	FOSTER CARE AND KINSHIP PLACEMENT AMENDMENTS
	2012 GENERAL SESSION
	STATE OF UTAH
	Chief Sponsor: Christine F. Watkins
	Senate Sponsor: Luz Robles
	LONG TITLE
	General Description:
	This bill amends Title 62A, Chapter 4a, Child and Family Services by amending the
	procedure for taking a foster child away from a foster parent who is that child's relative.
	Highlighted Provisions:
	This bill:
	 prohibits the Division of Child and Family services from removing a foster child
f	rom a foster parent who is the child's relative without $\hat{S} \rightarrow \underline{determining by:}$
	• ←Ŝ Ŝ→ Ĥ→ [clear and convincing []
	<u>determining by a</u>
	<u>preponderance of the</u> ←Ĥ] ←Ŝ
	evidence that the relative is incapable of caring for the child $\hat{S} \rightarrow \underline{if the alternative foster parent}$
2	would be an individual who is not related to the child; or
	• a preponderance of the evidence if the alternative foster parent would be another relative of
	<u>the child</u> ←Ŝ ; and
	 makes technical changes.
	Money Appropriated in this Bill:
	None
	Other Special Clauses:
	None
	Utah Code Sections Affected:
	AMENDS:
	62A-4a-206, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2010, Chapter 56
	Poit angoted by the Logislature of the state of Utaby

25 Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:



Senate 3rd Reading Amendments 3-8-2012 kh/rf Senate 2nd Reading Amendments 3-7-2012 kh/rf House Floor Amendments 2-7-2012 je/rf

01-06-12 12:08 PM

90	(b) remove a child from a foster home before the child has been placed with the foster
91	parents for two years.
92	(8) The division may not remove a foster child from a foster parent who is a relative, as
93	defined in Subsection 78A-6-307(1)(b), of the child on the basis of the age or health of the
94	foster parent without $\hat{S} \rightarrow \underline{determining by:}$
94a	<u>(a)</u> ←Ŝ Ŝ→ Ĥ→ [clear and convincing [] determining by a preponderance
94a1	<u>of the</u> ←Ĥ] ←Ŝ
94a	evidence that the foster parent is incapable of caring
95	for the foster child $\hat{S} \rightarrow$, if the alternative foster parent would not be another relative of the
95a	<u>child; or</u>
95b	(b) a preponderance of the evidence that the foster parent is incapable of caring for the
95c	foster child, if the alternative foster parent would be another relative of the child \leftarrow $\$$.

Legislative Review Note as of 11-22-11 3:38 PM

Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel