## Representative Calvin R. Musselman proposes the following substitute bill:

1	CONSTRUCTION AMENDMENTS
2	2024 GENERAL SESSION
3	STATE OF UTAH
4	Chief Sponsor: Calvin R. Musselman
5	Senate Sponsor:
6	
7	LONG TITLE
8	General Description:
9	This bill addresses construction site storm water runoff controls.
10	Highlighted Provisions:
11	This bill:
12	<ul><li>defines terms;</li></ul>
13	<ul> <li>authorizes the Division of Water Quality to implement and enforce a program to</li> </ul>
14	reduce pollutants from construction sites impacting storm water runoff;
15	<ul> <li>establishes standards regarding the issuance of a permit; and</li> </ul>
16	<ul> <li>establishes penalties for non-compliance.</li> </ul>
17	Money Appropriated in this Bill:
18	None
19	Other Special Clauses:
20	None
21	Utah Code Sections Affected:
22	AMENDS:
23	19-5-105, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2011, Chapter 155
24	ENACTS:
25	19-5-108.3, Utah Code Annotated 1953



5556

the federal Clean Water Act.

26	
27	Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:
28	Section 1. Section 19-5-105 is amended to read:
29	19-5-105. Rulemaking authority and procedure.
30	(1) (a) Except as provided in Subsections (2) and (3), no rule that the executive
31	director, director, or board makes for the purpose of the state administering a program under
32	the federal Clean Water Act or the federal Safe Drinking Water Act may be more stringent than
33	the corresponding federal regulations [which] that address the same circumstances.
34	(b) In making rules, the executive director, director, or board may incorporate by
35	reference corresponding federal regulations.
36	(2) (a) The executive director, director, or board may not make rules or requirements
37	for permits that are more stringent than corresponding federal regulations for the purpose
38	described in Subsection (1), [only if it] unless the board makes a written finding after public
39	comment and hearing and based on evidence in the record that the corresponding federal
40	regulations are not adequate to protect public health and the environment of the state.
41	(b) [Those findings shall be accompanied by] The board shall include with a written
42	finding described in Subsection (1) an opinion referring to and evaluating the public health and
43	environmental information and studies contained in the record [which] that form the basis for
44	the board's conclusion.
45	(3) The executive director, director, or board may make rules related to agriculture
46	water more stringent than the corresponding federal regulations if the commission approves.
47	Section 2. Section 19-5-108.3 is enacted to read:
48	19-5-108.3. Construction site storm water runoff control.
49	(1) As used in this section:
50	(a) "Applicant" means a person who applies for a prevention plan permit to conduct or
51	propose to conduct a use of land for a construction site.
52	(b) "Application" means a prevention plan permit application.
53	(c) "Best management practice" means the best management practice described in the
54	federal Clean Water Act.

(d) "Municipal system" means a municipal separate storm water system described in

57	(e) "Permit" means a permit for a prevention plan.
58	(f) "Prevention plan" means the storm water pollution prevention plan described in the
59	federal Clean Water Act.
60	(g) "Program" means the program described in Subsection (2).
61	(2) (a) The division shall, in accordance with the requirements of this section,
62	implement and enforce a program to reduce pollutants in storm water runoff from a
63	construction site with a land disturbance of:
64	(i) greater than or equal to one acre; or
65	(ii) less than one acre, if the construction site is part of a larger common plan of
66	development or sale that collectively disturbs land greater than or equal to one acre.
67	(b) Subsection (2)(a) applies to both public and private projects.
68	(c) A person may not begin or continue work on a construction site described in
69	Subsection (2)(a) without first obtaining a permit in accordance with this section.
70	(3) (a) No permit, rule made, or action taken by the division or a municipal system for
71	the purpose of administering the program may be more stringent than the federal Clean Water
72	Act or the federal Safe Drinking Water Act.
73	(b) In making rules, the executive director, director, or board shall, in each rule
74	described in Subsection (3)(a), incorporate by reference the corresponding federal regulations.
75	(4) Neither the division nor a municipal system may deviate from the federal Clean
76	Water Act or the federal Safe Drinking Water Act, unless the deviation is expressly permitted
77	by state statute.
78	(5) The division shall adopt a standard operating procedures document that include
79	processes to obtain compliance with the prevention plan.
80	(6) (a) Each municipal system shall determine the municipal system's preferred best
81	management practice method and submit that method to the division.
82	(b) The division shall publish on a website the best management practice methods for
83	each municipal system.
84	(7) (a) The municipal system may not modify an application submitted to a municipal
85	system that utilizes the preferred best management practice method described on the division
86	website.
87	(b) A municipal system shall issue a permit to the applicant within three business days

00	after the day on which the applicant submits the application.
89	(c) A municipal system that fails to select a preferred best management practice
90	method under Subsection (6):
91	(i) may not change an application; and
92	(ii) shall issue the permit within three business days after the day on which the
93	applicant submits the application.
94	(d) If the municipal system does not issue a permit within three business days after the
95	day on which the applicant submits the application, the permit is automatically issued.
96	(8) (a) The division shall collect a fee of up to \$500 for each prevention plan permit
97	issued and send to the applicable municipal system up to \$350 of the fee.
98	(b) A municipal system may not collect a fee from the applicant for the application.
99	(9) (a) Subject to Subsection (11), the division or a municipal system may impose a
100	fine against a person who violates this section, a rule made under this section, or a permit
101	requirement.
102	(b) Neither the division nor a municipal system may impose a fine in addition to a fine
103	described in Subsection (11).
104	(c) A person against whom the division or a municipal system imposes a fine under
105	Subsection (9)(a) shall pay the fine to the division.
106	(d) The division shall deposit a fine collected under Subsection (9)(c) into the General
107	Fund as a dedicated credit to be used to educate applicants or potential applicants regarding the
108	requirements of this section.
109	(10) Any violation found by the division or the municipality shall not result in a fine or
110	penalty if an applicant has employed a best management practice submitted to the division by
111	the municipality if the violation is a result of a deficiency in the best management practice.
112	(11) (a) The division or municipality:
113	(i) shall notify the applicant, in writing, of a violation;
114	(ii) may provide the applicant a reasonable time of not less than five business days to
115	cure the violation; and
116	(iii) may perform an inspection to verify that the violation is cured, or the applicant
117	may demonstrate that the violation is cured by submitting to the division or municipality, as
118	applicable, photographs or videos documenting the cure.

119	(b) If the violation described in Subsection (11)(a) is not cured within the deadline set
120	under Subsection (11)(a)(ii), the division or municipality:
121	(i) shall notify the applicant, in writing, that the violation has not been cured;
122	(ii) may fine the applicant up to \$300;
123	(iii) may provide the applicant a reasonable time of not less than three additional
124	business days to cure the violation; and
125	(iv) may perform an inspection to verify that the violation is cured, or the applicant
126	may demonstrate that the violation is cured by submitting to the division or municipality, as
127	applicable, photographs or videos documenting the cure.
128	(c) If the violation described in Subsection (11)(a) is not cured within the deadline set
129	under Subsection (11)(b)(iii), the division or municipality shall:
130	(i) notify the applicant, in writing, that the violation has not been cured;
131	(ii) may fine the applicant up to \$500;
132	(iii) may provide the applicant a reasonable time of not less than three additional
133	business days to cure the violation; and
134	(iv) perform an inspection to verify that the violation is cured.
135	(d) If the violation described in Subsection (11)(a) is not cured within the deadline set
136	under Subsection (11)(c)(iii), the division or municipality shall:
137	(i) notify the applicant, in writing, that the violation has not been cured;
138	(ii) may fine the applicant up to \$1000; and
139	(iii) may order the applicant to stop construction activity until an inspection performed
140	by the division or municipality verifies that the violation is cured.
141	(e) The division or a municipal system may not impose a fine described in this
142	Subsection (11) later than 30 days after the day on which the division or municipal system
143	provides the preceding notice of violation or continuing violation required.
144	(f) The division or a municipality may issue an order to stop construction earlier than
145	the occasion described in Subsection (11)(d)(iii) if necessary for public safety.
146	(12) The division shall develop a checklist for a pre-construction prevention plan
147	review that is consistent with the federal Clean Water Act.
148	(a) The applicant or a designee of the applicant shall participate in the pre-construction
149	site inspections.

150	(b) The division or a municipal system may conduct a pre-construction site inspection
151	in person or using an electronic site inspection tool.
152	(13) The division shall develop, publish, and implement the following standard
153	operating procedures, forms, or similar types of documents for construction site inspections:
154	(a) the procedures shall not provide for more than monthly construction site
155	inspections;
156	(b) construction site inspections shall be conducted by the applicant or designee
157	utilizing an electronic inspection tool; and
158	(c) notwithstanding subsection (13)(b), the division or municipality may require on-site
159	construction site inspections if the division or municipality has a clearly documented reason for
160	justifying on-site construction site inspections.
161	(14) The division shall:
162	(a) develop and publish a procedure for the applicant to notify the division or
163	municipal system that the applicant has completed active construction and is prepared for the
164	division or the municipal system may conduct verification of final stabilization; and
165	(b) provide a copy of the procedure described in Subsection (14)(a) to the applicant
166	when the division or a municipal system issues the permit.
167	(15) (a) The division shall maintain records of all projects described in Subsection
168	<u>(2)(a).</u>
169	(b) An applicant that receives a prevention plan permit shall keep records that include:
170	(i) site plan reviews;
171	(ii) the prevention plan; and
172	(iii) records of inspections and enforcement actions including:
173	(A) verbal warnings;
174	(B) stop work orders;
175	(C) warning letters;
176	(D) notices of violation; and
177	(E) other enforcement actions.
178	(c) An applicant described in Subsection (15)(b) shall maintain the records described in
179	Subsection (15)(b) for three years after the construction is completed.
180	(16) Within the Great Salt Lake drainage basin, neither the division nor a municipal

## 02-13-24 5:21 PM

## 1st Sub. (Buff) H.B. 507

181	system may impose or enforce post construction runoff controls.
182	Section 3. Effective date.
183	This bill takes effect on May 1, 2024.