JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION AMENDMENTS
2012 GENERAL SESSION
STATE OF UTAH
Chief Sponsor: V. Lowry Snow
Senate Sponsor:
LONG TITLE
General Description:
This bill makes changes to the statute governing the Judicial Performance Evaluation
Commission.
Highlighted Provisions:
This bill:
removes a provision requiring the Judicial Performance Evaluation Commission to
allow a judge who is the subject of a judicial performance evaluation to appear and
speak at commission meetings before the judge's judicial performance is
considered;
 excludes juror responses from being included in the minimum performance
standards; and
makes technical corrections.
Money Appropriated in this Bill:
None
Other Special Clauses:
This bill provides an effective date.
Utah Code Sections Affected:
AMENDS:
78A-12-203 , as last amended by Laws of Utah 2011, Chapter 80
78A-12-204 , as last amended by Laws of Utah 2011, Chapter 80



78A-12-205 (Effective 01/01/13), as last amended by Laws of Utah 2011, Chapter 80
Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:
Section 1. Section 78A-12-203 is amended to read:
78A-12-203. Judicial performance evaluations.
(1) Beginning with the 2012 judicial retention elections, the commission shall prepare
a performance evaluation for:
(a) each judge in the third and fifth year of the judge's term if the judge is not a justice
of the Supreme Court; and
(b) each justice of the Supreme Court in the third, seventh, and ninth year of the
justice's term.
(2) Except as provided in Subsection (3), the performance evaluation for a judge under
Subsection (1) shall consider only:
(a) the results of the judge's most recent judicial performance survey that is conducted
by a third party in accordance with Section 78A-12-204;
(b) information concerning the judge's compliance with minimum performance
standards established in accordance with Section 78A-12-205;
(c) courtroom observation;
(d) the judge's judicial disciplinary record, if any;
(e) public comment solicited by the commission;
(f) information from an earlier judicial performance evaluation concerning the judge;
and
(g) any other factor that the commission:
(i) considers relevant to evaluating the judge's performance for the purpose of a
retention election; and
(ii) establishes by rule.
(3) The commission shall make rules concerning the conduct of courtroom observation
under Subsection (2), which shall include the following:
(a) an indication of who may perform the courtroom observation;
(b) a determination of whether the courtroom observation shall be made in person or
may be made by electronic means; and

- (c) a list of principles and standards used to evaluate the behavior observed.
- (4) (a) As part of the evaluation conducted under this section, the commission shall determine whether to recommend that the voters retain the judge.
- (b) (i) If a judge meets the minimum performance standards established in accordance with Section 78A-12-205 there is a rebuttable presumption that the commission will recommend the voters retain the judge.
- (ii) If a judge fails to meet the minimum performance standards established in accordance with Section 78A-12-205 there is a rebuttable presumption that the commission will recommend the voters not retain the judge.
- (c) The commission may elect to make no recommendation on whether the voters should retain a judge if the commission determines that the information concerning the judge is insufficient to make a recommendation.
- (d) (i) If the commission deviates from a presumption for or against recommending the voters retain a judge or elects to make no recommendation on whether the voters should retain a judge, the commission shall provide a detailed explanation of the reason for that deviation or election in the commission's report under Section 78A-12-206.
- (ii) If the commission makes no recommendation because of a tie vote, the commission shall note that fact in the commission's report.
- [(5) (a) Before considering the judicial performance evaluation of any judge, the commission shall notify the judge of the date and time of any commission meeting during which the judge's judicial performance evaluation will be considered.]
- [(b) The commission shall allow a judge who is the subject of a judicial performance evaluation to appear and speak at any commission meeting, except a closed meeting, during which the judge's judicial performance evaluation is considered.]
- [(c)] (5) (a) The commission may meet in a closed meeting to discuss a judge's judicial performance evaluation by complying with Title 52, Chapter 4, Open and Public Meetings Act.
- [(d)] (b) Any record of an individual commissioner's vote on whether or not to recommend that the voters retain a judge is a protected record under Title 63G, Chapter 2, Government Records Access and Management Act.
- [(e)] (c) The commission may only disclose the final commission vote on whether or not to recommend that the voters retain a judge.

H.B. 328 02-03-12 9:35 AM

(6) (a) The commission shall compile a midterm report of its judicial performance evaluation of a judge.(b) The midterm report of a judicial performance evaluation shall include information

- (b) The midterm report of a judicial performance evaluation shall include information that the commission considers appropriate for purposes of judicial self-improvement.
- (c) The report shall be provided to the evaluated judge and the presiding judge of the district in which the evaluated judge serves. If the evaluated judge is the presiding judge, the midterm report shall be provided to the chair of the board of judges for the court level on which the evaluated judge serves.
- (7) The commission may make rules in accordance with Title 63G, Chapter 3, Utah Administrative Rulemaking Act, as necessary to administer the evaluation required by this section.

Section 2. Section **78A-12-204** is amended to read:

78A-12-204. Judicial performance survey.

- (1) The judicial performance survey required by Section 78A-12-203 concerning a judge who is subject to a retention election shall be conducted on an ongoing basis during the judge's term in office by a third party under contract to the commission.
- (2) The judicial performance survey shall include as respondents a sample of each of the following groups as applicable:
 - (a) attorneys who have appeared before the judge as counsel;
 - (b) jurors who have served in a case before the judge; and
 - (c) court staff who have worked with the judge.
- (3) The commission may include an additional classification of respondents if the commission:
- (a) considers a survey of that classification of respondents helpful to voters in determining whether to vote to retain a judge; and
 - (b) establishes the additional classification of respondents by rule.
- 116 (4) All survey responses are anonymous, including comments included with a survey response.
 - (5) If the commission provides any information to a judge or the Judicial Council, the information shall be provided in such a way as to protect the [confidentiality] anonymity of a survey respondent.

121	(6) A survey shall be provided to a potential survey respondent within 30 days of the
122	day on which the case in which the person appears in the judge's court is closed, exclusive of
123	any appeal, except for court staff and attorneys, who may be surveyed at any time during the
124	survey period.
125	(7) Survey categories shall include questions concerning a judge's:
126	(a) legal ability, including the following:
127	(i) demonstration of understanding of the substantive law and any relevant rules of
128	procedure and evidence;
129	(ii) attentiveness to factual and legal issues before the court;
130	(iii) adherence to precedent and ability to clearly explain departures from precedent;
131	(iv) grasp of the practical impact on the parties of the judge's rulings, including the
132	effect of delay and increased litigation expense;
133	(v) ability to write clear judicial opinions; and
134	(vi) ability to clearly explain the legal basis for judicial opinions;
135	(b) judicial temperament and integrity, including the following:
136	(i) demonstration of courtesy toward attorneys, court staff, and others in the judge's
137	court;
138	(ii) maintenance of decorum in the courtroom;
139	(iii) demonstration of judicial demeanor and personal attributes that promote public
140	trust and confidence in the judicial system;
141	(iv) preparedness for oral argument;
142	(v) avoidance of impropriety or the appearance of impropriety;
143	(vi) display of fairness and impartiality toward all parties; and
144	(vii) ability to clearly communicate, including the ability to explain the basis for
145	written rulings, court procedures, and decisions; and
146	(c) administrative performance, including the following:
147	(i) management of workload;
148	(ii) sharing proportionally the workload within the court or district; and
149	(iii) issuance of opinions and orders without unnecessary delay.
150	(8) If the commission determines that a certain survey question or category of
151	questions is not appropriate for a respondent group, the commission may omit that question or

152	category of questions from the survey provided to that respondent group.
153	(9) (a) The survey shall allow respondents to indicate responses in a manner
154	determined by the commission, which shall be:
155	(i) on a numerical scale from one to five, with one representing inadequate
156	performance and five representing outstanding performance; or
157	(ii) in the affirmative or negative, with an option to indicate the respondent's inability
158	to respond in the affirmative or negative.
159	(b) To supplement the responses to questions on either a numerical scale or in the
160	affirmative or negative, the commission may allow respondents to provide written comments.
161	(10) The commission shall compile and make available to each judge that judge's
162	survey results with each of the judge's judicial performance evaluations.
163	(11) The commission may make rules in accordance with Title 63G, Chapter 3, Utah
164	Administrative Rulemaking Act, as necessary to administer the judicial performance survey.
165	Section 3. Section 78A-12-205 (Effective 01/01/13) is amended to read:
166	78A-12-205 (Effective 01/01/13). Minimum performance standards.
167	(1) The commission shall establish minimum performance standards requiring that:
168	(a) the judge have no more than one public reprimand issued by the Judicial Conduct
169	Commission or the Utah Supreme Court during the judge's current term; and
170	(b) the judge receive a minimum score on the judicial performance survey as follows:
171	(i) an average score of no less than 65%, excluding juror responses, on each survey
172	category as provided in Subsection 78A-12-204(7); and
173	(ii) if the commission includes a question on the survey that does not use the numerical
174	scale, the commission shall establish the minimum performance standard for all questions that
175	do not use the numerical scale to be substantially equivalent to the standard required under
176	Subsection (1)(b)(i).
177	(2) The commission may establish an additional minimum performance standard if the
178	commission by at least two-thirds vote:
179	(a) determines that satisfaction of the standard is necessary to the satisfactory
180	performance of the judge; and
181	(b) adopts the standard.
182	(3) The commission may make rules in accordance with Title 63G, Chapter 3, Utah

Administrative Rulemaking Act, to establish a minimum performance standard.

Section 4. Effective date.

(1) Except as provided in Subsection (2), this bill takes effect on May 8, 2012.

(2) The amendments to Section 78A-12-205 (Effective 01/01/13) take effect on

Legislative Review Note as of 1-27-12 6:10 AM

02-03-12 9:35 AM

January 1, 2013.

187

Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel

H.B. 328

- 7 -