

**1st Sub. H.B. 176**  
**COUNTY LAND USE PLANS**

SENATE FLOOR AMENDMENTS

AMENDMENT 2

FEBRUARY 29, 2012 3:26 PM

Senator **David P. Hinkins** proposes the following amendments:

1. *Page 3, Lines 79 through 80*

*House Floor Amendments*

*2-27-2012:*

79 (14) "Proposed congressional land use legislation" means a draft **or a working document**  
of congressional  
80 legislation prepared by a person that includes a federal land use designation.

2. *Page 11, Lines 317 through 319:*

317 63J-8-106. County supported federal land use designation proposed in proposed  
318 congressional land use legislation -- Process for legislative review of proposed federal legislation  
319 land use within a county.

3. *Page 12, Line 336:*

336 (a) a {draft} copy of the proposed congressional land use legislation;

4. *Page 14, Lines 405a through 407*

*House Floor Amendments*

*2-27-2012:*

405a (u) a statement explaining whether and to what extent members of Utah's congressional  
405b delegation and their staff were consulted in {drafting} preparing the proposed congressional land use  
405c legislation and the federal land use designation contained therein. ←H  
406 (4) (a) No later than {90} 60 days before delivering a report and draft concurrent resolution  
407 in accordance with Subsection (2), a county shall contact and inform the office of the county's

5. *Page 15, Lines 431 through 439:*

431 (ii) if the draft concurrent resolution is presented to the committee, consider whether to  
432 approve {,} or reject {, or recommend modifications to} the draft concurrent resolution;  
{and}

(iii) if the draft concurrent resolution is rejected, provide direction to the county as to the reasons the resolution was rejected and the actions that the county might take to secure committee approval of the resolution; and

433            ~~{(iii)}~~    (iv)    take any additional action the committee finds necessary.

434            (b) A legislative committee may not accept for review a county-supported federal land  
435 use designation contained in proposed congressional land use legislation that does not meet the  
436 requirements of this section.

**(8)(a) If the committee rejects the draft concurrent resolution, a county may resubmit a revised report and draft concurrent resolution to the office in accordance with the terms of this section.**

**(b) Upon receipt of a revised report and draft concurrent resolution, the office shall comply with the procedures set forth in this section.**

**(c) Upon receipt of a revised report, evaluation, and draft concurrent resolution by the office, a committee described in Subsection (6) shall comply with the procedures set forth in this section.**

437            ~~{(8)}~~    (9)    The governor may call a special session to consider the concurrent resolution  
438 presented to and approved by a committee described in Subsection (7)(a).

439            ~~{(9)}~~    (10)    If a concurrent resolution described in this section is adopted by the Legislature and