

HCR101S01 compared with HCR101

~~{deleted text}~~ shows text that was in HCR101 but was deleted in HCR101S01.

inserted text shows text that was not in HCR101 but was inserted into HCR101S01.

DISCLAIMER: This document is provided to assist you in your comparison of the two bills. Sometimes this automated comparison will NOT be completely accurate. Therefore, you need to read the actual bills. This automatically generated document could contain inaccuracies caused by: limitations of the compare program; bad input data; or other causes.

Representative Fred C. Cox proposes the following substitute bill:

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION APPROVING SITE FOR NEW STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

2015 FIRST SPECIAL SESSION

STATE OF UTAH

Chief Sponsor: Brad R. Wilson

Senate Sponsor: Jerry W. Stevenson

LONG TITLE

General Description:

This concurrent resolution of the Legislature and the Governor ~~{approves}~~addresses a site for the development of new state correctional facilities.

Highlighted Provisions:

This resolution:

- ▶ ~~{recites the policy decision to move the state prison facilities currently located in Draper to another location;~~

~~→ recites the history of the process of identifying a suitable site for new state correctional facilities;~~

~~→ approves the site chosen by}~~rejects the Prison Relocation ~~{Commission as~~

HCR101S01 compared with HCR101

~~the~~ Commission's recommendation of a site for the ~~{development}~~ construction of new ~~{state}~~ correctional facilities;

- ▶ directs the Division of Facilities Construction and Management to ~~{acquire property at the site adequate for the development of new state}~~ evaluate the current prison property in Draper as a site for the construction of new correctional facilities; ~~{and}~~
- ▶ ~~{expresses the intent of the Legislature and Governor as to the redevelopment of the former state}~~ designates the current prison property in Draper as the site for the construction of new correctional facilities if the Division of Facilities Construction and Management evaluation determines that it is feasible to construct new correctional facilities on that property; and
- ▶ directs the use of money designated for the construction of new correctional facilities.

Special Clauses:

None

Be it resolved by the Legislature of the state of Utah, the Governor concurring therein:

WHEREAS ~~{, in 2014 the Legislature passed and the Governor signed H.C.R. 8, Concurrent Resolution Regarding Moving the State Prison, in which the Legislature and Governor concluded that "it is sound public policy and in the best interests of the state to move the prison facilities from their current location in Draper" and that "the Utah State Prison facilities currently located in Draper should be relocated from that site to one or more other suitable locations in the state";~~

~~——— WHEREAS, in 2014 the Legislature and Governor created the Prison Relocation Commission to "carefully and deliberately consider, study, and evaluate how and where to move the state prison";~~

~~——— WHEREAS, the Prison Relocation Commission identified approximately 50 properties offered by their owners as potential sites for new correctional facilities and, through a lengthy and careful process, narrowed that list of potential sites to four;~~

~~——— WHEREAS, in legislation passed during the 2015 General Session}, the Prison Relocation Commission was {directed to "choose the site for the construction of new prison facilities from among the [four] sites that the commission recommended as potential sites" in~~

HCR101S01 compared with HCR101

~~its February 27, 2015 report to the Legislature and Governor and to report the commission's choice to the president of the Senate, the speaker of the House of Representatives, and the Governor}~~ created in 2014 to consider how and where to move the state correctional facilities currently located in the city of Draper;

WHEREAS, the Prison Relocation Commission has ~~{carefully and deliberately studied, evaluated, and assessed the four sites and, through its team of consultants, subjected the four properties to considerable technical study and evaluation for suitability}~~ worked diligently for over a year and has invested much time, effort, study, and resources to evaluate potential sites for the construction of new state correctional facilities and deserves the gratitude of the Legislature, the Governor, and the people of the state for its thorough work fulfilling the responsibility it was given;

WHEREAS, the charge given to the Prison Relocation Commission did not include the responsibility of evaluating the current site in Draper as a possible location where new state correctional facilities could be constructed;

WHEREAS, the Prison Relocation Commission has recommended a site located near I-80 and 7200 West in Salt Lake City as the site for the construction of new state correctional facilities;

WHEREAS, ~~{after due deliberation and consideration of all the available technical and other information on the four sites under consideration,}~~ the costs of relocating the state correctional facilities currently located in Draper have not been fully compared to the costs of building new correctional facilities at the Draper location;

WHEREAS, the work of constructing new state correctional facilities can reportedly be done outside the current secure fence, maintaining security and safety of visitors, inmates, staff, and others at the correctional facilities located in Draper;

WHEREAS, based on reports, the long-term travel and ongoing operational costs of new correctional facilities located on the Draper property are lower than three of the other sites considered by the Prison Relocation Commission ~~{has determined that the site located near I-80 and 7200 West in Salt Lake City provides the best long-term value to the state, has chosen that site as the site for the development}~~;

WHEREAS, the site in Draper already has water and sewer service, general site preparation, power, natural gas, and roads, and the state may need to incur only relatively small

HCR101S01 compared with HCR101

costs to relocate a major power line and for construction phasing, as opposed to over \$100,000,000 for estimated site preparation and utility costs for the I-80/7200 West site;

WHEREAS, the preferred site for the construction of new state correctional facilities ~~}; and has recommended that site to the Legislature and Governor; and~~

~~———WHEREAS, the construction of new, state-of-the-art} is the property in Draper where the current correctional facilities {is vital to the success of the Justice Reinvestment Initiative and the criminal justice system reforms established by the passage H.B. 348, Criminal Justice Programs and Amendments, during the 2015 General Session} are located;~~

WHEREAS, any money designated for the construction of new state correctional facilities should be used to develop and construct new correctional facilities on the state prison property in Draper; and

WHEREAS, the Division of Facilities Construction and Management should be directed to evaluate the state prison property in Draper for the construction of new state correctional facilities on approximately 500 acres of that property, leaving the remainder of that property to be used for possible prime commercial development;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Legislature of the state of Utah, the Governor concurring therein, that the ~~{site chosen by}~~ recommendation of the Prison Relocation Commission to designate the site near I-80 and 7200 West in Salt Lake City ~~{be approved as}~~ for the ~~{site for}~~ construction of new state correctional facilities ~~{to replace the correctional facilities currently located in the city of Draper}~~ is rejected.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Division of Facilities Construction and Management is directed to ~~{proceed, as provided by law, with the acquisition of the necessary property at the chosen site}~~ evaluate the state property in Draper for the feasibility of constructing new state correctional facilities on approximately 500 acres of that property, if necessary using a phased approach.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that, if the Division of Facilities Construction and Management evaluation determines that it is feasible to construct new correctional facilities on the current state prison property in Draper, approximately 500 acres of that site are designated as the location for the construction of new state correctional facilities.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that ~~{the Legislature and Governor express their intent that, after the new correctional facilities are constructed and occupied, the former}~~ whatever

HCR101S01 compared with HCR101

remains of the state prison property in Draper ~~{be redeveloped in a way that produces optimal job growth and long-term economic benefit and value to the state and minimizes the displacement of other development}~~ after the approximately 500 acres are designated for the construction of new state correctional facilities should be designated and used for possible prime commercial development, to generate property and other tax revenue for the benefit of the city of Draper, school districts, and others.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the ~~{Legislature and Governor express their intent that the redevelopment of the former}~~ money that has been made available for the construction of new state correctional facilities on a site chosen by the Prison Relocation Commission be used instead for the construction of new correctional facilities on the approximately 500 acres of the state prison property in Draper ~~{be done in strict compliance with all ethics and conflict of interest provisions of the law applicable to legislators and state employees}~~ designated for the construction of new correctional facilities.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a copy of this concurrent resolution be sent to the Utah Department of Corrections and the Division of Facilities Construction and Management.†

Legislative Review Note

~~as of 8-18-15 12:20 PM~~

~~Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel}~~