HB0062S01 compared with HB0062

{deleted text} shows text that was in HB0062 but was deleted in HB0062S01.

Inserted text shows text that was not in HB0062 but was inserted into HB0062S01.

DISCLAIMER: This document is provided to assist you in your comparison of the two bills. Sometimes this automated comparison will NOT be completely accurate. Therefore, you need to read the actual bills. This automatically generated document could contain inaccuracies caused by: limitations of the compare program; bad input data; or other causes.

Representative Tim Quinn proposes the following substitute bill:

PROPERTY RIGHTS AMENDMENTS

2018 GENERAL SESSION STATE OF UTAH

Chief Sponsor: Tim Quinn

Senate	Sponsor:		

LONG TITLE

General Description:

This bill addresses the determination of just compensation in certain eminent domain proceedings.

Highlighted Provisions:

This bill:

- provides that a court, jury, or referee determining just compensation may consider certain evidence;
- prohibits consideration of the assessed value on a property tax assessment except in certain circumstances; and
- makes technical and conforming changes.

Money Appropriated in this Bill:

None

HB0062S01 compared with HB0062

Other Special Clauses:

None

Utah Code Sections Affected:

AMENDS:

78B-6-511, as renumbered and amended by Laws of Utah 2008, Chapter 3

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:

Section 1. Section 78B-6-511 is amended to read:

78B-6-511. Compensation and damages -- How assessed.

- (1) The court, jury, or referee shall hear any legal evidence offered by any of the parties to the proceedings, and determine and assess:
- [(1)] (a) (i) the value of the property sought to be condemned and all improvements pertaining to the realty;
 - [(b)] (ii) the value of each and every separate estate or interest in the property; and
- [(c)] (iii) if it consists of different parcels, the value of each parcel and of each estate or interest in each shall be separately assessed;
- [(2)] (b) if the property sought to be condemned constitutes only a part of a larger parcel, the damages which will accrue to the portion not sought to be condemned by reason of its severance from the portion sought to be condemned and the construction of the improvement in the manner proposed by the plaintiff;
- [(3)] (c) if the property, though no part of it is taken, will be damaged by the construction of the proposed improvement, and the amount of the damages;
- [(4)] (d) separately, how much the portion not sought to be condemned, and each estate or interest in it, will be benefitted, if at all, by the construction of the improvement proposed by the plaintiff[. If], provided that if the benefit is equal to the damages assessed under Subsection [(2)] (1)(b), the owner of the parcel shall be allowed no compensation except the value of the portion taken; but if the benefit is less than the damages assessed, the former shall be deducted from the latter, and the remainder shall be the only damages allowed in addition to the value of the portion taken;
- [(5)] (e) if the property sought to be condemned consists of water rights or part of a water delivery system or both, and the taking will cause present or future damage to or

HB0062S01 compared with HB0062

impairment of the water delivery system not being taken, including impairment of the system's carrying capacity, an amount to compensate for the damage or impairment; <u>and</u>

[(6)] (f) if land on which crops are growing at the time of service of summons is sought to be condemned, the value that those crops would have had after being harvested, taking into account the expenses that would have been incurred cultivating and harvesting the crops[; and].

[(7) as far as practicable compensation shall be assessed for each source of damages separately.]

(2) (a) In determining the market value of the property before the taking and the market value of the property after the taking to assess damages in partial takings cases as described in Subsection (1)(b), the court, jury, or referee:

({a}i) may consider anything a {prudent purchaser} willing buyer would consider in determining the market value of the property after the taking, including:

(any impact from the public project for which the property was taken; and

({iii}B) the access to the property that exists after the taking; and

(this) may not consider the assessed value on the property tax assessment for the property unless the court determines that the assessed value on the property tax assessment constitutes an admission by a party opponent.

{

Legislative Review Note

Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel (b) Subsection (2)(a) does not preclude the court, jury, or referee from considering the access to the property that existed before the taking in determining the value of the property before the taking.