COMPETENCY TO STAND TRIAL AMENDMENTS
2023 GENERAL SESSION
STATE OF UTAH
Chief Sponsor: Casey Snider
Senate Sponsor: Ronald M. Winterton
LONG TITLE
General Description:
This bill addresses petitions to find a defendant incompetent to stand trial in a criminal
action.
Highlighted Provisions:
This bill:
requires a court to consider certain factors when determining whether a defendant is
incompetent;
 prohibits a court from granting a petition of incompetency based solely on the
defendant having previously been released from custody due to incompetency in an
unrelated criminal action, if the release occurred more than a year before the
petition is filed; and
makes technical and conforming changes.
Money Appropriated in this Bill:
None
Other Special Clauses:
This bill provides a coordination clause.
Utah Code Sections Affected:
AMENDS:
77-15-5, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2018, Chapter 147
Utah Code Sections Affected by Coordination Clause:
77-15-5, Utah Code Annotated 1953

53

54

55

evaluation is not necessary;

29	
30	Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:
31	Section 1. Section 77-15-5 is amended to read:
32	77-15-5. Order for hearing Stay of other proceedings Examinations of
33	defendant Scope of examination and report.
34	(1) A court in which criminal proceedings are pending shall stay all criminal
35	proceedings, if:
36	(a) a petition is filed under Section 77-15-3 or 77-15-3.5; or
37	(b) the court raises the issue of the defendant's competency under Section 77-15-4.
38	(2) The court in which the petition described in Subsection (1)(a) is filed:
39	(a) shall inform the court in which criminal proceedings are pending of the petition, if
40	the petition is not filed in the court in which criminal proceedings are pending;
41	(b) shall review the allegations of incompetency;
42	(c) may hold a limited hearing solely for the purpose of determining the sufficiency of
43	the petition, if the court finds the petition is not clearly sufficient on its face;
44	(d) shall hold a hearing, if the petition is opposed by either party;
45	(e) may not order an examination of the defendant or order a hearing on the mental
46	condition of the defendant unless the court finds that the allegations in the petition raise a bona
47	fide doubt as to the defendant's competency to stand trial; and
48	(f) if the court finds that the allegations raise a bona fide doubt as to the defendant's
49	competency to stand trial, shall order:
50	(i) the department to have the defendant evaluated by one forensic evaluator, if:
51	(A) the most severe charge against the defendant is a misdemeanor; or
52	(B) the defendant is charged with a felony but is not charged with a capital felony, and

the court determines, based upon the allegations in the petition, that a second competency

(ii) the department to have the defendant evaluated by two forensic evaluators, if:

(A) the defendant is charged with a capital felony; or

(B) the defendant is charged with a felony but is not charged with a capital felony, and the court determines, based upon the allegations in the petition, that a second competency evaluation is necessary; and

- (iii) the defendant to be evaluated by an additional forensic evaluator, if requested by a party, who shall:
 - (A) select the additional forensic evaluator; and
 - (B) pay for the costs of the additional forensic evaluator.
- (3) (a) If the petition or other information sufficiently raises concerns that the defendant may have intellectual or developmental disabilities, at least one forensic evaluator who is experienced in intellectual or developmental disability assessments shall conduct a competency evaluation.
- (b) The petitioner or other party, as directed by the court, shall provide to the forensic evaluator information and materials relevant to a determination of the defendant's competency, including the charging document, arrest or incident reports pertaining to the charged offense, known criminal history information, and known prior mental health evaluations and treatments.
- (c) For purposes of a competency evaluation, a court may order that custodians of mental health records pertaining to the defendant provide those records to a forensic evaluator without the need for consent of the defendant.
- (d) An order for a competency evaluation may not contain an order for any other inquiry into the mental state of the defendant.
- (4) Pending a competency evaluation, unless the court or the department directs otherwise, the defendant shall be retained in the same custody or status that the defendant was in at the time the examination was ordered.
- (5) In the conduct of a competency evaluation, a progress toward competency evaluation, and in a report to the court, a forensic evaluator shall consider and address, in addition to any other factors determined to be relevant by the forensic evaluator:

Enrolled Copy

83	(a) the defendant's present ability to:
84	(i) rationally and factually understand the criminal proceedings against the defendant;
85	(ii) consult with the defendant's legal counsel with a reasonable degree of rational
86	understanding in order to assist in the defense;
87	(iii) understand the charges or allegations against the defendant;
88	(iv) communicate facts, events, and states of mind;
89	(v) understand the range of possible penalties associated with the charges or allegations
90	against the defendant;
91	(vi) engage in reasoned choice of legal strategies and options;
92	(vii) understand the adversarial nature of the proceedings against the defendant;
93	(viii) manifest behavior sufficient to allow the court to proceed; and
94	(ix) testify relevantly, if applicable;
95	(b) the impact of the mental disorder or intellectual disability, if any, on the nature and
96	quality of the defendant's relationship with counsel;
97	(c) if psychoactive medication is currently being administered:
98	(i) whether the medication is necessary to maintain the defendant's competency; and
99	(ii) whether the medication may have an effect on the defendant's demeanor, affect, and
100	ability to participate in the proceedings; and
101	(d) whether the defendant is exhibiting false or exaggerated physical or psychological
102	symptoms relevant to the defendant's capacity to stand trial.
103	(6) If the forensic evaluator's opinion is that the defendant is incompetent to proceed,
104	the forensic evaluator shall indicate in the report to the court:
105	(a) the factors that contribute to the defendant's incompetency, including the nature of
106	the defendant's mental disorder or intellectual or developmental disability, if any, and its
107	relationship to the factors contributing to the defendant's incompetency; and
108	(b) whether there is a substantial probability that restoration treatment may, in the
109	foreseeable future, bring the defendant to competency to stand trial, or that the defendant

cannot become competent to stand trial in the foreseeable future.

(7) (a) A forensic evaluator shall provide an initial report to the court and the prosecuting and defense attorneys within 30 days of the receipt of the court's order. The report shall inform the court of the examiner's opinion concerning the competency of the defendant to stand trial.

- (b) (i) If the forensic evaluator is unable to complete the report in the time specified in Subsection (7)(a), the forensic evaluator shall give written notice to the court.
- (ii) A forensic evaluator who provides the notice described in Subsection (7)(b)(i) shall receive a 15-day extension, giving the forensic evaluator a total of 45 days after the day on which the forensic evaluator received the court's order to conduct a competency evaluation and file a report.
- (iii) The court may further extend the deadline for completion of the evaluation and report if the court determines that there is good cause for the extension.
- (iv) Upon receipt of an extension described in Subsection (7)(b)(iii), the forensic evaluator shall file the report as soon as reasonably possible.
 - (8) Any written report submitted by a forensic evaluator shall:
 - (a) identify the case ordered for evaluation by the case number;
- (b) describe the procedures, techniques, and tests used in the examination and the purpose or purposes for each;
- (c) state the forensic evaluator's clinical observations, findings, and opinions on each issue referred for examination by the court, and indicate specifically those issues, if any, on which the forensic evaluator could not give an opinion; and
- (d) identify the sources of information used by the forensic evaluator and present the basis for the forensic evaluator's clinical findings and opinions.
- (9) (a) Any statement made by the defendant in the course of any competency examination, whether the examination is with or without the consent of the defendant, any testimony by a forensic evaluator based upon the statement, and any other fruits of the

- statement may not be admitted in evidence against the defendant in any criminal proceeding except on an issue respecting mental condition on which the defendant has introduced evidence. The evidence may be admitted, however, where relevant to a determination of the defendant's competency.
- (b) Before examining the defendant, the forensic evaluator shall specifically advise the defendant of the limits of confidentiality as provided under Subsection (9)(a).
- (10) (a) Upon receipt of the forensic evaluators' reports, the court shall set a date for a competency hearing. The hearing shall be held not less than 5 and not more than 15 days after the day on which the court received the forensic evaluators' reports, unless for good cause the court sets a later date.
- (b) Any person directed by the department to conduct the competency evaluation may be subpoenaed to testify at the hearing.
- (c) The court may call any forensic evaluator to testify at the hearing who is not called by the parties. If the court calls a forensic evaluator, counsel for the parties may cross-examine the forensic evaluator.
- (d) If the forensic evaluators are in conflict as to the competency of the defendant, all forensic evaluators should be called to testify at the hearing if reasonably available. A conflict in the opinions of the forensic evaluators does not require the appointment of an additional forensic evaluator unless the court determines the appointment to be necessary.
- (11) (a) A defendant shall be presumed competent to stand trial unless the court, by a preponderance of the evidence, finds the defendant incompetent to proceed. The burden of proof is upon the proponent of incompetency at the hearing.
- (b) An adjudication of incompetent to proceed does not operate as an adjudication of incompetency to give informed consent for medical treatment or for any other purpose, unless specifically set forth in the court order.
- (12) In determining the defendant's competency to stand trial, the court shall consider the totality of the circumstances, [which may include] including:

164	(a) the petition;
165	(b) the defendant's criminal and arrest history;
166	(c) prior mental health evaluations and treatments provided to the court by the
167	defendant;
168	(d) subject to Subsection (14), whether the defendant was found incompetent to
169	proceed in a criminal action unrelated to the charged offense for which the petition is filed;
170	(e) the testimony of lay witnesses[, in addition to], if any;
171	(f) the forensic evaluator's report, testimony, and studies[:]; and
172	(g) any other relevant evidence bearing on the competency of the defendant.
173	(13) If the court finds the defendant incompetent to proceed:
174	(a) the court shall issue the order described in Subsection 77-15-6(1), which shall:
175	(i) include findings addressing each of the factors in Subsection (5)(a);
176	(ii) include a transportation order, if necessary;
177	(iii) be accompanied by the forensic evaluators' reports, any psychiatric, psychological,
178	or social work reports submitted to the court relative to the mental condition of the defendant,
179	and any other documents made available to the court by either the defense or the prosecution,
180	pertaining to the defendant's current or past mental condition; and
181	(iv) be sent by the court to the department; and
182	(b) the prosecuting attorney shall provide to the department:
183	(i) the charging document and probable cause statement, if any;
184	(ii) arrest or incident reports prepared by law enforcement and pertaining to the
185	charged offense; and
186	(iii) additional supporting documents.
187	(14) The court may not find the defendant incompetent to proceed based solely on a
188	court having ordered the release of the defendant under Section 77-15-3.5 or Section 77-15-6 in
189	an unrelated criminal action, if the court in the unrelated criminal action ordered the release
190	more than one year before the day on which the petition described in Subsection (12)(a) is

Enrolled Copy

191	<u>filed.</u>
192	[(14)] (15) The court may make any reasonable order to ensure compliance with this
193	section.
194	[(15)] (16) Failure to comply with this section does not result in the dismissal of
195	criminal charges.
196	Section 2. Coordinating H.B. 380 with H.B. 330 Substantive and technical
197	amendments.
198	If this H.B. 380 and H.B. 330, Civil Commitment Amendments, both pass and become
199	law, it is the intent of the Legislature that the Office of Legislative Research and General
200	Counsel shall prepare the Utah Code database for publication by amending
201	Subsection 77-15-5(12) in this H.B. 380 to read:
202	"(12) In determining the defendant's competency to stand trial, the court shall consider
203	the totality of the circumstances, [which may include] including:
204	(a) the petition;
205	(b) the defendant's criminal and arrest history;
206	(c) prior mental health evaluations and treatments provided to the court by the
207	defendant;
208	(d) subject to Subsection (14), whether the defendant was found incompetent to
209	proceed in a criminal action unrelated to the charged offense for which the petition is filed;
210	(e) the testimony of lay witnesses[, in addition to], if any;
211	(f) the forensic evaluator's [report, testimony, and studies] testimony and report;
212	(g) the materials on which the forensic evaluator's report is based; and
213	(h) any other relevant evidence or consideration bearing on the competency of the
214	defendant.".