Senator Scott D. Sandall proposes the following substitute bill:

1	PUBLIC THOROUGHFARE AMENDMENTS
2	2024 GENERAL SESSION
3	STATE OF UTAH
4	Chief Sponsor: Scott D. Sandall
5	House Sponsor: Bridger Bolinder
6 7	LONG TITLE
8	General Description:
9	This bill amends provisions related to the establishment and invalidation of a public
10	thoroughfare.
11	Highlighted Provisions:
12	This bill:
13	 amends provisions related to public use of a private road to establish the road as a
14	public thoroughfare, including:
15	• a requirement of 10 years of continuous use in the most recent $\hat{S} \rightarrow [30-year] \underline{50-year}$
5a	$\leftarrow \hat{S}$ period to
16	establish and maintain a public thoroughfare; and
17	• clarification that a road returns to private ownership if continuous use ceases;
18	 clarifies that eminent domain powers are not impacted by the changes in this bill;
19	and
20	 makes technical changes.
21	Money Appropriated in this Bill:
22	None
23	Other Special Clauses:
24	None
25	Utah Code Sections Affected:

1st Sub. (Green) S.B. 67

26	AMENDS:
27	72-5-104, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2020, Chapter 293
28 29	Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:
30	Section 1. Section 72-5-104 is amended to read:
31	72-5-104. Public use constituting dedication Scope.
32	(1) As used in this section, "highway," "street," or "road" does not include an area
33	principally used as a parking lot.
34	(2) [A] Subject to Subsection (4)(b), a highway is dedicated and abandoned to the use
35	of the public when it has been continuously used as a public thoroughfare for a period of 10
36	years.
37	(3) The requirement of continuous use under Subsection (2) is satisfied if the use is as
38	frequent as the public finds convenient or necessary and may be seasonal or follow some other
39	pattern.
40	(4) (a) Continuous use as a public thorough fare under Subsection (2) is interrupted
41	when:
42	[(a)] (i) the person or entity interrupting the continuous use gives not less than 72 hours
43	advance written notice of the interruption to the highway authority having jurisdiction of the
44	highway, street, or road;
45	[(b)] (ii) the property owner undertakes an overt act which is intended to interrupt the
46	use of the highway, street, or road as a public thoroughfare; and
47	[(c)] (iii) the overt act described in Subsection $[(4)(b)]$ (4)(a)(ii) is reasonably
48	calculated to interrupt the regularly established pattern and frequency of public use for the
49	given highway, street, or road for a period of no less than 24 hours.
50	(b) If a highway across private land has not been continuously used by the public for 10
51	years during the most recent $\hat{S} \rightarrow [30-year] 50-year \leftarrow \hat{S}$ period, the highway is not a public
51a	thoroughfare.
52	(5) Installation of gates and posting of no trespassing signs are relevant forms of
53	evidence but are not solely determinative of whether an interruption under Subsection (4) has
54	occurred.
55	(6) A property owner's interruption under Subsection (4) of a highway, street, or road
56	where the requirement of continuous use under Subsection (2) is not satisfied restarts the

01-17-24 8:52 AM

57	running of the 10-year period of continuous use required for dedication under Subsection (2).
58	(7) (a) The burden of proving dedication under Subsection (2) is on the party asserting
59	the dedication.
60	(b) The burden of proving interruption under Subsection (4) is on the party asserting
61	the interruption.
62	(8) (a) The dedication and abandonment creates a right-of-way held by the state or a
63	local highway authority in accordance with Sections 72-3-102, 72-3-103, 72-3-104, 72-3-105,
64	and 72-5-103.
65	(b) A property owner's interruption under Subsection $(4)(a)$ of a right-of-way claimed
66	by the state or local highway authority in accordance with Subsection (8)(a) or R.S. 2477 has
67	no effect on the validity of the state's or local highway authority's claim to the right-of-way and
68	does not return the right-of-way to the property owner.
69	(c) (i) The lack of public use as described in Subsection (4)(b) invalidates the state's or
70	local highway authority's claim to the right-of-way and returns the right-of-way to the property
71	owner.
72	(ii) This Subsection (8) and Subsection (4)(b) do not apply to roads or highways
73	claimed by the state or a county under R.S. 2477 $\hat{S} \rightarrow \underline{or \ across \ federal \ lands} \leftarrow \hat{S}$.
74	(9) The scope of a right-of-way described in Subsection (8)(a) is that which is
75	reasonable and necessary to ensure safe travel according to the facts and circumstances.
76	(10) The provisions of this section apply to any claim under this section for which a
77	court of competent jurisdiction has not issued a final unappealable judgment or order.
78	(11) This section does not impact powers of eminent domain.
79	Section 2. Effective date.
80	This bill takes effect on May 1, 2024.