when a party gives notice to other parties in a record that the process is ended; or
159	(b) when a party:
160	(i) begins a proceeding related to a collaborative matter without the agreement of all
161	parties; or
162	(ii) in a pending proceeding related to the matter:
163	(A) initiates a pleading, motion, order to show cause, or request for a conference with
164	the tribunal;
165	(B) requests that the proceeding be put on the tribunal's calendar; or
166	(C) takes similar action requiring notice to be sent to the parties; or
167	(c) except as otherwise provided by Subsection (5), when a party discharges a
168	collaborative lawyer or a collaborative lawyer withdraws from further representation of a party.
169	(5) A party's collaborative lawyer shall give prompt notice to all other parties of a
170	discharge or withdrawal, in accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure.
171	(6) A party may terminate a collaborative law process with or without cause.
172	(7) Notwithstanding the discharge or withdrawal of a collaborative lawyer, a
173	collaborative law process continues, if not later than 30 days after the date that the notice of the
174	discharge or withdrawal of a collaborative lawyer required by Subsection (4)(c) is sent to the
175	parties:
176	(a) the unrepresented party engages a successor collaborative lawyer; and
177	(b) in a signed record:
178	(i) the parties consent to continue the process by reaffirming the collaborative law
179	participation agreement;
180	(ii) the agreement is amended to identify the successor collaborative lawyer; and

02-12-10 12:17 PM

181	(iii) the successor collaborative lawyer confirms the lawyer's representation of a party
182	in the collaborative process.
183	(8) A collaborative law process does not conclude if, with the consent of the parties, a
184	party requests a tribunal to approve a resolution of the collaborative matter or any part thereof
185	as evidenced by a signed record.
186	(9) A collaborative law participation agreement may provide additional methods of
187	concluding a collaborative law process.
188	Section 6. Section 78B-19-106 is enacted to read:
189	78B-19-106. Proceedings pending before tribunal Status report.
190	(1) Persons in a proceeding pending before a tribunal may sign a collaborative law
191	participation agreement to seek to resolve a collaborative matter related to the proceeding.
192	Parties shall file promptly with the tribunal a notice of the agreement after it is signed. Subject
193	to Subsection (3) and Sections 78B-19-107 and 78B-19-108, the filing shall include a request
194	for a stay of the proceeding.
195	(2) Parties shall file promptly with the tribunal notice in a record when a collaborative
196	law process concludes and request the stay to be lifted. The notice may not specify any reason
197	for termination of the process.
198	(3) A tribunal in which a proceeding is stayed under Subsection (1) may require parties
199	and collaborative lawyers to provide a status report on the collaborative law process and the
200	proceeding. A status report may include only information on whether the process is ongoing or
201	concluded. It may not include a report, assessment, evaluation, recommendation, finding, or
202	other communication regarding a collaborative law process or collaborative law matter.
203	(4) A tribunal shall provide parties notice and an opportunity to be heard before
204	dismissing a proceeding in which a notice of collaborative process is filed based on delay or
205	failure to prosecute.
206	Section 7. Section 78B-19-107 is enacted to read:
207	78B-19-107. Emergency orders.
208	During a collaborative law process, a $\hat{S} \rightarrow [tribunat]$ court $\leftarrow \hat{S}$ may issue emergency orders,
208a	including
209	protective orders in accordance with Title 78B, Chapter 7, Part 1, Cohabitant Abuse Act, or
210	Part 2, Child Protective Orders, to protect the health, safety, welfare, or interest of a party or
211	member of a party's household.

212	Section 8. Section 78B-19-108 is enacted to read:
213	78B-19-108. Approval of agreement by tribunal.
214	\underline{A} \hat{S} → [tribunal] court ← \hat{S} may approve an agreement resulting from a collaborative law
214a	process.
215	Section 9. Section 78B-19-109 is enacted to read:
216	78B-19-109. Disclosure of information.
217	Except as provided by law other than this chapter, during the collaborative law process,
218	on the request of another party, a party shall make timely, full, candid, and informal disclosure
219	of information related to the collaborative matter without formal discovery. A party also shall
220	update promptly previously disclosed information that has materially changed. Parties may
221	define the scope of disclosure during the collaborative law process.
222	Section 10. Section 78B-19-110 is enacted to read:
223	78B-19-110. Standards of professional responsibility and mandatory reporting
224	not affected.
225	This chapter does not affect:
226	(1) the professional responsibility obligations and standards applicable to a lawyer or
227	other licensed professional; or
228	(2) the obligation of a person to report abuse or neglect, abandonment, or exploitation
229	of a child or adult under the law of this state.
230	Section 11. Section 78B-19-111 is enacted to read:
231	78B-19-111. Appropriateness of collaborative law process.
232	Before a prospective party signs a collaborative law participation agreement, a
233	prospective collaborative lawyer shall:
234	(1) assess with the prospective party factors the lawyer reasonably believes relate to
235	whether a collaborative law process is appropriate for the prospective party's matter;
236	(2) provide the prospective party with information that the lawyer reasonably believes
237	is sufficient for the party to make an informed decision about the material benefits and risks of
238	a collaborative law process as compared to the material benefits and risks of other reasonably
239	available alternatives for resolving the proposed collaborative matter, such as litigation,
240	mediation, arbitration, or expert evaluation; and
241	(3) advise the prospective party that:
242	(a) after signing an agreement if a party initiates a proceeding or seeks tribunal

- 8 -

243	intervention in a pending proceeding related to the conadorative matter, the conadorative law
244	process terminates;
245	(b) participation in a collaborative law process is voluntary and any party has the right
246	to terminate unilaterally a collaborative law process with or without cause; and
247	(c) the collaborative lawyer and any lawyer in a law firm with which the collaborative
248	lawyer is associated may not appear before a tribunal to represent a party in a proceeding
249	related to the collaborative matter, except as authorized by the Rules of Professional Conduct.
250	Section 12. Section 78B-19-112 is enacted to read:
251	78B-19-112. Coercive or violent relationship.
252	(1) Before a prospective party signs a collaborative law participation agreement, a
253	prospective collaborative lawyer shall make reasonable inquiry whether the prospective party
254	has a history of a coercive or violent relationship with another prospective party.
255	(2) Throughout a collaborative law process, a collaborative lawyer reasonably and
256	continuously shall assess whether the party the collaborative lawyer represents has a history of
257	a coercive or violent relationship with another party.
258	(3) If a collaborative lawyer reasonably believes that the party the lawyer represents or
259	the prospective party who consults the lawyer has a history of a coercive or violent relationship
260	with another party or prospective party, the lawyer may not begin or continue a collaborative
261	law process unless:
262	(a) the party or the prospective party requests to begin or to continue a process; and
263	(b) the collaborative lawyer reasonably believes that the safety of the party or
264	prospective party can be protected adequately during a process.
265	Section 13. Section 78B-19-113 is enacted to read:
266	78B-19-113. Confidentiality of collaborative law communication.
267	A collaborative law communication is confidential to the extent agreed by the parties in
268	a signed record or as provided by law of this state other than this chapter.
269	Section 14. Section 78B-19-114 is enacted to read:
270	78B-19-114. Authority of tribunal in case of noncompliance.
271	(1) If an agreement fails to meet the requirements of Section 78B-19-104, or a lawyer
272	fails to comply with Section 78B-19-111 or 78B-19-112, a tribunal may nonetheless find that
273	the parties intended to enter into a collaborative law participation agreement if they:

274	(a) signed a record indicating an intention to enter into a collaborative law participation
275	agreement; and
276	(b) reasonably believed they were participating in a collaborative law process.
277	(2) If a \$→ [tribunal] court ←\$ makes the findings specified in Subsection (1), and the
277a	interests of
278	justice require, the $\hat{S} \rightarrow [\underline{tribunal}]$ court $\leftarrow \hat{S}$ may:
279	(a) enforce an agreement evidenced by a record resulting from the process in which the
280	parties participated;
281	(b) apply the disqualification provisions of Sections 78B-19-105 and 78B-19-106; and
282	(c) apply the privileges in the Utah Rules of Evidence.
283	Section 15. Section 78B-19-115 is enacted to read:
284	78B-19-115. Uniformity of application and construction.
285	In applying and construing this uniform act, consideration shall be given to the need to
286	promote uniformity of the law with respect to its subject matter among states that enact it.
287	Section 16. Section 78B-19-116 is enacted to read:
288	78B-19-116. Relation to Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce
289	Act.
290	This chapter modifies, limits, and supersedes the federal Electronic Signatures in
291	Global and National Commerce Act, 15 U.S.C.A. Sec. 7001 et seq. (2009), but does not
292	modify, limit, or supersede Section 101(c) of that act, 15 U.S.C.A. Sec. 7001(c), or authorize
293	electronic delivery of any of the notices described in Sec. 103(b) of that act, 15 U.S.C.A. Sec.
294	7003(b).

H.B. 284 1st Sub. (Buff) - Uniform Collaborative Law Act

Fiscal Note

2010 General Session State of Utah

State Impact

Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations.

Individual, Business and/or Local Impact

Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.

2/17/2010, 11:12:47 AM, Lead Analyst: Jardine, S./Attny: ECM

Office of the Legislative Fiscal Analyst