MINUTES OF THE HOUSE JUDICIARY STANDING COMMITTEE MEETING

Room 20, West Office Building

February 14, 2012

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Kay McIff, Chair

Rep. LaVar Christensen, Vice Chair

Rep. Derek Brown Rep. Fred Cox

Rep. Brian Doughty
Rep. Chris Herrod
Rep. Ken Ivory
Rep. Brian King
Rep. V. Lowry Snow

Rep. Mark A. Wheatley

Rep. Brad Wilson

MEMBERS ABSENT: Rep. Eric Hutchings

Rep. Paul Ray

STAFF PRESENT: Mr. Jerry D. Howe, Policy Analyst

Ms. Linda Black, Committee Secretary

NOTE: A list of visitors and a copy of handouts are filed with the committee minutes.

Rep. McIff called the meeting to order at 2:05 p.m.

MOTION: Rep. Wheatley moved to approve the minutes of February 10, 2012. The motion

passed unanimously, with Rep. Brown, Rep. Herrod, and Rep. King absent for

the vote.

H.B. 405 Jury Service Amendments (*Rep. C. Watkins*)

Rep. Watkins presented the bill to the committee.

MOTION: Rep. Cox moved to pass the bill out favorably. The motion passed unanimously

Rep. Brown was absent for the vote.

H.B. 141 State Sovereignty and Rights of Set-off (Rep. L. Christensen)

Rep. Christensen presented the bill to the committee.

MOTION: Rep. Wilson moved to amend the bill.

SUBSTITUTE

MOTION: Rep. King moved to amend the bill as follows:

- 1. Page 1, Lines 16 through 21:
 - reserves all rights of the state to claim a credit or set-off for any amount

 inequitably or unlawfully claimed by the federal government.

 state

 {-the
 - 17 may incur due to the loss or wrongful withholding of its public lands or for the
 - 18 incarceration of illegal aliens that are not reimbursed by the federal government
 - 19 against any amount that the state is claimed to owe the federal government; and
 - 20 provides that the state is relieved of all liability for the payment of funds owed to
 - 21 the federal government for which the state exercises its rights of set-off.
- 2. *Page 2, Lines 38 through 47:*
 - the State of Utah against any amounts <u>it claims to have been inequitably or</u>
 <u>unlawfully imposed by the federal government.</u> {
 <u>the state may be</u>
 <u>unlawfullyclaimed to owe the federal government.</u>

 (2) The state may exercise and apply as a credit, any amounts the state incurs due to
 - 40 <u>violation of the state's sovereign powers or other acts and omissions of the federal</u> government,
 - 41 <u>including:</u>
 - 42 <u>(a) all costs and damages sustained by the state due to the loss or wrongful withholding</u>
 - 43 of its public lands; and
 - 44 <u>(b) all costs of incarceration of illegal aliens for crimes committed in the state if</u>
 and to
 - 45 such extent as those costs are not fully reimbursed by the federal government.
 - 46 (3) The state is not liable for any amounts or federal claims which are subject to this
 - 47 <u>section or other legal and equitable protections of the state's interests.</u>}

The motion passed unanimously with Rep. Brown absent for the vote.

MOTION: Rep. Wilson moved to pass the bill out favorably as amended. The motion passed. Rep. Wilson was absent for the vote.

House Judiciary Standing Committee February 14, 2012 Page 3

H.B. 107 Joint Custody Modifications (Rep. G. Froerer)

Rep. Froerer presented the bill to the committee.

MOTION: Rep. Wilson moved to replace H.B. 107 with 1st Substitute H.B. 107. The motion passed unanimously. Rep. Brown was absent for the vote.

MOTION: Rep. McIff moved to amend the bill as follows:

- 1. Page 2, Line 45 through Page 3, Line 58:
 - (b) [The court shall, in every case, consider joint custody but may award any form of
 - 46 custody which is determined to be] There shall be a rebuttable presumption that joint legal
 - 47 <u>custody, as defined in Section 30-3-10.1, is</u> in the best interest of the child[-], so long as the
 - 48 party who desires joint legal custody files a proposed parenting plan in accordance with
 - 49 Sections 30-3-10.8 and 30-3-10.9. The presumption may be rebutted by a showing by a
 - preponderance of the evidence that the following circumstances exist:
 - 51 { (i) the parents were not married to each other; }
 - 52 {-(ii)} domestic violence in the home or in the presence of the child;
 - 53 { (ii) special physical or mental needs of a parent or child, making joint legal custody
 - 54 unreasonable;

 - making impractical in certain circumstances; or
 - 57 {(v)} (iv) any other factor the court considers relevant, including those listed in Section
 - 58 30-3-10.2.

The motion passed unanimously. Rep. Brown was absent for the vote.

Chair McIff relinquished the gavel to Vice Chair Christensen at 3:15 p.m.

Spoke in favor of the bill: Mr. Justin Traver, citizen

Mr. Jeff Rifleman, citizen Ms. Jackie de Gaston, citizen

Mr. Dan Duell, Weber Co. Nine-Eleven Project

Mr. Eric Durtschi, citizen

House Judiciary Standing Committee February 14, 2012 Page 4

Mr. Grant Durtschi, citizen
Ms. Mary Durtschi, citizen
Mr. Eric Johnson, citizen

Mr. Stewart Ralphs, Legal Aid Society of Salt Lake City

Spoke to the bill: Mr. Todd Stone, citizen

Chair McIff resumed the chair at 3:40 p.m.

MOTION: Rep. Christensen moved to amend the bill as follows:

- 1. Page 2, Line 45 through Page 3, Line 58:
 - (b) [The court shall, in every case, consider joint custody but may award any form of
 - 46 custody which is determined to be There shall be a rebuttable presumption that joint legal
 - 47 <u>custody, as defined in Section 30-3-10.1, is</u> in the best interest of the child[-], so long as the
 - 48 party who desires joint legal custody files a proposed parenting plan in accordance with
 - 49 Sections 30-3-10.8 and 30-3-10.9. The presumption may be rebutted by a showing by a
 - 50 preponderance of the evidence that the following circumstances exist:
 - 51 { (i) the parents were not married to each other; }
 - 52 { (ii) } domestic violence in the home or in the presence of the child;
 - 53 { (ii) special physical or mental needs of a parent or child, making joint legal custody
 - 54 unreasonable;

 - 56 making impractical in certain circumstances; or
 - 57 {(v)} (iv) any other factor the court considers relevant, including those listed in Section
 - 58 <u>30-3-10.2.</u>

The motion passed unanimously.

MOTION: Rep. Wilson moved to pass the bill out favorably as amended. The motion passed unanimously.

The following bills were not heard:

House Judiciary Standing Committee February 14, 2012 Page 5

H.B. 130 Custodial Interference A	Amendments (A	Rep. G.	<i>Froerer</i>)
-----------------------------------	---------------	---------	------------------

H.B. 235 Offer of Judgment in Civil Cases (Rep. K. Ivory)

H.B. 236 Alimony Modifications (Rep. S. Sandstrom)

MOTION: Rep. Ivory moved adjourn the meeting. The motion passed unanimously.

Chair McIff adjourned the meeting at 3:55 p.m.

Rep. Kay McIff, Chair