S.B. 98

214	fully described at the state engineer's office.
215	Section 5. Section 73-21-105 is enacted to read:
216	73-21-105. Tabulation of Ute Indian Water Rights.
217	<u>PURPOSE</u>
218	This tabulation of Ute Indian Water Rights is prepared pursuant to and in accordance
219	with the Ute Indian Water Compact of 1990 between the Ute Indian Tribe of the Uintah and
220	Ouray Indian Reservation, Utah, the State of Utah and the United States of America concerning
221	the water rights of the Ute Indian Tribe. The purpose of this Tabulation is to fully identify and
222	define all federal reserved water rights of the Ute Indian Tribe.
223	FORWARD
224	In December, 1960 the Ute Tribe submitted to the Utah State Engineer a report entitled
225	Water Right Claims, Uintah and Ouray Indian Reservation, Utah, prepared by E.L. Decker,
226	tribal engineer, and commonly referred to as the Decker Report. This report was prepared to
227	identify both the Tribe's present irrigated acreage and also those lands that are susceptible to
228	irrigation, for which a water right was claimed under the doctrine expressed in Winters v.
229	United States, 207, U.S. 546 (1908). The acreages listed in the Decker report, as amended,
230	were used as a basis for this Tabulation.
231	The Decker Report divided the lands into seven different groups for identification
232	purposes, which are incorporated herein:
233	Group (1): Lands included within the Uintah Indian Irrigation Project, the water right to
234	which has been certificated by the State of Utah and included within Federal Court Decrees
235	adjudicating water rights of the Lakefork, Yellowstone, Uinta and Whiterock Rivers.
236	Group (2): Lands included in the Uintah Indian Irrigation Project, the water right to
237	which has been certificated by the State of Utah, served from the $\hat{\mathbf{H}} \rightarrow [\underline{\mathbf{Duschense}}]$ <u>Duchesne</u> $\leftarrow \hat{\mathbf{H}}$
237a	River, including
238	the townsites of $\hat{\mathbf{H}} \rightarrow [\underline{\mathbf{Duschense}}]$ <u>Duchesne</u> $\leftarrow \hat{\mathbf{H}}$, Randlett and Myton.
239	Group (3): Lands that are or can be served from the $\hat{\mathbf{H}} \rightarrow [\underline{\mathbf{Duschense}}]$ Duchesne $\leftarrow \hat{\mathbf{H}}$ River
239a	through the
240	facilities of the Uintah Indian Irrigation Project which lands have not been certificated by the
241	State of Utah.
242	Group (4): Lands which have been found to be productive and economically feasible to
243	<u>irrigate from privately constructed ditch systems on the</u> $\hat{\mathbf{H}} \rightarrow [\underline{\mathbf{Duschense}}]$ <u>Duchesne</u> $\leftarrow \hat{\mathbf{H}}$ <u>River</u>
243a	or its tributaries
244	above the Pahcease Canal.

01-22-18 1:10 PM S.B. 98

245	Group (5): Lands which have been found to be susceptible to irrigation and are
246	proposed to be developed within the Central Utah Project.
247	Group (6): Lands lying east of the Green River served from the White River for which
248	Applications to Appropriate Water were once filed with the State of Utah.
249	Group (7): Lands lying east of the Green River which have been found to be productive
250	and economically feasible to irrigate from privately constructed ditch systems now in operation
251	or to be constructed along the Green River, White River, Willow Creek, Bitter Creek, Sweet
252	Water Creek and Hill Creek.
253	A summary of the Ute Indian Tribe's total irrigable acreage, maximum allowable
254	depletion and diversion requirement for each of the land groups, by streams, are contained in
255	tables 1, 2, and 3, respectively.
256	Delivery schedules specifying the quantity of water to be diverted from the various
257	streams are shown in tables 4 through 7. The quantity of water to be diverted into the various
258	canals and/or ditches shall be determined based on the irrigable acreage as shown in the
259	acreage tabulation, times the flow rate per acre corresponding to the period of time on the
260	appropriate delivery schedule. The delivery schedules may be modified by mutual consent of
261	the Tribe, State and other affected water users or through the pending general adjudication
262	process. No delivery of water shall be made to lands until the lands are developed or an
263	appropriate change application is filed and approved. For the Group 1 lands the diversion
264	requirement was established at 3.40 acre-feet per acre under the 1980 Ute Indian Water
265	Compact, of which 3.00 acre-feet per acre was to have been supplied from direct streamflow in
266	accordance with the appropriate delivery schedule and the remaining 0.40 acre-feet per acre
267	was to have been supplied from storage under the proposed Uintah and Upalco Units of the
268	Central Utah Project. Such water delivered from storage (0.40 acre-feet per acre) was to
269	assume, or if developed in the future will assume, the priority date of the Bureau of
270	Reclamation water rights to accomplish the equitable allocation of water to all subscribers of
271	the projects. Under the 1990 Compact such water will not be developed or delivered under the
272	Upalco and Uintah Units. Nevertheless, this tabulation leaves in place the diversion and
273	depletion quantities with respect to these Group 1 lands established under the 1980 Compact.
274	Within the group 1 lands there are 9,300 acres commonly referred to as Midview
275	Exchange lands. As a result of this exchange these lands are now served from the
275a	Ĥ→ [Duschesne] Duchesne ←Ĥ