CUSTODY AND VISITATION RIGHTS AMENDMENTS
2020 GENERAL SESSION
STATE OF UTAH
Chief Sponsor: Kyle R. Andersen
Senate Sponsor: Allen M. Christensen
LONG TITLE
General Description:
This bill amends provisions related to custody and visitation rights of an individual
other than a parent.
Highlighted Provisions:
This bill:
 addresses the Utah Supreme Court's decision in Jones v. Jones, 359 P.3d 603 (Utah
2015), by amending the factors that a court considers in granting visitation rights to
grandparents;
 amends provisions regarding when a court may inquire, and take into account, a
grandchild's desires with respect to visitation;
 amends provisions regarding custody and visitation rights for an individual other
than a parent; and
makes technical and conforming changes.
Money Appropriated in this Bill:
None
Other Special Clauses:
None
Utah Code Sections Affected:



A	MENDS:
	30-5-1, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2002, Chapter 85
	30-5-2, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2005, Chapter 129
	30-5a-101, as enacted by Laws of Utah 2008, Chapter 272
	30-5a-102, as enacted by Laws of Utah 2008, Chapter 272
	30-5a-103, as and further amended by Revisor Instructions, Laws of Utah 2018,
C	hapter 446
В	e it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:
	Section 1. Section 30-5-1 is amended to read:
	30-5-1. Definitions.
	As used in this act:
	(1) "District court" means the district court with proper jurisdiction over the
gı	randchild.
	(2) "Grandchild" means the child with respect to whom a grandparent is seeking
V	isitation rights under this chapter.
	(3) "Grandparent" means [a person] an individual whose child, either by blood,
m	narriage, or adoption, is the parent of the grandchild.
	Section 2. Section 30-5-2 is amended to read:
	30-5-2. Visitation rights of grandparents.
	(1) In accordance with the provisions and requirements of this section:
	(a) [Grandparents have] a grandparent has standing to bring an action requesting
V	isitation in district court by petition[, requesting visitation in accordance with the provisions
aı	nd requirements of this section. Grandparents may also]; and
	(b) a grandparent may file a petition for visitation rights in [a pending] the juvenile
<u>c</u>	ourt or district court where a divorce proceeding or other proceeding involving custody and
V	isitation issues is pending.
	[(2) There is a rebuttable presumption that a parent's decision with regard to
gı	randparent visitation is in the grandchild's best interests. However, the court may override the
pa	arent's decision and grant the petitioner reasonable rights of visitation if the court finds that
th	ne petitioner has rebutted the presumption based upon factors which the court considers to be

57	relevant, such as whether:]
58	[(a) the petitioner is a fit and proper person to have visitation with the grandchild;]
59	[(b) visitation with the grandchild has been denied or unreasonably limited;]
60	[(c) the parent is unfit or incompetent;]
61	[(d) the petitioner has acted as the grandchild's custodian or caregiver, or otherwise has
62	had a substantial relationship with the grandchild, and]
63	[the loss or cessation of that relationship is likely to cause harm to the grandchild;]
64	[(e) the petitioner's child, who is a parent of the grandchild, has died, or has become a
65	noncustodial parent through divorce or legal separation;]
66	[(f) the petitioner's child, who is a parent of the grandchild, has been missing for an
67	extended period of time; or]
68	[(g) visitation is in the best interest of the grandchild.]
69	(2) (a) In accordance with Section 62A-4a-201, it is the public policy of this state that a
70	parent retains the fundamental right and duty to exercise primary control over the care,
71	supervision, upbringing, and education of the parent's children.
72	(b) A court shall presume that a parent's decision in regard to grandparent visitation is
73	in the best interest of the parent's child.
74	(3) A court may find the presumption in Subsection (2)(b) rebutted if the grandparent,
75	by clear and convincing evidence, establishes that:
76	(a) the grandparent has filled the role of custodian or caregiver to the grandchild that:
77	(i) is in a manner akin to a parent; and
78	(ii) the loss of the relationship between the grandparent and the grandchild would cause
79	substantial harm to the grandchild; or
80	(b) both parents are unfit or incompetent in a manner that causes potential harm to the
81	grandchild.
82	(4) (a) If the court finds the presumption in Subsection (2)(b) is rebutted, the court may
83	consider whether grandparent visitation is in the best interest of the grandchild.
84	(b) If the court considers whether grandparent visitation is in the best interest of the
85	child, the court shall take into account the totality of the circumstances, including:
86	(i) the reasonableness of the parent's decision to deny grandparent visitation;
87	(ii) the age of the grandchild;

88	(iii) the death or unavailability of a parent; and
89	(iv) if the grandchild is 14 years old or older, the grandchild's desires regarding
90	visitation after the court inquires of the grandchild.
91	(5) If the court finds the presumption in Subsection (2)(b) is rebutted and grandparent
92	visitation is in the best interest of the grandchild, the court may issue an order for grandparent
93	visitation.
94	[(3)] (6) The adoption of a grandchild by the grandchild's stepparent does not diminish
95	or alter visitation rights previously ordered under this section.
96	[(4) Subject to the provisions of Subsections (2) and (3), the court may inquire of the
97	grandchild and take into account the grandchild's desires regarding visitation.]
98	[(5)] On the petition of a grandparent or the legal custodian of a grandchild the
99	court may, after a hearing, modify an order regarding grandparent visitation if:
100	(a) the circumstances of the grandchild, the grandparent, or the custodian have
101	materially and substantially changed since the entry of the order to be modified, or the order
102	has become unworkable or inappropriate under existing circumstances; and
103	(b) the court determines that a modification is appropriate based upon the factors set
104	forth in [Subsection (2)] Subsections (3) and (4).
105	[(6)] (8) [Grandparents] A grandparent may petition the court to remedy a parent's
106	wrongful noncompliance with a visitation order.
107	Section 3. Section 30-5a-101 is amended to read:
108	CHAPTER 5a. CUSTODY AND VISITATION FOR INDIVIDUALS
109	OTHER THAN PARENTS ACT
110	30-5a-101. Title.
111	This chapter is known as the "Custody and Visitation for [Persons] Individuals Other
112	than Parents Act."
113	Section 4. Section 30-5a-102 is amended to read:
114	30-5a-102. Definitions.
115	As used in this chapter:
116	[(1) "Parent" means a biological or adoptive parent.]
117	[(2)] (1) "[Person] Individual other than a parent" means [a person] an individual who
118	is not a parent and is related to the child by marriage or blood, including:

119	(a) siblings;
120	(b) aunts;
121	(c) uncles;
122	(d) grandparents; or
123	(e) current or former step-parents, or any of the [persons] individuals described in
124	Subsections $[\frac{(2)}{(1)}]$ (1)(a) through (d) in a step relationship to the child.
125	(2) "Parent" means a biological or adoptive parent.
126	Section 5. Section 30-5a-103 is amended to read:
127	30-5a-103. Custody and visitation for individuals other than a parent.
128	(1) (a) In accordance with Section 62A-4a-201, it is the public policy of this state that
129	[parents] a parent retain the fundamental right and duty to exercise primary control over the
130	care, supervision, upbringing, and education of [their] the parent's children.
131	(b) There is a rebuttable presumption that a parent's decisions are in the child's best
132	interests.
133	(2) A court may find the presumption in Subsection (1) rebutted and grant custodial or
134	visitation rights to [a person] an individual other than a parent who, by clear and convincing
135	evidence, [has established all of the following] establishes that:
136	(a) the [person] individual has intentionally assumed the role and obligations of a
137	parent;
138	(b) the [person] individual and the child have formed [an] a substantial emotional bond
139	and created a parent-child type relationship;
140	(c) the [person] individual substantially contributed emotionally $\hat{H} \rightarrow [f]$ or $[f]$ and f
140a	financially to
141	the child's well being;
142	(d) the assumption of the parental role is not the result of a financially compensated
143	surrogate care arrangement;
144	(e) the continuation of the relationship between the [person] individual and the child
145	[would be] is in the child's best [interests] interest;
146	(f) the loss or cessation of the relationship between the [person] individual and the
147	child would [be detrimental to] substantially harm the child; and
148	(g) the parent:
149	(i) is absent; or

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

- (ii) is found by a court to have abused or neglected the child.
 - (3) A proceeding under this chapter may be commenced by filing a verified petition, or petition supported by an affidavit, in the juvenile court if a matter is pending, or in the district court in the county [in which] where the child:
 - (a) currently resides; or
 - (b) lived with a parent or [a person] an individual other than a parent who acted as a parent within six months before the commencement of the action.
 - (4) A proceeding under this chapter may be filed in a pending divorce, parentage action, or other proceeding, including a proceeding in the juvenile court[5] involving custody of or visitation with a child.
 - (5) The petition shall include detailed facts supporting the petitioner's right to file the petition including the criteria set forth in Subsection (2) and residency information as set forth in Section 78B-13-209.
 - (6) A proceeding under this chapter may not be filed against a parent who is actively serving outside the state in any branch of the military.
 - (7) Notice of a petition filed pursuant to this chapter shall be served in accordance with the rules of civil procedure on all of the following:
 - (a) the child's biological, adopted, presumed, declarant, and adjudicated parents;
 - (b) any [person] individual who has court-ordered custody or visitation rights;
 - (c) the child's guardian;
 - (d) the guardian ad litem, if one has been appointed;
 - (e) [a person] an individual or agency that has physical custody of the child or that claims to have custody or visitation rights; and
 - (f) any other [person] <u>individual</u> or agency that has previously appeared in any action regarding custody of or visitation with the child.
 - (8) The court may order a custody evaluation to be conducted in any action brought under this chapter.
 - (9) The court may enter temporary orders in an action brought under this chapter pending the entry of final orders.
 - (10) Except as provided in Subsection (11), a court may not grant custody of a child under this section to an individual who is not the [biological or adoptive] parent of the child

211

181 and who, before a custody order is issued, is convicted, pleads guilty, or pleads no contest to a 182 felony or attempted felony involving conduct that constitutes any of the following: 183 (a) child abuse, as described in Section 76-5-109: 184 (b) child abuse homicide, as described in Section 76-5-208; 185 (c) child kidnapping, as described in Section 76-5-301.1; 186 (d) human trafficking of a child, as described in Section 76-5-308.5; (e) sexual abuse of a minor, as described in Section 76-5-401.1; 187 188 (f) rape of a child, as described in Section 76-5-402.1: 189 (g) object rape of a child, as described in Section 76-5-402.3; 190 (h) sodomy on a child, as described in Section 76-5-403.1; 191 (i) sexual abuse of a child or aggravated sexual abuse of a child, as described in 192 Section 76-5-404.1; 193 (i) sexual exploitation of a minor, as described in Section 76-5b-201; or 194 (k) an offense in another state that, if committed in this state, would constitute an 195 offense described in this Subsection (10). 196 (11) (a) [For purpose of] As used in this Subsection (11), "disqualifying offense" 197 means an offense listed in Subsection (10) that prevents a court from granting custody except 198 as provided in this Subsection (11). 199 (b) [A person] An individual described in Subsection (10) may only be considered for 200 custody of a child if the following criteria are met by clear and convincing evidence: 201 (i) the [person] individual is a relative, as defined in Section 78A-6-307, of the child; (ii) at least 10 years have elapsed from the day on which the [person] individual is 202 203 successfully released from prison, jail, parole, or probation related to a disqualifying offense: 204 (iii) during the 10 years before the day on which the [person] individual files a petition 205 with the court seeking custody the [person] individual has not been convicted, plead guilty, or 206 plead no contest to an offense greater than an infraction or traffic violation that would likely 207 impact the health, safety, or well-being of the child; 208 (iv) the [person] individual can provide evidence of successful treatment or 209 rehabilitation directly related to the disqualifying offense; 210 (v) the court determines that the risk related to the disqualifying offense is unlikely to

cause harm, as defined in Section 78A-6-105, or potential harm to the child currently or at any

212	time in the future when considering all of the following:
213	(A) the child's age;
214	(B) the child's gender;
215	(C) the child's development;
216	(D) the nature and seriousness of the disqualifying offense;
217	(E) the preferences of a child 12 years [of age] old or older;
218	(F) any available assessments, including custody evaluations, parenting assessments,
219	psychological or mental health assessments, and bonding assessments; and
220	(G) any other relevant information;
221	(vi) the [person] individual can provide evidence of the following:
222	(A) the relationship with the child is of long duration;
223	(B) that an emotional bond exists with the child; and
224	(C) that custody by the [person] individual who has committed the disqualifying
225	offense ensures the best interests of the child are met;
226	(vii) (A) there is no other responsible relative known to the court who has or likely
227	could develop an emotional bond with the child and does not have a disqualifying offense; or
228	(B) if there is a responsible relative known to the court that does not have a
229	disqualifying offense, Subsection (11)(d) applies; and
230	(viii) that the continuation of the relationship between the [person] individual with the
231	disqualifying offense and the child could not be sufficiently maintained through any type of
232	visitation if custody were given to the relative with no disqualifying offense described in
233	Subsection (11)(d).
234	(c) The [person] individual with the disqualifying offense bears the burden of proof
235	regarding why placement with that [person] individual is in the best interest of the child over
236	another responsible relative or equally situated [person] individual who does not have a
237	disqualifying offense.
238	(d) If, as provided in Subsection (11)(b)(vii)(B), there is a responsible relative known
239	to the court who does not have a disqualifying offense:
240	(i) preference for custody is given to a relative who does not have a disqualifying
241	offense; and
242	(ii) before the court may place custody with the [person] individual who has the

02-05-20 9:52 AM

2nd Sub. (Gray) H.B. 64

243	disqualifying offense over another responsible, willing, and able relative:
244	(A) an impartial custody evaluation shall be completed; and
245	(B) a guardian ad litem shall be assigned.
246	(12) Subsections (10) and (11) apply to a case pending on March 25, 2017, for which a
247	final decision on custody has not been made and to a case filed on or after March 25, 2017.