	PROCUREMENT PROCESS AMENDMENTS				
2017 GENERAL SESSION					
STATE OF UTAH					
Chief Sponsor: Karen Mayne House Sponsor:					
	General Description:				
	This bill modifies provisions relating to the procurement process.				
	Highlighted Provisions:				
	This bill:				
	• adds to the criteria that can be considered in evaluating a bid or proposal whether				
the contractor and subcontractor:					
	 employ an individual with OSHA training; and 				
	• will assign to the project an individual with oversight responsibility for safety at				
	the site.				
	Money Appropriated in this Bill:				
	None				
	Other Special Clauses:				
	None				
Utah Code Sections Affected:					
	AMENDS:				
	63G-6a-606, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2016, Chapter 355				
63G-6a-707, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2016, Chapters 237 and 355					



S.B. 133 01-30-17 9:30 AM

28	63G-6a-606. Evaluation of bids Award Cancellation Rejecting a bid.				
29	(1) A procurement unit that conducts a procurement using a bidding standard				
30	procurement process shall evaluate each bid using the objective criteria described in the				
31	invitation for bids, which may include:				
32	(a) experience;				
33	(b) performance ratings;				
34	(c) inspection;				
35	(d) testing;				
36	(e) quality;				
37	(f) workmanship;				
38	(g) time and manner of delivery;				
39	(h) references;				
40	(i) financial stability;				
41	(j) cost;				
42	(k) suitability for a particular purpose;				
43	(l) the contractor's work site safety program, including any requirement that the				
14	contractor imposes on subcontractors for a work site safety program; [or]				
45	(m) whether the contractor and each subcontractor:				
46	(i) employs an individual who has received safety training sanctioned by the federal				
1 7	Occupational Safety and Health Administration; and				
48	(ii) will assign to the project a safety director or manager or other individual who will				
1 9	have oversight responsibility for safety at the site; or				
50	[(m)] (n) other objective criteria specified in the invitation for bids.				
51	(2) Criteria not described in the invitation for bids may not be used to evaluate a bid.				
52	(3) The conducting procurement unit shall:				
53	(a) award the contract as soon as practicable to:				
54	(i) the responsible bidder who submits the lowest responsive bid that meets the				
55	objective criteria described in the invitation for bids; or				
56	(ii) if, in accordance with Subsection (4), the procurement officer or the head of the				
57	conducting procurement unit rejects a bid described in Subsection (3)(a)(i), the responsible				
58	bidder who submits the next lowest responsive bid that meets the objective criteria described in				

59	the invitation for bids; or				
60	(b) cancel the invitation for bids without awarding a contract.				
61	(4) In accordance with Subsection (5), the procurement officer or the head of the				
62	conducting procurement unit may reject a bid for:				
63	(a) a violation of this chapter by the bidder who submitted the bid;				
64	(b) a violation of a requirement of the invitation for bids;				
65	(c) unlawful or unethical conduct by the bidder who submitted the bid; or				
66	(d) a change in a bidder's circumstance that, had the change been known at the time the				
67	bid was submitted, would have caused the bid to be rejected.				
68	(5) A procurement officer or head of a conducting procurement unit who rejects a bid				
69	under Subsection (4) shall:				
70	(a) make a written finding, stating the reasons for the rejection; and				
71	(b) provide a copy of the written finding to the bidder who submitted the rejected bid.				
72	(6) If a conducting procurement unit cancels an invitation for bids without awarding a				
73	contract, the conducting procurement unit shall make available for public inspection a written				
74	justification for the cancellation.				
75	Section 2. Section 63G-6a-707 is amended to read:				
76	63G-6a-707. Evaluation of proposals Evaluation committee.				
77	(1) To determine which proposal provides the best value to the procurement unit, the				
78	evaluation committee shall evaluate each responsive and responsible proposal that has not been				
79	disqualified from consideration under the provisions of this chapter, using the criteria described				
80	in the request for proposals, which may include:				
81	(a) experience;				
82	(b) performance ratings;				
83	(c) inspection;				
84	(d) testing;				
85	(e) quality;				
86	(f) workmanship;				
87	(g) time, manner, or schedule of delivery;				
88	(h) references;				

89

(i) financial solvency;

S.B. 133 01-30-17 9:30 AM

90	(j) suitability for a particular purpose;				
91	(k) management plans;				
92	(l) the presence and quality of a work site safety program, including any requirement				
93	that the offeror imposes on subcontractors for a work site safety program;				
94	(m) whether the contractor and each subcontractor:				
95	(i) employs an individual who has received safety training sanctioned by the federal				
96	Occupational Safety and Health Administration; and				
97	(ii) will assign to the project a safety director or manager or other individual who wil				
98	have oversight responsibility for safety at the site;				
99	[(m)] <u>(n)</u> cost; or				
100	[(n)] (o) other subjective or objective criteria specified in the request for proposals.				
101	(2) Criteria not described in the request for proposals may not be used to evaluate a				
102	proposal.				
103	(3) (a) For a procurement of administrative law judge service, an evaluation committee				
104	shall consist of:				
105	(i) the head of the conducting procurement unit, or the head's designee;				
106	(ii) the head of an executive branch procurement unit other than the conducting				
107	procurement unit, appointed by the executive director of the Department of Human Resource				
108	Management, or the head's designee; and				
109	(iii) the executive director of the Department of Human Resource Management, or the				
110	executive director's designee.				
111	(b) For every other procurement requiring an evaluation by an evaluation committee,				
112	the conducting procurement unit shall:				
113	(i) appoint an evaluation committee consisting of at least three individuals with at least				
114	a general familiarity with or basic understanding of:				
115	(A) the technical requirements relating to the type of procurement item that is the				
116	subject of the procurement; or				
117	(B) the need that the procurement item is intended to address; and				
118	(ii) ensure that the evaluation committee and each individual participating in the				
119	evaluation committee process:				
120	(A) does not have a conflict of interest with any of the offerors;				

01-30-17 9:30 AM S.B. 133

121	(b) can fairly evaluate each proposar,				
122	(C) does not contact or communicate with an offeror concerning the procurement				
123	outside the official evaluation committee process; and				
124	(D) conducts or participates in the evaluation in a manner that ensures a fair and				
125	competitive process and avoids the appearance of impropriety.				
126	(4) A conducting procurement unit may authorize an evaluation committee to receive				
127	assistance:				
128	(a) from an expert or consultant who:				
129	(i) is not a member of the evaluation committee; and				
130	(ii) does not participate in the evaluation scoring; and				
131	(b) to better understand a technical issue involved in the procurement.				
132	(5) (a) An evaluation committee may, with the approval of the head of the conducting				
133	procurement unit, enter into discussions or conduct interviews with, or attend presentations by				
134	the offerors, for the purpose of clarifying information contained in proposals.				
135	(b) In a discussion, interview, or presentation under Subsection (5)(a), an offeror:				
136	(i) may only explain, illustrate, or interpret the contents of the offeror's original				
137	proposal; and				
138	(ii) may not:				
139	(A) address criteria or specifications not contained in the offeror's original proposal;				
140	(B) correct a deficiency, inaccuracy, or mistake in a proposal that is not an immaterial				
141	error;				
142	(C) correct an incomplete submission of documents that the solicitation required to be				
143	submitted with the proposal;				
144	(D) correct a failure to submit a timely proposal;				
145	(E) substitute or alter a required form or other document specified in the solicitation;				
146	(F) remedy a cause for an offeror being considered to be not responsible or a proposal				
147	not responsive; or				
148	(G) correct a defect or inadequacy resulting in a determination that an offeror does not				
149	meet the mandatory minimum requirements, evaluation criteria, or applicable score thresholds				
150	established in the solicitation.				
151	(6) (a) Except as provided in Subsection (7)(b) relating to access to management fee				

S.B. 133 01-30-17 9:30 AM

information, and except as provided in Subsection (9), each member of the evaluation committee is prohibited from knowing, or having access to, any information relating to the cost, or the scoring of the cost, of a proposal until after the evaluation committee submits its final recommended scores on all other criteria to the issuing procurement unit.

(b) The issuing procurement unit shall:

- (i) if applicable, assign an individual who is not a member of the evaluation committee to calculate scores for cost based on the applicable scoring formula, weighting, and other scoring procedures contained in the request for proposals;
- (ii) review the evaluation committee's scores and correct any errors, scoring inconsistencies, and reported noncompliance with this chapter;
- (iii) add the scores calculated for cost, if applicable, to the evaluation committee's final recommended scores on criteria other than cost to derive the total combined score for each responsive and responsible proposal; and
- (iv) provide to the evaluation committee the total combined score calculated for each responsive and responsible proposal, including any applicable cost formula, weighting, and scoring procedures used to calculate the total combined scores.
 - (c) The evaluation committee may not:
- (i) change its final recommended scores described in Subsection (6)(a) after the evaluation committee has submitted those scores to the issuing procurement unit; or
 - (ii) change cost scores calculated by the issuing procurement unit.
- (7) (a) As used in this Subsection (7), "management fee" includes only the following fees of the construction manager/general contractor:
 - (i) preconstruction phase services;
 - (ii) monthly supervision fees for the construction phase; and
 - (iii) overhead and profit for the construction phase.
- (b) When selecting a construction manager/general contractor for a construction project, the evaluation committee:
- (i) may score a construction manager/general contractor based upon criteria contained in the solicitation, including qualifications, performance ratings, references, management plan, certifications, and other project specific criteria described in the solicitation;
 - (ii) may, as described in the solicitation, weight and score the management fee as a

01-30-17 9:30 AM S.B. 133

fixed rate or as a	fixed percentage	ge of the estima	ted contract value;
Tined rate of as a	Tired percentug	ge of the estima	ica commuci varac,

- (iii) may, at any time after the opening of the responses to the request for proposals, have access to, and consider, the management fee proposed by the offerors; and
- (iv) except as provided in Subsection (9), may not know or have access to any other information relating to the cost of construction submitted by the offerors, until after the evaluation committee submits its final recommended scores on all other criteria to the issuing procurement unit.
 - (8) (a) The deliberations of an evaluation committee may be held in private.
- (b) If the evaluation committee is a public body, as defined in Section 52-4-103, the evaluation committee shall comply with Section 52-4-205 in closing a meeting for its deliberations.
- (9) An issuing procurement unit is not required to comply with Subsection (6) or (7)(b)(iv), as applicable, if the head of the issuing procurement unit or a person designated by rule made by the applicable rulemaking authority:
 - (a) signs a written statement:
- (i) indicating that, due to the nature of the proposal or other circumstances, it is in the best interest of the procurement unit to waive compliance with Subsection (6) or (7)(b)(iv), as the case may be; and
- (ii) describing the nature of the proposal and the other circumstances relied upon to waive compliance with Subsection (6) or (7)(b)(iv); and
 - (b) makes the written statement available to the public, upon request.

Legislative Review Note Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel