**₾** 02-09-12 6:11 AM **©** 

1	SHARED PARENTING
2	2012 GENERAL SESSION
3	STATE OF UTAH
4	Chief Sponsor: Kraig Powell
5	Senate Sponsor:
6 7	LONG TITLE
8	General Description:
9	This bill provides that temporary custody orders and temporary parent-time orders
10	provide substantial equal parenting-time.
11	Highlighted Provisions:
12	This bill:
13	<ul> <li>provides substantially equal parent-time when a court makes a temporary custody or</li> </ul>
14	parent-time order, unless substantially equal parent-time is not in the best interest of
15	the child;
16	<ul> <li>provides that if the court denies substantially equal parent-time, the court shall state</li> </ul>
17	in writing the reason for its denial; and
18	<ul><li>makes technical corrections.</li></ul>
19	Money Appropriated in this Bill:
20	None
21	Other Special Clauses:
22	None
23	<b>Utah Code Sections Affected:</b>
24	AMENDS:
25	30-3-10, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2010, Chapter 237
26	<b>30-3-10.4</b> , as last amended by Laws of Utah 2010, Chapter 228
27	<b>78A-6-104</b> , as renumbered and amended by Laws of Utah 2008, Chapter 3



H.B. 402 02-09-12 6:11 AM

28 29 *Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:* 30 Section 1. Section **30-3-10** is amended to read: 31 30-3-10. Custody of children in case of separation or divorce -- Custody 32 consideration. (1) If a husband and wife having minor children are separated, or their marriage is 33 34 declared void or dissolved, the court shall make an order for the future care and custody of the 35 minor children as it considers appropriate. (a) In determining any form of custody, including temporary orders of custody or 36 37 parent-time, the court shall consider the best interests of the child and, among other factors the 38 court finds relevant, the following: 39 (i) the past conduct and demonstrated moral standards of each of the parties; 40 (ii) which parent is most likely to act in the best interest of the child, including allowing the child frequent and continuing contact with the noncustodial parent; 41 42 (iii) the extent of bonding between the parent and child, meaning the depth, quality, 43 and nature of the relationship between a parent and child; and 44 (iv) those factors outlined in Section 30-3-10.2. 45 (b) The court shall, in every case, consider joint custody but may award any form of custody which is determined to be in the best interest of the child. 46 (c) The court shall, in every case a temporary order of custody or parent-time is issued. 47 48 provide substantially equal parent-time for both parties, unless substantially equal parent-time 49 is not in the best interest of the child. If substantially equal parent-time is denied, the court 50 shall state on the record in writing its reasons for the denial.

[(d)] (a) The court may inquire of the children and take into consideration the children's desires regarding future custody or parent-time schedules, but the expressed desires are not controlling and the court may determine the children's custody or parent-time otherwise. The desires of a child 16 years of age or older shall be given added weight, but is not the single

[(e)] (2) The children may not be required by either party to testify unless the trier of

fact determines that extenuating circumstances exist that would necessitate the testimony of the

children be heard and there is no other reasonable method to present their testimony.

58 controlling factor.

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

02-09-12 6:11 AM H.B. 402

[(e)] (b) If interviews with the children are conducted by the court pursuant to Subsection [(1)(d)] (2)(a), they shall be conducted by the judge in camera. The prior consent of the parties may be obtained but is not necessary if the court finds that an interview with the children is the only method to ascertain the child's desires regarding custody.

- [(2)] (3) In awarding custody, the court shall consider, among other factors the court finds relevant, which parent is most likely to act in the best interests of the child, including allowing the child frequent and continuing contact with the noncustodial parent as the court finds appropriate.
- [(3)] (4) If the court finds that one parent does not desire custody of the child, the court shall take that evidence into consideration in determining whether to award custody to the other parent.
- [(4)] (5) (a) Except as provided in Subsection [(4)] (5)(b), a court may not discriminate against a parent due to a disability, as defined in Section 57-21-2, in awarding custody or determining whether a substantial change has occurred for the purpose of modifying an award of custody.
- (b) If a court takes a parent's disability into account in awarding custody or determining whether a substantial change has occurred for the purpose of modifying an award of custody, the parent with a disability may rebut any evidence, presumption, or inference arising from the disability by showing that:
- (i) the disability does not significantly or substantially inhibit the parent's ability to provide for the physical and emotional needs of the child at issue; or
- (ii) the parent with a disability has sufficient human, monetary, or other resources available to supplement the parent's ability to provide for the physical and emotional needs of the child at issue.
- (c) Nothing in this section may be construed to apply to adoption proceedings under Title 78B, Chapter 6, Part 1, Utah Adoption Act.
- [(5)] (6) This section establishes neither a preference nor a presumption for or against joint legal custody, joint physical custody or sole custody, but allows the court and the family the widest discretion to choose a parenting plan that is in the best interest of the child.
  - Section 2. Section **30-3-10.4** is amended to read:
  - 30-3-10.4. Modification or termination of order.

H.B. 402 02-09-12 6:11 AM

(1) On the petition of one or both of the parents, or the joint legal or physical custodians if they are not the parents, the court may, after a hearing, modify or terminate an order that established joint legal or physical custody if:

- (a) the verified petition or accompanying affidavit initially alleges that admissible evidence will show that the circumstances of the child or one or both parents or joint legal or physical custodians have materially and substantially changed since the entry of the order to be modified;
- (b) a modification of the terms and conditions of the order would be an improvement for and in the best interest of the child; and
- (c) (i) both parents have complied in good faith with the dispute resolution procedure in accordance with Subsection 30-3-10.3(7); or
- (ii) if no dispute resolution procedure is contained in the order that established joint legal or physical custody, the court orders the parents to participate in a dispute resolution procedure in accordance with Subsection 30-3-10.2(5) unless the parents certify that, in good faith, they have utilized a dispute resolution procedure to resolve their dispute.
- (2) (a) In determining whether the best interest of a child will be served by either modifying or terminating the joint legal or physical custody order, the court shall, in addition to other factors the court considers relevant, consider the factors outlined in Section 30-3-10 and Subsection 30-3-10.2(2).
- (b) The court shall make specific written findings on each of the factors relied upon stating:
  - (i) a material and substantial change of circumstance has occurred; and
- (ii) a modification of the terms and conditions of the order would be an improvement for and in the best interest of the child.
- (c) The court shall give substantial weight to the existing joint legal or physical custody order when the child is thriving, happy, and well-adjusted.
- (3) The court shall, in every case regarding a petition for termination of a joint legal or physical custody order, consider reasonable alternatives to preserve the existing order in accordance with Subsection 30-3-10(1)(b). The court may modify the terms and conditions of the existing order in accordance with [Subsection] Section 30-3-10[(5)] and may order the parents to file a parenting plan in accordance with this chapter.

02-09-12 6:11 AM H.B. 402

(4) A parent requesting a modification from sole custody to joint legal custody or joint physical custody or both, or any other type of shared parenting arrangement, shall file and serve a proposed parenting plan with the petition to modify in accordance with Section 30-3-10.8.

- (5) If the court finds that an action under this section is filed or answered frivolously and in a manner designed to harass the other party, the court shall assess attorney fees as costs against the offending party.
  - Section 3. Section **78A-6-104** is amended to read:

## 78A-6-104. Concurrent jurisdiction -- District court and juvenile court.

- (1) The district court or other court has concurrent jurisdiction with the juvenile court as follows:
- (a) when a person who is 18 years of age or older and who is under the continuing jurisdiction of the juvenile court under Section 78A-6-117 violates any federal, state, or local law or municipal ordinance; and
- (b) in establishing paternity and ordering testing for the purposes of establishing paternity, in accordance with Title 78B, Chapter 15, Utah Uniform Parentage Act, with regard to proceedings initiated under Part 3, Abuse, Neglect, and Dependency Proceedings, or Part 5, Termination of Parental Rights Act.
- (2) The juvenile court has jurisdiction over petitions to modify a minor's birth certificate if the court otherwise has jurisdiction over the minor.
- (3) This section does not deprive the district court of jurisdiction to appoint a guardian for a child, or to determine the support, custody, and parent-time of a child upon writ of habeas corpus or when the question of support, custody, and parent-time is incidental to the determination of a cause in the district court.
- (4) (a) Where a support, custody, or parent-time award has been made by a district court in a divorce action or other proceeding, and the jurisdiction of the district court in the case is continuing, the juvenile court may acquire jurisdiction in a case involving the same child if the child is dependent, abused, neglected, or otherwise comes within the jurisdiction of the juvenile court under Section 78A-6-103.
- (b) The juvenile court may, by order, change the custody, subject to Subsection 30-3-10[(4)](5), support, parent-time, and visitation rights previously ordered in the district court as necessary to implement the order of the juvenile court for the safety and welfare of the

H.B. 402 02-09-12 6:11 AM

child. The juvenile court order remains in effect so long as the jurisdiction of the juvenile court continues.

- (c) When a copy of the findings and order of the juvenile court has been filed with the district court, the findings and order of the juvenile court are binding on the parties to the divorce action as though entered in the district court.
- (5) The juvenile court has jurisdiction over questions of custody, support, and parent-time, of a minor who comes within the court's jurisdiction under this section or Section 78A-6-103.

Legislative Review Note as of 2-8-12 10:21 AM

152

153

154

155156

157

158159

Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel