FOST CONVICTION REMEDIES AMENDMENTS
2020 GENERAL SESSION
STATE OF UTAH
Chief Sponsor: Brady Brammer
Senate Sponsor:
LONG TITLE
General Description:
This bill modifies the Postconviction Remedies Act.
Highlighted Provisions:
This bill:
 adds a lower standard for a petitioner to show prejudice when a prosecutor
knowingly failed to correct false testimony;
 modifies the circumstances under which a post-conviction petition may be
precluded;
 provides that post-conviction remedies petitions based on factual innocence or
requesting DNA testing are not subject to procedural or time bars;
 modifies the factors that a judge may consider when determining whether to appoint
pro bono counsel;
 modifies the timeline for when a court provides certain notices to an individual who
is sentenced to death and whose sentence has been affirmed;
 modifies the circumstances under which a petitioner who is sentenced to death and
whose sentence has been affirmed may decline appointment of counsel;
• eliminates the time requirement in which a court shall appoint counsel before
requiring a petitioner who is sentenced to death and whose sentence has been
affirmed to proceed without counsel or dismissing the petitioner's postconviction



action; and

28	makes technical and conforming changes.
29	Money Appropriated in this Bill:
30	None
31	Other Special Clauses:
32	None
33	Utah Code Sections Affected:
34	AMENDS:
35	78B-9-104, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2018, Chapter 221
36	78B-9-106, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2017, Chapter 447
37	78B-9-107, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2017, Chapter 447
38	78B-9-109, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2008, Chapter 288 and renumbered and
39	amended by Laws of Utah 2008, Chapter 3
10	78B-9-202, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2011, Chapter 165
11	78B-9-301, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2018, Chapter 86
12	78B-9-402, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2013, Chapter 46
13	
14	Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:
	Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah: Section 1. Section 78B-9-104 is amended to read:
14	, c
14 15	Section 1. Section 78B-9-104 is amended to read:
14 15 16	Section 1. Section 78B-9-104 is amended to read: 78B-9-104. Grounds for relief Retroactivity of rule.
14 15 16 17	Section 1. Section 78B-9-104 is amended to read: 78B-9-104. Grounds for relief Retroactivity of rule. (1) Unless precluded by Section 78B-9-106 or 78B-9-107, [a person] an individual
14 15 16 17	Section 1. Section 78B-9-104 is amended to read: 78B-9-104. Grounds for relief Retroactivity of rule. (1) Unless precluded by Section 78B-9-106 or 78B-9-107, [a person] an individual who has been convicted and sentenced for a criminal offense may file an action in the district
14 15 16 17 18	Section 1. Section 78B-9-104 is amended to read: 78B-9-104. Grounds for relief Retroactivity of rule. (1) Unless precluded by Section 78B-9-106 or 78B-9-107, [a person] an individual who has been convicted and sentenced for a criminal offense may file an action in the district court of original jurisdiction for post-conviction relief to vacate or modify the conviction or
14 15 16 17 18 19	Section 1. Section 78B-9-104 is amended to read: 78B-9-104. Grounds for relief Retroactivity of rule. (1) Unless precluded by Section 78B-9-106 or 78B-9-107, [a person] an individual who has been convicted and sentenced for a criminal offense may file an action in the district court of original jurisdiction for post-conviction relief to vacate or modify the conviction or sentence upon the following grounds:
144 145 146 147 148 149 50	Section 1. Section 78B-9-104 is amended to read: 78B-9-104. Grounds for relief Retroactivity of rule. (1) Unless precluded by Section 78B-9-106 or 78B-9-107, [a person] an individual who has been convicted and sentenced for a criminal offense may file an action in the district court of original jurisdiction for post-conviction relief to vacate or modify the conviction or sentence upon the following grounds: (a) the conviction was obtained or the sentence was imposed in violation of the United
144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151	Section 1. Section 78B-9-104 is amended to read: 78B-9-104. Grounds for relief Retroactivity of rule. (1) Unless precluded by Section 78B-9-106 or 78B-9-107, [a person] an individual who has been convicted and sentenced for a criminal offense may file an action in the district court of original jurisdiction for post-conviction relief to vacate or modify the conviction or sentence upon the following grounds: (a) the conviction was obtained or the sentence was imposed in violation of the United States Constitution or Utah Constitution;
144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152	Section 1. Section 78B-9-104 is amended to read: 78B-9-104. Grounds for relief Retroactivity of rule. (1) Unless precluded by Section 78B-9-106 or 78B-9-107, [a person] an individual who has been convicted and sentenced for a criminal offense may file an action in the district court of original jurisdiction for post-conviction relief to vacate or modify the conviction or sentence upon the following grounds: (a) the conviction was obtained or the sentence was imposed in violation of the United States Constitution or Utah Constitution; (b) the conviction was obtained or the sentence was imposed under a statute that is in
144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152 153	Section 1. Section 78B-9-104 is amended to read: 78B-9-104. Grounds for relief Retroactivity of rule. (1) Unless precluded by Section 78B-9-106 or 78B-9-107, [a person] an individual who has been convicted and sentenced for a criminal offense may file an action in the district court of original jurisdiction for post-conviction relief to vacate or modify the conviction or sentence upon the following grounds: (a) the conviction was obtained or the sentence was imposed in violation of the United States Constitution or Utah Constitution; (b) the conviction was obtained or the sentence was imposed under a statute that is in violation of the United States Constitution or Utah Constitution, or the conduct for which the
144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152 153 154	Section 1. Section 78B-9-104 is amended to read: 78B-9-104. Grounds for relief Retroactivity of rule. (1) Unless precluded by Section 78B-9-106 or 78B-9-107, [a person] an individual who has been convicted and sentenced for a criminal offense may file an action in the district court of original jurisdiction for post-conviction relief to vacate or modify the conviction or sentence upon the following grounds: (a) the conviction was obtained or the sentence was imposed in violation of the United States Constitution or Utah Constitution; (b) the conviction was obtained or the sentence was imposed under a statute that is in violation of the United States Constitution or Utah Constitution, or the conduct for which the petitioner was prosecuted is constitutionally protected;

59 Constitution or Utah Constitution;

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68 69

70

71

7273

74

7576

77

78 79

80

- (e) newly discovered material evidence exists that requires the court to vacate the conviction or sentence, because:
- (i) neither the petitioner nor petitioner's counsel knew of the evidence at the time of trial or sentencing or in time to include the evidence in any previously filed post-trial motion or post-conviction proceeding, and the evidence could not have been discovered through the exercise of reasonable diligence;
 - (ii) the material evidence is not merely cumulative of evidence that was known;
 - (iii) the material evidence is not merely impeachment evidence; and
- (iv) viewed with all the other evidence, the newly discovered material evidence demonstrates that no reasonable trier of fact could have found the petitioner guilty of the offense or subject to the sentence received; or
- (f) the petitioner can prove entitlement to relief under a rule announced by the United States Supreme Court, the Utah Supreme Court, or the Utah Court of Appeals after conviction and sentence became final on direct appeal, and that:
- (i) the rule was dictated by precedent existing at the time the petitioner's conviction or sentence became final; or
- (ii) the rule decriminalizes the conduct that comprises the elements of the crime for which the petitioner was convicted; or
- (g) the petitioner committed any of the following offenses while subject to force, fraud, or coercion, as defined in Section 76-5-308:
 - (i) Section 58-37-8, possession of a controlled substance;
- (ii) Section 76-10-1304, aiding prostitution;
- 82 (iii) Section 76-6-206, criminal trespass;
- 83 (iv) Section 76-6-413, theft;
- 84 (v) Section 76-6-502, possession of forged writing or device for writing;
- 85 (vi) Sections 76-6-602 through 76-6-608, retail theft;
- 86 (vii) Subsection 76-6-1105(2)(a)(i)(A), unlawful possession of another's identification 87 document;
- 88 (viii) Section 76-9-702, lewdness;
- 89 (ix) Section 76-10-1302, prostitution; or

90	(x) Section 76-10-1313, sexual solicitation.
91	(2) The court may not grant relief from a conviction or sentence unless in light of the
92	facts proved in the post-conviction proceeding, viewed with the evidence and facts introduced
93	at trial or during sentencing:
94	(a) the petitioner establishes that there would be a reasonable likelihood of a more
95	favorable outcome [in light of the facts proved in the post-conviction proceeding, viewed with
96	the evidence and facts introduced at trial or during sentencing.]; or
97	(b) if the petitioner challenges the conviction or the sentence on grounds that the
98	prosecutor knowingly failed to correct false testimony at trial or sentencing, the petitioner
99	establishes that the false testimony, in any reasonable likelihood, could have affected the
100	judgment of the fact finder.
101	(3) (a) The court may not grant relief from a conviction based on a claim that the
102	petitioner is innocent of the crime for which convicted except as provided in [Title 78B,
103	Chapter 9,] Part 3, Postconviction Testing of DNA, or Part 4, Postconviction Determination of
104	Factual Innocence.
105	(b) Claims under Part 3, Postconviction Testing of DNA or Part 4, Postconviction
106	Determination of Factual Innocence of this chapter may not be filed as part of a petition under
107	this part, but shall be filed separately and in conformity with the provisions of Part 3,
108	Postconviction Testing of DNA or Part 4, Postconviction Determination of Factual Innocence.
109	Section 2. Section 78B-9-106 is amended to read:
110	78B-9-106. Preclusion of relief Exception.
111	(1) A [person] petitioner is not eligible for relief under this chapter upon any ground
112	that:
113	(a) may still be raised on direct appeal or by a post-trial motion;
114	(b) was raised or addressed in the trial court, at trial, or on appeal;
115	(c) could have been but was not raised in the trial court, at trial, or on appeal;
116	(d) was raised or addressed in any previous request for post-conviction relief or could
117	have been, but was not, raised in a previous request for post-conviction relief; or
118	(e) is barred by the limitation period established in Section 78B-9-107.
119	(2) (a) The state may raise any of the procedural bars or time bar at any time, including
120	during [the state's] an appeal from an order granting or denying post-conviction relief, unless

124

125

126127

128

129

130131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138139

140

141

142

143144

145

146

147

148149

the court determines that the state should have raised the time bar or procedural bar at an earlier time.

- (b) Any court may raise a procedural bar or time bar on [its] the court's own motion, provided that [it] the court gives the parties notice and an opportunity to be heard.
- (3) (a) Notwithstanding Subsection (1)(c), a [person] petitioner may be eligible for relief on a basis that the ground could have been but was not raised in the trial court, at trial, or on appeal, if the failure to raise that ground was due to ineffective assistance of counsel; or
- (b) Notwithstanding Subsections (1)(c) and (1)(d), a [person] petitioner may be eligible for relief on a basis that the ground could have been but was not raised in the trial court, at trial, on appeal, or in a previous request for post-conviction relief, if the failure to raise that ground was due to force, fraud, or coercion as defined in Section 76-5-308.
- (4) This section authorizes a merits review only to the extent required to address the exception set forth in Subsection (3).
- (5) This section does not apply to a petition filed under Part 3, Postconviction Testing of DNA, or Part 4, Postconviction Determination of Factual Innocence.
 - Section 3. Section **78B-9-107** is amended to read:

78B-9-107. Statute of limitations for postconviction relief -- Exception.

- (1) A petitioner is entitled to relief only if the petition is filed within one year after <u>the</u> day on which the cause of action has accrued.
- (2) For purposes of this section, the cause of action accrues on the [latest] <u>later</u> of the following dates:
- (a) the last day for filing an appeal from the entry of the final judgment of conviction, if no appeal is taken;
- (b) the entry of the decision of the appellate court [which] that has jurisdiction over the case, if an appeal is taken;
- (c) the last day for filing a petition for writ of certiorari in the Utah Supreme Court or the United States Supreme Court, if no petition for writ of certiorari is filed;
- (d) the entry of the denial of the petition for writ of certiorari or the entry of the decision on the petition for certiorari review, if a petition for writ of certiorari is filed;
- 150 (e) the date on which petitioner knew or should have known, in the exercise of 151 reasonable diligence, of evidentiary facts on which the petition is based; or

152	(f) the date on which the new rule described in Subsection 78B-9-104(1)(f) is
153	established.
154	(3) (a) The limitations period is tolled for any period during which the petitioner was
155	prevented from filing a petition due to state action in violation of the United States
156	Constitution, due to physical or mental incapacity, or for claims arising under Subsection
157	78B-9-104(1)(g), due to force, fraud, or coercion as defined in Section 76-5-308.
158	(b) The petitioner has the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that
159	the petitioner is entitled to relief under this Subsection (3).
160	(4) The statute of limitations is tolled during the pendency of the outcome of a petition
161	asserting:
162	(a) exoneration through DNA testing under Section 78B-9-303; or
163	(b) factual innocence under Section [78B-9-401] <u>78B-9-402</u> .
164	(5) Sections 77-19-8, 78B-2-104, and 78B-2-111 do not extend the limitations period
165	established in this section.
166	(6) This section does not apply to a petition filed under Part 3, Postconviction Testing
167	of DNA, or Part 4, Postconviction Determination of Factual Innocence.
168	Section 4. Section 78B-9-109 is amended to read:
169	78B-9-109. Appointment of pro bono counsel.
170	(1) (a) If any portion of the petition is not summarily dismissed, the court may, upon
171	the request of an indigent petitioner, appoint counsel on a pro bono basis to represent the
172	petitioner in the post-conviction court or on post-conviction appeal.
173	(b) Counsel who represented the petitioner at trial or on the direct appeal may not be
174	appointed to represent the petitioner under this section.
175	(2) In determining whether to appoint counsel, the court [shall] may consider the
176	following factors:
177	[(a) whether the petition or the appeal contains factual allegations that will require an
178	evidentiary hearing; and]
179	[(b) whether the petition involves complicated issues of law or fact that require the
180	assistance of counsel for proper adjudication.]
181	(a) whether the petitioner is incarcerated;
182	(b) the likelihood that an evidentiary hearing will be necessary;

H.B. 439

183	(c) the likelihood that an investigation will be necessary;
184	(d) the complexity of the factual and legal issues;
185	(e) the apparent capacity of the petitioner to litigate the case; and
186	(f) any other factor relevant to the particular case.
187	(3) An allegation that counsel appointed under this section was ineffective cannot be
188	the basis for relief in any subsequent post-conviction petition.
189	Section 5. Section 78B-9-202 is amended to read:
190	78B-9-202. Appointment and payment of counsel in death penalty cases.
191	(1) [A person] An individual who has been sentenced to death and whose conviction
192	and sentence has been affirmed on appeal shall be advised in open court, on the record, in a
193	hearing [scheduled no less than 30 days prior to the signing of the death warrant] held as soon
194	as reasonably practicable after remittitur from the supreme court, of the provisions of this
195	chapter allowing challenges to the conviction and death sentence and the appointment of
196	counsel for indigent petitioners.
197	(2) (a) (i) If a petitioner requests the court to appoint counsel, the court shall determine
198	whether the petitioner is indigent and make findings on the record regarding the petitioner's
199	indigency.
200	(ii) If the court finds that the petitioner is indigent, [it shall, subject to the provisions of
201	Subsection (5),] the court shall promptly appoint counsel who is qualified to represent
202	petitioners in [postconviction] post-conviction death penalty cases as required by Rule 8 of the
203	Utah Rules of Criminal Procedure.
204	(iii) Counsel who represented the petitioner at trial or on the direct appeal may not be
205	appointed to represent the petitioner under this section.
206	(b) A petitioner who wishes to reject the offer of counsel shall be advised on the record
207	by the court of the consequences of the rejection, and the court shall make detailed findings
208	that the petitioner is competent to knowingly and voluntarily waive counsel, before the court
209	may accept the rejection.
210	(3) (a) Attorney fees and litigation expenses incurred in providing the representation
211	provided for in this section and that the court has determined are reasonable shall be paid from
212	state funds by the Division of Finance according to rules established pursuant to Title 63G,

Chapter 3, Utah Administrative Rulemaking Act.

214	[(a)] (b) In determining whether the requested funds are reasonable, the court should
215	consider:
216	(i) the extent to which the petitioner requests funds to investigate and develop evidence
217	and legal arguments that duplicate the evidence presented and arguments raised in the criminal
218	proceeding; and
219	(ii) whether the petitioner has established that the requested funds are necessary to
220	develop evidence and legal arguments that are reasonably likely to support [postconviction]
221	post-conviction relief.
222	[(b)] (c) (i) The court may authorize payment of attorney fees at a rate of \$125 per hour
223	up to a maximum of \$60,000.
224	(ii) The court may exceed the maximum only upon a showing of good cause as
225	established in Subsections $[\frac{(3)(e) \text{ and } (f)}{(3)(f) \text{ and } (g)}]$.
226	[(e)] (d) (i) The court may authorize litigation expenses up to a maximum of \$20,000.
227	(ii) The court may exceed the maximum only upon a showing of good cause as
228	established in Subsections $[\frac{(3)(e) \text{ and } (f)}{(3)(f) \text{ and } (g)}]$.
229	[(d)] (e) (i) The court may authorize the petitioner to apply ex parte for the funds
230	permitted in Subsections [(3)(b) and (c)] (3)(c) and (d) upon a motion to proceed ex parte and
231	if the petitioner establishes the need for confidentiality.
232	(ii) The motion to proceed ex parte must be served on counsel representing the state,
233	and the court may not grant the motion without giving the state an opportunity to respond.
234	[(e)] (f) In determining whether good cause exists to exceed the maximum sums
235	established in Subsections [(3)(b) and (c)] (3)(c) and (d), the court shall consider:
236	(i) the extent to which the work done to date and the further work identified by the
237	petitioner duplicates work and investigation performed during the criminal case under review;
238	and
239	(ii) whether the petitioner has established that the work done to date and the further
240	work identified is reasonably likely to develop evidence or legal arguments that will support
241	[postconviction] post-conviction relief.
242	[(f)] (g) The court may permit payment in excess of the maximum amounts established
243	in Subsections [(3)(b) and (e)] (3)(c) and (d) only on the petitioner's motion, provided that:
244	(i) (A) if the court has granted a motion to file ex parte applications under Subsection

- (3)[(d)](e), the petitioner shall serve the motion to exceed the maximum amounts on an assistant attorney general employed in a division other than the one in which the attorney is employed who represents the state in the [postconviction] post-conviction case; or
- (B) if the court has not granted a motion to file ex parte applications, [then] the petitioner must serve the attorney representing the state in the [postconviction matter] post-conviction case with the motion to exceed the maximum funds;
- (ii) if the motion proceeds under Subsection (3)[(f)](e)(i), the designated assistant attorney general may not disclose to the attorney representing the state in the [postconviction matter] post-conviction case any material the petitioner provides in support of the motion except upon a determination by the court that the material is not protected by or that the petitioner has waived the attorney client privilege or work product doctrine; and
- (iii) the court gives the state an opportunity to respond to the request for funds in excess of the maximum amounts provided in Subsections [(3)(b) and (c)] (3)(c) and (d).
- (4) Nothing in this chapter shall be construed as creating the right to the effective assistance of [postconviction] post-conviction counsel, and relief may not be granted on any claim that [postconviction] post-conviction counsel was ineffective.
- [(5) If within 60 days of the request for counsel the court cannot find counsel willing to accept the appointment, the court shall notify the petitioner and the state's counsel in writing. In that event, the petitioner may elect to proceed pro se by serving written notice of that election on the court and state's counsel within 30 days of the court's notice that no counsel could be found. If within 30 days of its notice to the petitioner the court receives no notice that the petitioner elects to proceed pro se, the court shall dismiss any pending postconviction actions and vacate any execution stays, and the state may initiate proceedings under Section 77-19-9 to issue an execution warrant.]
- [(6)] (5) (a) Subject to Subsection (2)(a) the court shall appoint counsel to represent the petitioner for the first petition filed after the direct appeal.
- (b) For all other petitions, counsel may not be appointed at public expense for a petitioner, except to raise claims:
- [(a)] (i) based on newly discovered evidence as defined in Subsection 78B-9-104(1)(e)(i); or
- [(b)] (ii) based on Subsection 78B-9-104(1)(f) that could not have been raised in any

276	previously filed post trial motion or [postconviction] post-conviction proceeding.
277	Section 6. Section 78B-9-301 is amended to read:
278	78B-9-301. Postconviction testing of DNA Petition Sufficient allegations
279	Notification of victim.
280	(1) As used in this part:
281	(a) "DNA" means deoxyribonucleic acid.
282	(b) "Factually innocent" means the same as that term is defined in Section [78B-9-402]
283	<u>78B-9-401.5</u> .
284	(2) A person convicted of a felony offense may at any time file a petition for
285	postconviction DNA testing in the trial court that entered the judgment of conviction if the
286	person asserts factual innocence under oath and the petition alleges:
287	(a) evidence has been obtained regarding the person's case that is still in existence and
288	is in a condition that allows DNA testing to be conducted;
289	(b) the chain of custody is sufficient to establish that the evidence has not been altered
290	in any material aspect;
291	(c) the person identifies the specific evidence to be tested and states a theory of
292	defense, not inconsistent with theories previously asserted at trial, that the requested DNA
293	testing would support;
294	(d) the evidence was not previously subjected to DNA testing, or if the evidence was
295	tested previously, the evidence was not subjected to the testing that is now requested, and the
296	new testing may resolve an issue not resolved by the prior testing;
297	(e) the proposed DNA testing is generally accepted as valid in the scientific field or is
298	otherwise admissible under Utah law;
299	(f) the evidence that is the subject of the request for testing:
300	(i) has the potential to produce new, noncumulative evidence; and
301	(ii) there is a reasonable probability that the defendant would not have been convicted
302	or would have received a lesser sentence if the evidence had been presented at the original trial;
303	and
304	(g) the person is aware of the consequences of filing the petition, including:
305	(i) those specified in Sections 78B-9-302 and 78B-9-304; and
306	(ii) that the person is waiving any statute of limitations in all jurisdictions as to any

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328329

330

331

332

333

334

felony offense the person has committed which is identified through DNA database comparison.

- (3) The petition under Subsection (2) shall comply with Rule 65C, Utah Rules of Civil Procedure, including providing the underlying criminal case number.
- (4) After a petition is filed under this section, prosecutors, law enforcement officers, and crime laboratory personnel have a duty to cooperate in preserving evidence and in determining the sufficiency of the chain of custody of the evidence which may be subject to DNA testing.
- (5) (a) (i) A person who files a petition under this section shall serve notice upon the office of the prosecutor who obtained the conviction, and upon the Utah attorney general.
- (ii) The attorney general shall, within 30 days after receipt of service of a copy of the petition, or within any additional period of time the court allows, answer or otherwise respond to all proceedings initiated under this part.
- (b) After the attorney general responds under Subsection (5)(a), the petitioner has the right to reply to the response of the attorney general.
- (c) After the attorney general and the petitioner have filed a response and reply in compliance with Subsection (5)(b), the court shall order DNA testing if it finds by a preponderance of the evidence that all criteria of Subsection (2) have been met.
- (6) (a) If the court grants the petition for testing, the DNA test shall be performed by the Utah State Crime Laboratory within the Criminal Investigations and Technical Services Division created in Section 53-10-103, unless the person establishes that the state crime laboratory has a conflict of interest or does not have the capability to perform the necessary testing.
- (b) If the court orders that the testing be conducted by any laboratory other than the state crime laboratory, the court shall require that the testing be performed:
- (i) under reasonable conditions designed to protect the state's interests in the integrity of the evidence; and
 - (ii) according to accepted scientific standards and procedures.
- 335 (7) (a) DNA testing under this section shall be paid for from funds appropriated to the 336 Department of Public Safety under Subsection 53-10-407(4)(d)(ii) from the DNA Specimen 337 Restricted Account created in Section 53-10-407 if:

338	(i) the court ordered the DNA testing under this section;
339	(ii) the Utah State Crime Laboratory within the Criminal Investigations and Technical
340	Services Division has a conflict of interest or does not have the capability to perform the
341	necessary testing; and
342	(iii) the petitioner who has filed for postconviction DNA testing under Section
343	78B-9-201 is serving a sentence of imprisonment and is indigent.
344	(b) Under this Subsection (7), costs of DNA testing include those necessary to
345	transport the evidence, prepare samples for analysis, analyze the evidence, and prepare reports
346	of findings.
347	(8) If the person is serving a sentence of imprisonment and is indigent, the state shall
348	pay for the costs of the testing under this part, but if the result is not favorable to the person the
349	court may order the person to reimburse the state for the costs of the testing, pursuant to
350	Subsections 78B-9-302(4) and 78B-9-304(1)(b).
351	(9) Any victim of the crime regarding which the person petitions for DNA testing, who
352	has elected to receive notice under Section 77-38-3 shall be notified by the state's attorney of
353	any hearing regarding the petition and testing, even though the hearing is a civil proceeding.
354	Section 7. Section 78B-9-402 is amended to read:
355	78B-9-402. Petition for determination of factual innocence Sufficient
356	allegations Notification of victim Payment to surviving spouse.
357	(1) A person who has been convicted of a felony offense may petition the district court
358	in the county in which the person was convicted for a hearing to establish that the person is
359	factually innocent of the crime or crimes of which the person was convicted.
360	(2) (a) The petition shall contain an assertion of factual innocence under oath by the
361	petitioner and shall aver, with supporting affidavits or other credible documents, that:
362	(i) newly discovered material evidence exists that, if credible, establishes that the
363	petitioner is factually innocent;
364	(ii) the specific evidence identified by the petitioner in the petition establishes
365	innocence;
366	(iii) the material evidence is not merely cumulative of evidence that was known;
367	(iv) the material evidence is not merely impeachment evidence; and
368	(v) viewed with all the other evidence, the newly discovered evidence demonstrates

that the petitioner is factually innocent.

- (b) (i) The court shall review the petition in accordance with the procedures in Subsection (9)(b), and make a finding that the petition has satisfied the requirements of Subsection (2)(a).
- (ii) If the court finds the petition does not meet all the requirements of Subsection (2)(a), [it] the court shall dismiss the petition without prejudice and send notice of the dismissal to the petitioner and the attorney general.
 - (3) (a) The petition shall also contain an averment that:
- (i) neither the petitioner nor the petitioner's counsel knew of the evidence at the time of trial or sentencing or in time to include the evidence in any previously filed post-trial motion or postconviction motion, and the evidence could not have been discovered by the petitioner or the petitioner's counsel through the exercise of reasonable diligence; or
- (ii) a court has found ineffective assistance of counsel for failing to exercise reasonable diligence in uncovering the evidence.
- (b) (i) Upon entry of a finding that the petition is sufficient under Subsection (2)(a), the court shall then review the petition to determine if Subsection (3)(a) has been satisfied.
- (ii) If the court finds that the requirements of Subsection (3)(a) have not been satisfied, [it] the court may dismiss the petition without prejudice and give notice to the petitioner and the attorney general of the dismissal, or the court may waive the requirements of Subsection (3)(a) if the court finds the petition should proceed to hearing based upon the strength of the petition, and that there is other evidence that could have been discovered through the exercise of reasonable diligence by the petitioner or the petitioner's counsel at trial, and the other evidence:
 - [(i)] (A) was not discovered by the petitioner or the petitioner's counsel;
 - $[\frac{(ii)}{B}]$ is material upon the issue of factual innocence; and
 - $[\frac{\text{(iii)}}{\text{(C)}}]$ has never been presented to a court.
- (4) (a) If the conviction for which the petitioner asserts factual innocence was based upon a plea of guilty, the petition shall contain the specific nature and content of the evidence that establishes factual innocence.
- (b) The court shall review the evidence and may dismiss the petition at any time in the course of the proceedings, if the court finds that the evidence of factual innocence relies solely

upon the recantation of testimony or prior statements made by a witness against the petitioner, and the recantation appears to the court to be equivocal or selfserving.

- (5) A person who has already obtained postconviction relief that vacated or reversed the person's conviction or sentence may also file a petition under this part in the same manner and form as described above, if no retrial or appeal regarding this offense is pending.
- (6) If some or all of the evidence alleged to be exonerating is biological evidence subject to DNA testing, the petitioner shall seek DNA testing pursuant to Section 78B-9-301.
- (7) Except as provided in Subsection (9), the petition and all subsequent proceedings shall be in compliance with and governed by Rule 65C, Utah Rules of Civil Procedure, and shall include the underlying criminal case number.
- (8) After a petition is filed under this section, prosecutors, law enforcement officers, and crime laboratory personnel shall cooperate in preserving evidence and in determining the sufficiency of the chain of custody of the evidence which is the subject of the petition.
- (9) (a) A person who files a petition under this section shall serve notice of the petition and a copy of the petition upon the office of the prosecutor who obtained the conviction and upon the Utah attorney general.
 - (b) (i) The assigned judge shall conduct an initial review of the petition.
- (ii) If it is apparent to the court that the petitioner is either merely relitigating facts, issues, or evidence presented in previous proceedings or presenting issues that appear frivolous or speculative on their face, the court shall dismiss the petition, state the basis for the dismissal, and serve notice of dismissal upon the petitioner and the attorney general.
- (iii) If, upon completion of the initial review, the court does not dismiss the petition, it shall order the attorney general to file a response to the petition.
- (iv) The attorney general shall, within 30 days after [receipt of] the day on which the attorney general receives the court's order, or within any additional period of time the court allows, answer or otherwise respond to all proceedings initiated under this part.
- (c) (i) After the time for response by the attorney general under Subsection (9)(b) has passed, the court shall order a hearing if [it] the court finds the petition meets the requirements of Subsections (2) and (3) and finds there is a bona fide and compelling issue of factual innocence regarding the charges of which the petitioner was convicted.
 - (ii) No bona fide and compelling issue of factual innocence exists if the petitioner is

- merely relitigating facts, issues, or evidence presented in a previous proceeding or if the petitioner is unable to identify with sufficient specificity the nature and reliability of the newly discovered evidence that establishes the petitioner's factual innocence.
- (d) (i) If the parties stipulate that the evidence establishes that the petitioner is factually innocent, the court may find the petitioner is factually innocent without holding a hearing.
- (ii) If the state will not stipulate that the evidence establishes that the petitioner is factually innocent, no determination of factual innocence may be made by the court without first holding a hearing under this part.
- (10) The court may not grant a petition for a hearing under this part during the period in which criminal proceedings in the matter are pending before any trial or appellate court, unless stipulated to by the parties.
- (11) Any victim of a crime that is the subject of a petition under this part, and who has elected to receive notice under Section 77-38-3, shall be notified by the state's attorney of any hearing regarding the petition.
- (12) (a) A petition to determine factual innocence under this part, or Part 3, Postconviction Testing of DNA, shall be filed separately from any petition for postconviction relief under Part 1, General Provisions.
 - (b) Separate petitions may be filed simultaneously in the same court.
- (13) The procedures governing the filing and adjudication of a petition to determine factual innocence apply to all petitions currently filed or pending in the district court and any new petitions filed on or after June 1, 2012.
 - (14) (a) As used in this Subsection (14) and in Subsection (15):
- (i) "Married" means the legal marital relationship established between [a man and a woman and] two individuals as recognized by the [laws of this state; and] law.
- (ii) "Spouse" means [a person] an individual married to the petitioner at the time the petitioner was found guilty of the offense regarding which a petition is filed and who has since then been continuously married to the petitioner until the petitioner's death.
- (b) A claim for determination of factual innocence under this part is not extinguished upon the death of the petitioner.
- (c) (i) If any payments are already being made to the petitioner under this part at the time of the death of the petitioner, or if the finding of factual innocence occurs after the death

of the petitioner, the payments due under Section 78B-9-405 shall be paid according to the schedule under Section 78B-9-405 to the petitioner's surviving spouse.

(ii) Payments cease upon the death of the spouse.

(15) The spouse under Subsection (14) forfeits all rights to receive any payment under this part if the spouse is charged with a homicide established by a preponderance of the evidence that meets the elements of any felony homicide offense in Title 76, Chapter 5, Offenses Against the Person, except automobile homicide, applying the same principles of culpability and defenses as in Title 76, Utah Criminal Code, including Title 76, Chapter 2, Principles of Criminal Responsibility.