¢	Approve	ed for Filin	ıg: S.C. Ha	alverson	•
	C	02-03-11	1:14 PM	⊈	

DEDICATION AND ABANDONMENT OF PUBLIC HIGHWAYS				
2011 GENERAL SESSION				
STATE OF UTAH				
Chief Sponsor: Kay L. McIff				
Senate Sponsor: Stephen H. Urquhart				
LONG TITLE				
General Description:				
This bill modifies the Transportation Code by amending provisions relating to the				
dedication or abandonment of public highways.				
Highlighted Provisions:				
This bill:				
 provides that dedication of a highway to the use of the public does not require an act 				
of dedication or implied dedication by the property owner;				
 provides that the requirement of continuous use of a highway as a public 				
thoroughfare is satisfied if the use is as frequent as the public finds convenient or				
necessary and may be seasonal or follow some other pattern;				
 specifies requirements for an interruption of continuous use of a highway as a 				
public thoroughfare;				
 provides that the burden of proving dedication is on the party asserting the 				
dedication;				
 provides that the burden of proving interruption is on the party asserting the 				
interruption;				

Ĥ→ provides that the dedication and interruption provisions apply to any claim for which a court of competent jurisdiction has not issued a final unappealable judgment or order; ←Ĥ

- ► clarifies that all public highways, streets, or roads once established shall continue to be highways, streets, or roads until formally abandoned or vacated and specifies the requirements for formal abandonment or vacation;
 - provides that the erection of a barrier or sign on a highway, street, or road once



23a

23b 24

25

26

27

H.B. 173 02-03-11 1:14 PM

28	established is not an abandonment;			
29	 provides that an interruption of the public's continuous use of a highway, street, or 			
30	road once established is not an abandonment even if the interruption is allowed to			
31	continue unabated; and			
32	makes technical changes.			
33	Money Appropriated in this Bill:			
34	None			
35	Other Special Clauses:			
36	None			
37	Utah Code Sections Affected:			
38	AMENDS:			
39	72-5-104 , as last amended by Laws of Utah 2000, Chapter 324			
40	72-5-105 , as last amended by Laws of Utah 2010, Chapter 90			
41				
42	Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:			
43	Section 1. Section 72-5-104 is amended to read:			
44	72-5-104. Public use constituting dedication Scope.			
45	(1) (a) A highway is dedicated and abandoned to the use of the public when it has been			
46	continuously used as a public thoroughfare for a period of 10 years.			
47	(b) Dedication to the use of the public under Subsection (1) does not require an act of			
48	dedication or implied dedication by the property owner.			
49	(2) The requirement of continuous use under Subsection (1) is satisfied if the use is as			
50	frequent as the public finds convenient or necessary and may be seasonal or follow some other			
51	pattern.			
52	(3) Continuous use as a public thoroughfare under Subsection (1) is interrupted only			
53	when:			
54	(a) the regularly established pattern and frequency of public use for the given road has			
55	actually been interrupted $\hat{H} \rightarrow \underline{to \ a \ degree \ that \ reasonably \ puts \ the \ traveling \ public \ on}$			
55a	<u>notice</u> ←Ĥ ; Ĥ→ [and] <u>or</u> ←Ĥ			
56	(b) for interruptions $\hat{\mathbf{H}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{by}}$ use of a manned barricade $\leftarrow \hat{\mathbf{H}}$ on or after			
56a	May 10, 2011 Ĥ→ [-]:			
56b	(i) ←Ĥ the person or entity interrupting the			
57	continuous use gives not less than 72 hours advance written notice of the interruption to the			
58	highway authority having jurisdiction of the highway, street, or road Ĥ→; and			
58a	(ii) the manned barricade is maintained for at least 24 consecutive hours $\leftarrow \hat{H}$.			

02-03-11 1:14 PM H.B. 173

(4) Installation of gates and posting of no trespassing signs are relevant forms of
 evidence but are not solely determinative of whether an interruption has occurred.
 (5) If the highway authority having jurisdiction of the highway, street, or road der

- (5) If the highway authority having jurisdiction of the highway, street, or road demands that an interruption $\mathbf{\hat{H}} \rightarrow [\mathbf{ceases}]$ cease $\mathbf{\leftarrow} \mathbf{\hat{H}}$ or that a barrier or barricade blocking public access be removed and
- the property owner accedes to the demand, the attempted interruption does not constitute an interruption under Subsection (3).
- (6) (a) The burden of proving dedication under Subsection (1) is on the party asserting the dedication.
- (b) The burden of proving interruption under Subsection (3) is on the party asserting the interruption.
- [(2)] (7) The dedication and abandonment creates a right-of-way held by the state in accordance with Sections 72-3-102, 72-3-104, 72-3-105, and 72-5-103.
- [(3)] (8) The scope of the right-of-way is that which is reasonable and necessary to ensure safe travel according to the facts and circumstances.
- $\hat{H} \rightarrow (9)(a)$ The provisions of this section apply to any claim under this section for which a court of competent jurisdiction has not issued a final unappealable judgment or order.
 - (b) The legislature finds that the application of this section:
 - (i) does not enlarge, eliminate, or destroy vested rights; and
- (ii) clarifies legislative intent in light of Utah Supreme Court rulings in Wasatch County v. Okelberry, 179 P.3d 768 (Utah 2008) , Town of Leeds v. [Prisbey] Prisbrey , 179 P.3d 757 (Utah 2008) , and Utah County v.
- 72g <u>Butler, 179 P.3d 775</u> (<u>Utah 2008</u>) <u>.</u>←Ĥ

62

62a

6364

65

66

67 68

69

70

71

72

72a

72b

72c

72d

72e

72f 72f1

73

74

75

76

7778

79

80

81 82

8384

8586

87

88

89

- Section 2. Section **72-5-105** is amended to read:
- 72-5-105. Highways, streets, or roads once established continue until abandoned -- Temporary closure.
- (1) All public highways, streets, or roads once established shall continue to be highways, streets, or roads until <u>formally</u> abandoned or vacated by <u>written</u> order, <u>resolution</u>, or <u>ordinance resolution</u> of a highway authority having jurisdiction or by [other competent <u>authority</u>] <u>court decree</u>, and the written order, resolution, ordinance, or court decree has been <u>duly recorded in the office of the recorder of the county or counties where the highway, street, or road is located.</u>
- (2) (a) For purposes of assessment, upon the recordation of an order executed by the proper authority with the county recorder's office, title to the vacated or abandoned highway, street, or road shall vest to the adjoining record owners, with [1/2] one-half of the width of the highway, street, or road assessed to each of the adjoining owners.
- (b) Provided, however, that should a description of an owner of record extend into the vacated or abandoned highway, street, or road that portion of the vacated or abandoned highway, street, or road shall vest in the record owner, with the remainder of the highway, street, or road vested as otherwise provided in this Subsection (2).

H.B. 173 02-03-11 1:14 PM

90 (3) (a) In accordance with this section, a state or local highway authority may 91 temporarily close a class B or D road, an R.S. 2477 right-of-way, or a portion of a class B or D 92 road or R.S. 2477 right-of-way. 93 (b) (i) A temporary closure authorized under this section is not an abandonment. 94 (ii) The erection of a barrier or sign on a highway, street, or road once established is 95 not an abandonment. 96 (iii) An interruption of the public's continuous use of a highway, street, or road once established is not an abandonment even if the interruption is allowed to continue unabated. 97 98 (c) A temporary closure under Subsection (3)(a) may be authorized only under the 99 following circumstances: 100 (i) when a federal authority, or other person, provides an alternate route to an R.S. 101 2477 right-of-way or portion of an R.S. 2477 right-of-way that is: 102 (A) accepted by the highway authority; and 103 (B) formalized by: 104 (I) a federal permit; or 105 (II) a written agreement between the federal authority or other person and the highway 106 authority; or 107 (ii) when a state or local highway authority determines that correction or mitigation of 108 injury to private or public land resources is necessary on or near a class B or D road or portion 109 of a class B or D road. 110 (d) A highway authority shall reopen an R.S. 2477 right-of-way or portion of an R.S. 111 2477 right-of-way temporarily closed under this section if the alternate route is closed for any 112 reason. 113 (e) A temporary closure authorized under Subsection (3)(c)(ii) shall: 114 (i) be authorized annually; and 115 (ii) not exceed two years or the time it takes to complete the correction or mitigation, 116

- whichever is less.
- (4) [Prior to] Before authorizing a temporary closure under Subsection (3), a highway authority shall:
 - (a) hold a hearing on the proposed temporary closure;
- 120 (b) provide notice of the hearing by:

117 118

119

02-03-11 1:14 PM H.B. 173

121	(i) mailing a notice to the Department of Transportation and all owners of property
122	abutting the highway; and
123	(ii) (A) publishing the notice:
124	(I) in a newspaper of general circulation in the county at least once a week for four
125	consecutive weeks before the hearing; and
126	(II) on the Utah Public Notice Website created in Section 63F-1-701, for four weeks
127	before the hearing; or
128	(B) posting the notice in three public places for at least four consecutive weeks prior to
129	the hearing; and
130	(c) pass an ordinance authorizing the temporary closure.
131	(5) The right-of-way and easements, if any, of a property owner and the franchise rights
132	of any public utility may not be impaired by a temporary closure authorized under this section.

Legislative Review Note as of 2-3-11 9:22 AM

Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel

FISCAL NOTE

H.B. 173

SHORT TITLE: Dedication and Abandonment of Public Highways

SPONSOR: McIff, K.

2011 GENERAL SESSION, STATE OF UTAH

STATE GOVERNMENT (UCA 36-12-13(2)(b))

Enactment of this bill likely will not materially impact the state budget.

LOCAL GOVERNMENTS (UCA 36-12-13(2)(c))

Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable costs and/or benefits for local governments.

DIRECT EXPENDITURES BY UTAH RESIDENTS AND BUSINESSES (UCA 36-12-13(2)(d)) Enactment of this bill likely will not result in direct, measurable expenditures by Utah residents or businesses.

2/7/2011, 03:32 PM, Lead Analyst: Bleazard, M./Attorney: SCH

Office of the Legislative Fiscal Analyst