JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION
COMMISSION AMENDMENTS
2010 GENERAL SESSION
STATE OF UTAH
Chief Sponsor: D. Chris Buttars
House Sponsor: Curtis Oda
LONG TITLE
General Description:
This bill amends the judicial performance evaluation survey.
Highlighted Provisions:
This bill:
 eliminates witnesses who have testified in cases considered by the judge from the
list of mandatory survey respondent groups; and
expands the survey topic of "judicial temperament" to include questions about
judicial demeanor and personal attributes that promote trust and confidence in the
judiciary.
Monies Appropriated in this Bill:
None
Other Special Clauses:
None
Utah Code Sections Affected:
AMENDS:
78A-12-203 , as last amended by Laws of Utah 2009, Chapter 243
78A-12-204 , as last amended by Laws of Utah 2009, Chapter 243
78A-12-205 , as enacted by Laws of Utah 2008, Chapter 248
78A-12-206 , as enacted by Laws of Utah 2008, Chapter 248



28	
29	Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:
30	Section 1. Section 78A-12-203 is amended to read:
31	78A-12-203. Judicial performance evaluations.
32	(1) Beginning with the 2012 judicial retention elections, the commission shall prepare
33	a performance evaluation for:
34	(a) each judge in the third and fifth year of the judge's term if the judge is not a justice
35	of the Supreme Court; and
36	(b) each justice of the Supreme Court in the third, seventh, and ninth year of the
37	justice's term.
38	(2) Except as provided in Subsection (3), the performance evaluation for a judge under
39	Subsection (1) shall consider only:
40	(a) the results of the judge's most recent judicial performance survey that is conducted
41	by a third party in accordance with Section 78A-12-204;
42	(b) information concerning the judge's compliance with minimum performance
43	standards established in accordance with Section 78A-12-205;
44	(c) courtroom observation;
45	(d) the judge's judicial disciplinary record, if any;
46	(e) public comment solicited by the commission;
47	(f) information from an earlier judicial performance evaluation concerning the judge;
48	and
49	(g) any other factor that the commission:
50	(i) considers relevant to evaluating the judge's performance for the purpose of a
51	retention election; and
52	(ii) establishes by rule.
53	(3) The commission shall make rules concerning the conduct of courtroom observation
54	under Subsection (2), which shall include the following:
55	(a) an indication of who may perform the courtroom observation;
56	(b) a determination of whether the courtroom observation shall be made in person or
57	may be made by electronic means; and
58	(c) a list of principles and standards used to evaluate the behavior observed.

(4) (a) As part of the evaluation conducted under this section, the commission shall determine whether to recommend that the voters retain the judge.

- (b) (i) If a judge meets the minimum performance standards established in accordance with Section 78A-12-205 there is a rebuttable presumption that the commission will recommend the voters retain the judge.
- (ii) If a judge fails to meet the minimum performance standards established in accordance with Section 78A-12-205 there is a rebuttable presumption that the commission will recommend the voters not retain the judge.
- (c) The commission may elect to make no recommendation on whether the voters should retain a judge if the commission determines that the information concerning the judge is insufficient to make a recommendation.
- (d) (i) If the commission deviates from a presumption for or against recommending the voters retain a judge or elects to make no recommendation on whether the voters should retain a judge, the commission shall provide a detailed explanation of the reason for that deviation or election in the commission's report under Section 78A-12-206.
- (ii) If the commission makes no recommendation because of a tie vote, the commission shall note that fact in the commission's report.
- (5) (a) Before considering the judicial performance evaluation of any judge, the commission shall notify the judge of the date and time of any commission meeting during which the judge's judicial performance evaluation will be considered.
- (b) The commission shall allow a judge who is the subject of a judicial performance evaluation to appear and speak at any commission meeting, except a closed meeting, during which the judge's judicial performance evaluation is considered.
- (c) The commission may meet in a closed meeting to discuss a judge's judicial performance evaluation by complying with Title 52, Chapter 4, Open and Public Meetings Act.
- (6) (a) The commission shall compile a midterm report of its judicial performance evaluation of a judge.
- (b) The midterm report of a judicial performance evaluation shall include information that the commission considers appropriate for purposes of judicial self-improvement.
- (c) The report shall be provided to the evaluated judge and the presiding judge of the district in which the evaluated judge serves. If the evaluated judge is the presiding judge, the

90	midterm report shall be provided to the chair of the board of judges for the court level on which
91	the evaluated judge serves.
92	[(6)] (7) The commission may make rules in accordance with Title 63G, Chapter 3,
93	Utah Administrative Rulemaking Act, as necessary to administer the evaluation required by
94	this section.
95	Section 2. Section 78A-12-204 is amended to read:
96	78A-12-204. Judicial performance survey.
97	(1) The judicial performance survey required by Section 78A-12-203 concerning a
98	judge who is subject to a retention election shall be conducted on an ongoing basis during the
99	judge's term in office by a third party under contract to the commission.
100	(2) The judicial performance survey shall include as respondents a sample of each of
101	the following groups as applicable:
102	(a) attorneys who have appeared before the judge as counsel;
103	(b) jurors who have served in a case before the judge;
104	(c) litigants whose cases have been considered by the judge; and
105	[(d) witnesses who have testified in cases considered by the judge; and]
106	[(e)] <u>(d)</u> court staff who have worked with the judge.
107	(3) The commission may include an additional classification of respondents if the
108	commission:
109	(a) considers a survey of that classification of respondents helpful to voters in
110	determining whether to vote to retain a judge; and
111	(b) establishes the additional classification of respondents by rule.
112	(4) All survey responses are anonymous, including comments included with a survey
113	response.
114	(5) If the commission provides any information to a judge or the Judicial Council, the
115	information shall be provided in such a way as to protect the confidentiality of a survey
116	respondent.
117	(6) A survey shall be provided to a potential survey respondent within 30 days of the
118	day on which the case in which the person appears in the judge's court is closed, exclusive of
119	any appeal, except for court staff and attorneys, who may be surveyed at any time during the
120	survey period.

02-12-10 10:57 AM S.B. 210

121	(7) Survey topics shall include questions concerning a judge's:
122	(a) legal ability, including the following:
123	(i) demonstration of understanding of the substantive law and any relevant rules of
124	procedure and evidence;
125	(ii) attentiveness to factual and legal issues before the court;
126	(iii) adherence to precedent and ability to clearly explain departures from precedent;
127	and
128	(iv) grasp of the practical impact on the parties of the judge's rulings, including the
129	effect of delay and increased litigation expense;
130	(b) integrity, including the following:
131	(i) avoidance of impropriety or the appearance of impropriety; and
132	(ii) display of fairness and impartiality toward all parties;
133	(c) communication skills, including clearly articulating the basis for written rulings;
134	(d) judicial temperament, including the following:
135	(i) demonstration of courtesy toward attorneys, court staff, and others in the judge's
136	court;
137	(ii) maintenance of decorum in the courtroom; [and]
138	(iii) demonstration of judicial demeanor and personal attributes that promote public
139	trust and confidence in the judicial system; and
140	[(iii)] (iv) preparedness for oral argument; and
141	(e) administrative performance, including the following:
142	(i) management of workload;
143	(ii) sharing proportionally the workload within the court or district; and
144	(iii) issuance of opinions and orders without unnecessary delay.
145	(8) (a) If the commission determines that a certain survey question or topic is not
146	appropriate for a category of respondents, the commission may omit that question or topic from
147	the survey provided to that category of respondents.
148	(b) Litigants [and witnesses] may be surveyed only about judicial temperament.
149	(c) The commission shall, by rule, determine appropriate litigants [and witnesses] to be
150	surveyed.
151	(9) The survey shall allow respondents to indicate responses in a manner determined by

182

1.50	
152	the commission, which shall be:
153	(a) on a numerical scale from one to five, with one representing inadequate
154	performance and five representing outstanding performance; or
155	(b) in the affirmative or negative, with an option to indicate the respondent's inability
156	to respond in the affirmative or negative.
157	(10) The commission shall compile and make available to each judge that judge's
158	survey results with each of the judge's judicial performance evaluations.
159	(11) The commission may make rules in accordance with Title 63G, Chapter 3, Utah
160	Administrative Rulemaking Act, as necessary to administer the judicial performance survey.
161	Section 3. Section 78A-12-205 is amended to read:
162	78A-12-205. Minimum performance standards.
163	(1) The commission shall establish a minimum performance standard requiring that:
164	(a) the judge have no more than one formal disciplinary action taken against the judge
165	by the Judicial Conduct Commission or the Utah Supreme Court during the judge's current
166	term; and
167	(b) the judge receive a minimum score on the judicial performance survey as follows:
168	(i) an average score of at least a 3.0 on at least 80% of the questions, excluding litigant
169	[and witness] respondents, for questions scored on the numerical scale; and
170	(ii) if the commission includes a question on the survey that does not use the numerical
171	scale, the commission shall establish the minimum performance standard for all questions,
172	excluding any question to litigant [and witness] respondents, that do not use the numerical
173	scale to be substantially equivalent to the standard required under Subsection (1)(b)(i).
174	(2) The commission may establish an additional minimum performance standard if the
175	commission by at least two-thirds vote:
176	(a) determines that satisfaction of the standard is necessary to the satisfactory
177	performance of the judge; and
178	(b) adopts the standard.
179	(3) The commission may make rules in accordance with Title 63G, Chapter 3, Utah
180	Administrative Rulemaking Act, to establish a minimum performance standard.
181	Section 4. Section 78A-12-206 is amended to read:

78A-12-206. Publication of the judicial performance evaluation.

(1) (a) The commission shall compile a <u>retention</u> report of its judicial performance evaluation of a judge.

- (b) The report of a judicial performance evaluation nearest the judge's next scheduled retention election shall be provided to the judge at least 45 days before the last day on which the judge may file a declaration of the judge's candidacy in the retention election.
- (c) A report prepared in accordance with Subsection (1)(b) and information obtained in connection with the evaluation become a public record under Title 63G, Chapter 2, Government Records Access and Management Act, on the day following the last day on which the judge who is the subject of the report may file a declaration of the judge's candidacy in the judge's scheduled retention election if the judge declares the judge's candidacy for the retention election.
- (d) A report that is not public under Subsection (1)(c) is a protected record under Title 63G, Chapter 2, Government Records Access and Management Act.
- (2) A judge who is the subject of a <u>retention</u> report under this section, within 15 days of receiving a copy of the commission's report under Subsection (1)(b), may:
 - (a) provide a written response to the report; and

- (b) request an interview with the commission for the purpose of addressing the report.
- (3) (a) After receiving a response from a judge in any form allowed by Subsection (2), the commission may meet and reconsider its decision to recommend the judge not be retained.
- (b) If the commission does not change its decision to recommend the judge not be retained, the judge may provide a written statement, not to exceed 100 words, that shall be included in the commission's report.
 - (4) The retention report of a judicial performance evaluation shall include:
 - (a) the results of the judicial performance survey, in both raw and summary form;
- (b) information concerning the judge's compliance with the minimum performance standards;
- (c) information concerning any formal or informal discipline against a judge that is not subject to restrictions on disclosure under Title 78A, Chapter 11, Judicial Conduct Commission;
 - (d) a narrative concerning the judge's performance;
- (e) the commission's recommendation concerning whether the judge should be

214	retained, or the statement required of the commission if it declines to make a recommendation;
215	(f) the number of votes for and against the commission's recommendation; and
216	(g) any other information the commission considers appropriate to include in the
217	report.
218	(5) (a) The commission may not include in its retention report specific information
219	concerning an earlier judicial performance evaluation.
220	(b) The commission may refer to information from an earlier judicial performance
221	evaluation concerning the judge in the commission's report only if the reference is in general
222	terms.
223	(6) The <u>retention</u> report of the commission's judicial performance evaluation shall be
224	made publicly available on an Internet website.
225	(7) The commission may make the report of the judicial performance evaluation
226	immediately preceding the judge's retention election publicly available through other means
227	within budgetary constraints.
228	(8) The commission shall provide a summary of the judicial performance evaluation
229	for each judge to the lieutenant governor for publication in the voter information pamphlet in
230	the manner required by Title 20A, Chapter 7, Issues Submitted to the Voters.
231	(9) The commission may also provide any information collected during the course of a
232	judge's judicial performance evaluation immediately preceding the judge's retention election to
233	the public to the extent that information is not otherwise subject to restrictions on disclosure.
234	(10) The commission shall provide the Judicial Council with:
235	(a) the judicial performance survey results for each judge; and
236	(b) a copy of the <u>retention</u> report of each judicial performance evaluation.
237	(11) The Judicial Council shall provide information obtained concerning a judge under

Legislative Review Note as of 2-9-10 3:12 PM

Subsection (10) to the subject judge's presiding judge, if any.

238

Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel

S.B. 210 - Judicial Performance Evaluation Commission Amendments

Fiscal Note

2010 General Session State of Utah

State Impact

Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations.

Individual, Business and/or Local Impact

Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.

2/16/2010, 5:00:57 PM, Lead Analyst: Allred, S./Attny: ECM

Office of the Legislative Fiscal Analyst