1	CONCURRENT RESOLUTION EXPRESSING OPPOSITION
2	TO THE CREATION OF NEW NATIONAL MONUMENTS IN
3	UTAH
4	2010 GENERAL SESSION
5	STATE OF UTAH
6	Chief Sponsor: Kevin T. Van Tassell
7	House Sponsor: Christine F. Watkins
8 9	Cosponsors: Ralph Okerlund Dennis E. Stowell David P. Hinkins
10	
11	LONG TITLE
12	General Description:
13	This concurrent resolution of the Legislature and the Governor urges the President of
14	the United States and the United States Congress to refrain from designating new
15	national monuments in the San Rafael Swell area, the Cedar Mesa area, and any other
16	area in Utah.
17	Highlighted Provisions:
18	This resolution:
19	 expresses opposition to the presidential creation of any large area national
20	monument, as an abuse and violation of the Antiquities Act's
21	smallest-area-compatible mandate;
22	 urges the President of the United States and the United States Congress to refrain
23	from using the Antiquities Act to designate new national monuments in the San
24	Rafael Swell area, the Cedar Mesa area, and any other area in Utah;
25	 declares that this unchecked exercise of power concentrated in the President
26	portends serious consequences for Utah and essentially coronates the President,
27	giving him the ultimate ability to determine the fate of nearly 70% of the entire state



28	with the mere stroke of an unchecked presidential pen;
29	 urges Congress to check the President's authority to exercise this power by
30	amending the Antiquities Act to clarify its actual intent, which is to establish small
31	discrete monuments or memorials as existed in Utah prior to the unfortunate
32	creation of the 1996 Grand Staircase National Monument;
33	 expresses support for S 3016, introduced in the United States Senate, which would
34	prohibit the further extension or establishment of national monuments in Utah,
35	except by the express authorization of Congress; and
36	 calls on the United States government to manage federal public lands in Utah
37	according to state and local government plans, policies, and public input as
38	promised by the Federal Land Policy Management Act of 1976 and the United
39	States constitutional guarantee of a republican form of government on equal footing
40	with all states in the Union, or otherwise to convey the federal public lands to Utah
41	for proper care and management, consistent with the Constitution's Framers'
42	original intent.
43	Special Clauses:
44	None
45	
46	Be it resolved by the Legislature of the state of Utah, the Governor concurring therein:
47	WHEREAS, the Antiquities Act, 16 U.S.C. Sec. 431, empowers the President of the
48	United States to singlehandedly bypass congressional, state, and local land management
49	policies and tie up any federal land in Utah through national monument declarations;
50	WHEREAS, a recent confirmed United States Department of Interior (DOI) internal
51	memorandum declares that the 75-by-40 mile San Rafael Swell and surrounding "canyons,
52	
	gorges, mesas, and buttes," plus an area of unspecified size referred to as the Cedar Mesa area,
53	
53 54	gorges, mesas, and buttes," plus an area of unspecified size referred to as the Cedar Mesa area,
	gorges, mesas, and buttes," plus an area of unspecified size referred to as the Cedar Mesa area, among others, "may be good candidates for National Monument designation under the
54	gorges, mesas, and buttes," plus an area of unspecified size referred to as the Cedar Mesa area, among others, "may be good candidates for National Monument designation under the Antiquities Act;"
54 55	gorges, mesas, and buttes," plus an area of unspecified size referred to as the Cedar Mesa area, among others, "may be good candidates for National Monument designation under the Antiquities Act;" WHEREAS, the San Rafael Swell and surrounding areas and the Cedar Mesa area

59	Columbia and over all "places purchased by the consent of the Legislature of the State in which
60	the same shall be, for the erection of forts, magazines, arsenals, dock-yards, and other needful
61	buildings";
62	WHEREAS, no lands in the San Rafael Swell and Cedar Mesa areas of Utah fit into
63	this category;
64	WHEREAS, the United States Constitution delegates to the government of the United
65	States no other power of exclusive jurisdiction over land in Utah, other than that referenced in
66	Article I, Section 8, Clause 17;
67	WHEREAS, the Tenth Amendment to the United States Constitution states, "The
68	powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States,
69	are reserved to the States";
70	WHEREAS, Article IV, Section 4 of the United State Constitution states, "The United
71	States shall guarantee to every State in the Union a Republican Form of Government";
72	WHEREAS, the constitutional guarantee to Utah of a republican form of government is
73	abrogated and violated when the President of the United States purports through the Antiquities
74	Act, 16 U.S.C. Sec. 431, to exercise exclusive jurisdiction with the mere stroke of a pen over
75	lands in the San Rafael and Cedar Mesa areas that do not fit the category of Article I, Section 8,
76	Clause 17, exclusive jurisdiction land;
77	WHEREAS, lands in the San Rafael Swell and Cedar Mesa areas of Utah are currently
78	managed by the United States Bureau of Land Management (BLM) pursuant to the Federal
79	Land Policy Management Act (FLPMA) of 1976, and the Act directs BLM to manage public
80	lands according to Resource Management Plans (RMPs) which "shall be consistent with State
81	and local plans to the maximum extent [the Secretary of Interior] finds consistent with Federal
82	law and the purpose of [FLPMA]";
83	WHEREAS, the state of Utah and the counties of Emery, Wayne, and San Juan have
84	recently completed an expensive and protracted multi-year FLPMA and National
85	Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) process with the BLM and the public to revise and update
86	the BLM's RMPs in planning areas which include the San Rafael Swell and Cedar Mesa areas;
87	WHEREAS, the revised RMPs do not call for the creation of national monuments in
88	the San Rafael Swell and Cedar Mesa areas;
89	WHEREAS, creating national monuments in the San Rafael Swell and Cedar Mesa

S.C.R. 11 02-24-10 12:31 PM

areas would violate and undercut the integrity of the RMPs revision process in Emery, Wayne, and San Juan Counties where the San Rafael Swell and Cedar Mesa areas are situated, and would be inconsistent with the plans and policies of the state of Utah and those counties and their duly elected governmental boards and leaders, all in violation of the constitutional guarantee of a republican form of government as well as violating federal statutory consistency requirements of FLPMA;

WHEREAS, a presidential proclamation declaring national monuments in the San Rafael Swell and Cedar Mesa areas would single-handedly bypass the revised RMPs and the universal opposition by the duly elected leaders of the state of Utah and the counties where those lands lie;

WHEREAS, a presidential proclamation of this type would constitute an illegitimate arrogation of exclusive jurisdiction over lands by the President, exceeding the bounds of legitimate and lawful authority permitted by the United States Constitution;

WHEREAS, the Antiquities Act states, "The President . . . may reserve as a part [of a national monument] parcels of land, the limits of which in all cases shall be confined to the smallest areas compatible with the proper care and management of the objects to be protected. . . ." (italics added);

WHEREAS, the size of the 1996 Grand Staircase National Monument in Garfield and Kane Counties far exceeded "the smallest areas compatible" with the feigned object of that monument;

WHEREAS, the size of the San Rafael Swell area stated in the DOI memo, namely 75-by-40 miles plus surrounding canyons, gorges, mesas, and buttes, is staggering in terms of a national monument;

WHEREAS, Utah favors protecting the remarkably scenic, recreational, and sensitive areas of the San Rafael Swell and Cedar Mesa areas, however highest and best use of vast tracts of land in those areas is continued grazing and environmentally sensitive energy and mineral development done in such a way as to protect and preserve the scenic and recreational values:

WHEREAS, as history has demonstrated in the case of the Grand Staircase National Monument, many thousands of acres of important grazing and mineral and other multiple use resources and values have been closed to reasonable development due to the multi-hundred

151

121	thousand acre national monument designation;
122	WHEREAS, Senator Bob Bennett has introduced S 3016 in the United States Senate,
123	which would prohibit the further extension or establishment of national monuments in Utah,
124	except by express authorization of Congress; and
125	WHEREAS, Utah's economy, industry, culture, way of life, and its viability as a
126	sovereign state guaranteed a republican form of government depend on reasonable multiple-use
127	access to the BLM lands in the San Rafael Swell and Cedar Mesa areas of the State, most of
128	which will be taken away through national monument designation:
129	NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Legislature of the state of Utah, the
130	Governor concurring therein, express their opposition to the presidential creation of any large
131	area national monument, as an abuse and violation of the Antiquities Act's
132	smallest-area-compatible mandate.
133	BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Legislature and the Governor oppose the
134	presidential creation of new national monuments in the San Rafael Swell area, Cedar Mesa
135	area, and any other area of Utah.
136	BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Legislature and the Governor declare openly to
137	the United States government that this unchecked exercise of power concentrated in the
138	President portends serious consequences for Utah, as nearly 70% of the State is federally
139	owned.
140	BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Legislature and the Governor declare openly to
141	the United States government that the exercise of this power would essentially coronate the
142	President, giving him the ultimate ability to determine the fate of nearly 70% of the entire state
143	with the mere stroke of an unchecked presidential pen.
144	BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Legislature and the Governor urge Congress to
145	check the President's ability to exercise such power by amending the Antiquities Act to clarify
146	its actual intent, which is to establish small discrete monuments or memorials as existed in
147	Utah prior to the unfortunate creation of the 1996 Grand Staircase National Monument.
148	BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Legislature and the Governor strongly urge the
149	federal government to manage federal public lands in Utah according to state and local
150	government plans, policies, and public input as promised by the Federal Land Policy

Management Act of 1976 and the United States constitutional guarantee of a republican form

S.C.R. 11 02-24-10 12:31 PM

of government on equal footing with all states in the Union, or otherwise convey the federal
public lands to Utah for proper care and management, consistent with the original intent of the
Constitution's Framers.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Legislature and the Governor express support for S 3016, introduced in the United States Senate, which would prohibit the further extension or establishment of national monuments in Utah, except by express authorization of Congress.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that copies of this resolution be sent to the President of the United States, the Majority Leader of the United States Senate, the Speaker of the United States House of Representatives, and to the members of Utah's congressional delegation.

Legislative Review Note as of 2-23-10 3:20 PM

152153154

155

156

157

158159

160

Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel

Fiscal Note

S.C.R. 11 - Concurrent Resolution Expressing Opposition to the Creation of New National Monuments in Utah

2010 General Session State of Utah

State Impact

Enactment of this bill will not require additional appropriations.

Individual, Business and/or Local Impact

Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for individuals, businesses, or local governments.

2/26/2010, 4:52:59 PM, Lead Analyst: Allred, S./Attny: JLW

Office of the Legislative Fiscal Analyst